

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE KINGDOM OF NORWAY

Case #: _____
Oslo District Crt #: 11-188627
MED-05

In the Application of:

LARA JOHNSTONE
Jus Sanguinis Radical Honoursty African
EcoFeminist White Refugee

Application to proceed as In
Forma Pauperis Jus Sanguinis
Radical Honoursty African
EcoFeminist White Refugee

In the matter between (A-C):

KINGDOM OF NORWAY

Prosecution (First Respondent)

VICTIMS FAMILIES:

Second Respondent

And

ANDERS BEIHRING BREVICK

(AKA ANDREW BERWICK, SIGURD JORSALFAR)

Defendant (Third Respondent)

Part A:

NO REFUGEE COUNCIL: ELISABETH RASMUSSEN

Fourth Respondent

UN SR: INDIGENOUS RIGHTS: JAMES ANAYA

Fifth Respondent

ELENA: LA: FRIHAGEN, RISNES, BLEKASTAD, DAHL

Sixth Respondent

ELENA: NOAS: ANDREAS FURUSETH

Seventh Respondent

Part B:

MINISTER OF CULTURE: ANNIKEN HUITFELDT

Eighth Respondent

PROGRESS PARTY: SIV JENSEN

Ninth Respondent

CHURCH OF NORWAY: BERIT HAGEN AGOY

Tenth Respondent

MUSLIM SOC. OF TRONDHEIM: JJ OKSVOLD

Eleventh Respondent

NUPI: HELGE LURAS

Twelfth Respondent

Part C:

JUDGE NINA OPSAHL

Thirteenth Respondent

JUDGE WENCHE ELIZABETH ARNTZEN

Fourteenth Respondent

FILING SHEET : 10 MAY 2012

Please find attached the following for filing in this matter:

- ❖ NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR DECLARATORY ORDER & REVIEW: Application in terms of Article's 2, 4, 85, 100, 110a, 110b, of Norwegian Constitution; and Article's 1, 5, 6, 9, 13 and 14 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms ("ECHR") and Rule 44 § 2 of the Rules of the Court
- ❖ Founding Affidavit of Lara Johnstone, Jus Sanguinis Radical Honoursty African EcoFeminist White Refugee
- ❖ **Annex A:** The Applicants 30 November 2011 Application to the Oslo District Court: *Application for a Writ of [I] Habeus Mentem on behalf of Anders Breivik psycho-cultural integrity right to a free and fair trial; and [II] writ of Certiorari/Review of the Psychiatric Evaluation Report of Psychiatrists: Synne Serheim and Torgeir Husby as to the Mens Rea political necessity criminal liability of Anders Breivik terrorist acts, on 22 July 2011.*
- ❖ **Annex B:** The Applicants 15 April 2012 Application to the Oslo District Court: *Application to proceed as In Forma Pauperis Jus Sanguinis Norwegian African White Refugee Amicus Curiae for an Order (1) to approve the Applicant as an In Forma Pauperis Jus Sanguinis Norwegian African White Refugee Amici Curiae, and (2) Amending the Charges Against the Defendant [Breivik] and Applicant [Johnstone] to include Treason in terms of Article 85 of Norwegian Constitution, and if found guilty, in a free and fair trial; to be executed by firing squad.*
- ❖ PROOF OF SERVICE Affidavit of Lara Johnstone

Dated at George, Southern Cape, South Africa, on 10 MAY 2012.



LARA JOHNSTONE, Pro Se
 16 Taaibos Ave, Heatherpark
 George, 6529
 Tel/Fax: (044) 870 7239
 Email: jmcswan@mweb.co.za

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE KINGDOM OF NORWAY

Case #: _____
Oslo District Crt #: 11-188627
MED-05

In the Application of:

LARA JOHNSTONE
Jus Sanguinis Radical Honoursty African
EcoFeminist White Refugee

Application to proceed as In
Forma Pauperis Jus Sanguinis
Radical Honoursty African
EcoFeminist White Refugee

In the matter between (A-C):

KINGDOM OF NORWAY

Prosecution (First Respondent)

VICTIMS FAMILIES:

Second Respondent

And

ANDERS BEIHRING BREVICK

(AKA ANDREW BERWICK, SIGURD JORSALFAR)

Defendant (Third Respondent)

Part A:

NO REFUGEE COUNCIL: ELISABETH RASMUSSEN

Fourth Respondent

UN SR: INDIGENOUS RIGHTS: JAMES ANAYA

Fifth Respondent

ELENA: LA: FRIHAGEN, RISNES, BLEKASTAD, DAHL

Sixth Respondent

ELENA: NOAS: ANDREAS FURUSETH

Seventh Respondent

Part B:

MINISTER OF CULTURE: ANNIKEN HUITFELDT

Eighth Respondent

PROGRESS PARTY: SIV JENSEN

Ninth Respondent

CHURCH OF NORWAY: BERIT HAGEN AGOY

Tenth Respondent

MUSLIM SOC. OF TRONDHEIM: JJ OKSVOLD

Eleventh Respondent

NUPI: HELGE LURAS

Twelfth Respondent

Part C:

JUDGE NINA OPSAHL

Thirteenth Respondent

JUDGE WENCHE ELIZABETH ARNTZEN

Fourteenth Respondent

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR DECLARATORY ORDER & REVIEW
Application in terms of Article's 2, 4, 85, 100, 110a, 110b, of Norwegian
Constitution; and Article's 1, 5, 6, 9, 13 and 14 of the European Convention
for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms ("ECHR")
and Rule 44 § 2 of the Rules of the Court

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the applicant, a Problem Solving Radical Honoursty EcoFeminist member of the Radical Honesty culture and religion; herewith applies to this Court for the Following orders:

PART A:

[I] Applicant to be admitted as a Jus Sanguinis Radical Honoursty African EcoFeminist White Refugee Applicant in this matter, and granted Assistance¹ of Council/Support in this matter from the Norwegian Refugee Council and/or ELENA.

a. Applicant is a Jus Sanguinis² descendant of Norwegian artillery officer and citizen: **Johan Pieter FÜRSTENBERG**³ born about 1760 in Bergen, Norway.

b. Applicants Indigenous European (Norwegian⁴, French⁵, Dutch⁶, British⁷ and German⁸) Jus Sanguinis Settler Progenitor/s traveled to South Africa as 'settler' farmers, soldiers, medical personnel, religious and political administrators, in response to, and as a result of, their Nations Geopolitical Realpolitik 'Colonial Empire' Totalitarian Agriculture⁹ Population Pressure/Lebensraum¹⁰ Decision-making policies, to find only the Bushman, who still practiced the Law of Limited Competition¹¹ as indigenous natives¹².

¹ Assistance of Counsel, not Representation of Counsel; Applicant reserves final decision-making power

² Norwegian nationality law is based on the principle of Jus sanguinis. In general, Norwegian citizenship is conferred by birth to a Norwegian parent or by naturalisation in Norway. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Norwegian_nationality_law

³ Application of Lara Johnstone for Jus Sanguinis Norwegian Citizenship/Passport; as a Jus Sanguinis descendant of Norwegian citizen: Johan Pieter FÜRSTENBERG (01.03.1767-01.09.1797), Bergen, Norway (AB3C6D3E14F1G1)

⁴ FÜRSTENBERG, Johan Pieter: Johan Pieter FÜRSTENBERG was born about 1760 in Bergen, Norway.

⁵ DELPORTE, Jacques & VITOUT, Sara: Jacques DELPORTE was born about 1680 near Rijswijk (Lille) in Flandre, France. Sara VITOUT was born about 1681 in Guines, Picardie, France. || DE VILLIERS, Abraham & GARDIOL, Susanne: DE VILLIERS, Abraham was born in Bar-sur-Seine, Bourgogne, France in 1659, and married Susanne GARDIOL (1668), daughter of Antoine GARDIOL and Margueritte PERROTETTE, from La Coste, Provence on 05 October 1689 in the Cape. || DE VILLIERS, Jacques (Jacob) & GARDIOL, Marguerite: DE VILLIERS Jacques (Jacob) was born approximately 1661 at La Rochelle, where they had fled to from Burgundy. Jacob married Marguerite GARDIOL, from Provence. || JACOBS, Pierre & DE VOS, Susanna: JACOBS, Pierre from Calais was a French Huguenot who arrived in the Cape in 1688 on De Schelde wit his wife Suzanna DE VOS, and three children, Daniel, Sara and Suzanne. || MARE, Ignace & VAN VUUREN, Susanna: Ignace Mare was born about 1684 in Calabria France; although some sources allege otherwise. It is alleged he was christened in Ardennes, Montherme, France in 1686; and that he was the second son of Paul Mare, some also consider him a possible Huguenot.

⁶ BOSMAN Hermanus: Hermanus BOSMAN was born on 19 April 1682 in Amsterdam, and left Holland, from Texel on 20 January 1706 destined for Batavia. || KOLVER, Andreas Lutgerus: KOLVER, Andreas (Andries) Lutgerus, was born in Zwolle in 1743, studied at Leiden and Jena and was ordained in 1766. He preached in Dordrecht, until 1780 when he was despatched to the Cape on the Krooswyk. || VAN VUUREN, Gerrit (Janse): Gerrit J. VAN VUUREN was born about 1660 in Vuren, Netherlands; and died in June 1700 in the Cape.

⁷ JOHNSTONE James Augustus: James Augustus JOHNSTONE was born circa 1810 in Edinburgh (Dumfries), Scotland, and was an 1820 settler to Port Elizabeth. || KOLBE George Augustus & DOWNING Margaret: George Augustus KOLBE was born on 7 December 1802 in London, travelled to South Africa as an English settler, employed as a missionary doctor, settling on the farm Wurtenburg, Knopdaar, near Burgersdorp, where he died on 01 December 1844. He married Margaret Downing (descendant of Sir George Downing), born in London on 23 February 1805.

⁸ HAMMES, Pieter Caspar: HAMMES, Pieter Caspar -- son of Friedrich HAMMES and Anna Margaretha FALKENRATH -- was born in Remsheid, North Rhinde-Westphalia and arrived in the Cape in 1753 as a soldier. || ROOS, Johannes (Hans): ROOS Johannes (Hans) was born 10 May 1677 in Leipzig, Germany and came to South Africa from Leipzig, East Germany.

⁹ Totalitarian Agriculture emerged when humans abandoned living in accordance to the Law of Limited Competition:

Daniel Quinn on Totalitarian Agriculture: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bTsg5r9oKic>

¹⁰ Merriam-Webster: (1) territory believed especially by Nazis to be necessary for national existence or economic self-sufficiency; (2) space required for life, growth, or activity. Wikipedia: Lebensraum (German for "habitat" or literally "living space") was one of the major political ideas of Adolf Hitler, and an important component of Nazi ideology. It served as the motivation for the expansionist policies of Nazi Germany, aiming to provide extra space for the growth of the German population, for a Greater Germany. In Hitler's book Mein Kampf, he detailed his belief that the German people needed Lebensraum ("living space", i.e. land and raw materials).

¹¹ The Law of Limited Competition Defined <http://www.deep-ecology-hub.com/law-of-limited-competition.html>

Daniel Quinn defines the Law of Limited Competition as such: you may compete to the full extent of your capabilities but you may not hunt down your competitors or destory their food or deny them access to food. **Essentially what this means is that you cannot claim ownership of all the food:** You can compete for the food that you need, but you cannot say "all the food is mine and no one else who wants any can have some." You can fight for food but you cannot act in a genocidal fashion, setting out to kill those who compete with you merely because they compete with you. A lion and a hyena may compete with each other to determine who gets to eat the dead antelope. However the lions may not rally together

- c. According to Jus Sanguinis law and principles enunciated by the United Nations Special Rapporteur on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples¹³, the Applicant is Jus Sanguinis indigenous to Europe, but denied citizenship by all her Jus Sanguinis EU Progenitor nations; and a settler citizen of South Africa, where she is 'Kill the Boer' not wanted.
- d. International Kaffir¹⁴ Legislation¹⁵ Immigration (Refugee and Asylum law) requires an immigrant to lie/commit fraud in acquiring the relevant fraudulent tourist visa to physically arrive "at the border control at the airport or Storskog, the only Norwegian external Schengen land border station, or any international port, and should do so immediately upon arrival." The Applicant's culture is founded on brutal honesty, honour and radical transparency and hence denies her the right to lie on any Visa application form, fraudulently stating that she shall be visiting as a tourist, when her honest intentions are to apply for her Jus Sanguinis Indigenous European citizenship status.
- e. The Applicant should consequently be admitted as a Jus Sanguinis Radical Honoursty African EcoFeminist White Refugee Applicant in this matter, and granted Assistance of Council/Support in this matter from the Norwegian Refugee Council and/or ELENA.

PART B:

- [II] An Order demanding the Norwegian Ministry of Culture to act in accordance to European Court of Human Rights ruling in *Lithgow & others v. United Kingdom*, and clarify in adequately accessible and sufficiently precise statement; whether Norway is (A) a 'Children of the Rainbow'¹⁶ State *legally* committed to Multiculturalism, providing all cultures their right to invoke cultural law and hence granting the Applicant her rights to

and set out to eliminate hyenas lest they challenge them for any of their kills. To do so would be to operate outside the boundaries of the law. **How The Law is Self Eliminating:** If the lions did rally together and kill of all the hyenas then there would be more food for them. Their population would increase and their territory would expand. But there would still be other competitors for their food. So the lions set up a special task force to go out and eliminate other species that compete for food and living space. Maybe keep some in a zoo so they can be studied and looked at for fun but just get them out of our way so there is more room for us. If a species destroys their competitors then there is more food available to them. With more food they can support a higher population. And with a higher population they need more living space so they expand their territory. But as they expand their territory they meet more competitors who are eating food that could be theirs. So they destroy them, taking all the food in the new territory. With all this new food population expands again and so does territory. And then it happens all over again. This way of life works for a short period of time. It doesn't eliminate the species instantly. **Elimination only takes place when there is nowhere left to expand into, no competitors left to destroy.** When this happens the way of life implodes. So many competitors have been destroyed that the biodiversity of the ecosystem has been fatally weakened. All that the landscape now supports is the lawbreaker and the lawbreaker's food. With biodiversity gone and the food chain destroyed the food supply of the lawbreakers will fall apart and when the food supply falls apart the lawbreaker is eliminated.

¹² "All South Africans are settlers, regardless of their skin colour, and their DNA carries the proof. So says Dr Wilmot James, head of the African Genome Project, a distinguished academic, sociologist and, more recently, honorary professor of human genetics at the University of Cape Town. And he says South Africans will soon have a public genetic database which will show how the country became populated over thousands of years. The African Genome project is supported by local genealogy website Ancestry24.com James aims to trace the origins of South Africans "no matter what their language, ethnic origins, or skin colour". "No one group can lay claim to South Africa. Everyone is a settler, and we will show how people came here in waves of migration." -- 'We are all settlers in SA', Lynnette Johns, IOL; August 18 2007 at 03:37pm: <http://www.iol.co.za/news/south-africa/we-are-all-settlers-in-sa-1.366860>

¹³ <http://unsr.jamesanaya.org/> and <http://www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/IPeoples/SRIIndigenousPeoples/Pages/SRIPeoplesIndex.aspx>

¹⁴ 'Kaffir Etymology': Original Etymological Definition for 'Kaffir': The word kāfir is the active participle of the Semitic root K-F-R "to cover". As a pre-Islamic term it described farmers burying seeds in the ground, covering them with soil while planting; as they till the earth and "cover up" the seeds; which is why earth tillers are referred to as "Kuffar." Thus, the word kāfir implies the meaning "a person who hides or covers"; To conceal, deny, hide or cover the truth.

¹⁵ 'Kaffir Legislation' = Inalienable Right to Breed' Poverty, Misery and War legislation; pretending it advocates for 'peace' and 'human rights'. Kaffir Law/Legislation provides citizens with the Inalienable 'Right to Breed', but demands that Citizens need a Licence to Own a Gun, a Licence to Drive a Car, a Licence to Practice Law, a television licence, a credit licence, a licence to earn a living, a university exemption licence, a licence to fish, a licence to hunt, a liquor licence, a business licence, a marriage licence, etc, etc.

¹⁶ Europost: Children of the Rainbow against Anders Breivik <http://www.europost.bg/article?id=4409>

invoke Radical Honoursty cultural law; or (B) a Monocultural Indigenous European Supremacy Legal Hegemonic State, and that the Labour Party Immigration policy is a tactic to maintain their grip on power, by importing Non-Western immigrants as Labour Party vote-fodder.

- a. The European Court of Human Rights ruling in *Lithgow & others v. United Kingdom* (1986) * EHRH 329 § 110, stated that the rule of law requires provisions of legislation to be adequately accessible and sufficiently precise to enable people to regulate their affairs in accord with the law.
- b. Applicant is a member of the Radical Honoursty culture and is confused by Norway's Newspeak representation of support for 'Children of the Rainbow' multicultural political diversity, while its courts appear to practice Monocultural totalitarian agriculture legal hegemony, refusing to grant the applicant even the right to be acknowledged as an existing inferior cultural being, let alone the right to be admitted to proceedings, or to invoke her respective Indigenous Sustainability/Law of Limited Competition cultural law.
- c. Applicants past experience of living in a truly political and legal multicultural society, such as existed under legal Multicultural¹⁷/Apartheid¹⁸ was one which provided all citizens of all cultures their right to invoke cultural law - whether for example: Sharia¹⁹, Zulu²⁰ or Radical Honoursty²¹ - in Apartheid South Africa's courts.

¹⁷ For Example in Legal Multicultural Apartheid: In *Ex Parte Minister of Native Affairs in re: Yako v Beyi* 1948 (1) SA 388 (A) Schreiner J.A. said lifestyle of is a choice of law factor. "Aside from an express choice of laws all connecting factors with conflict of personal laws are designed to determine, in an objective manner, the cultural orientation of the parties. Because the laws involved are conceived in terms of culture the connecting factors must be conceived in like terms. The most direct access to a person's cultural leanings would clearly be his or her lifestyle."

¹⁸ For Example in Legal Multicultural Apartheid: *Ex parte Minister of Native Affairs: In re Yako v Beyi* 1948 (1) SA 388 (A) at 397: Appellate Division held that neither common nor customary law was prima facie applicable. Courts had to consider all the circumstances of a case, and, without any preconceived view about the applicability of one or other legal system, select the appropriate law on the basis of its inquiry.

¹⁹ Sharia law is the moral code and religious law of Islam. Sharia deals with many topics addressed by secular law, including crime, politics and economics, as well as personal matters such as sexual intercourse, hygiene, diet, prayer, and fasting. Though interpretations of sharia vary between cultures, in its strictest definition it is considered the infallible law of God—as opposed to the human interpretation of the law (fiqh). There are two primary sources of Islamic law: the precepts set forth in the Quran, and the example set by the Islamic prophet Muhammad in the Sunnah. Where it has official status, sharia is interpreted by Islamic judges (qadis) with varying responsibilities for the religious leaders (imams). For questions not directly addressed in the primary sources, they extend the application of sharia through consensus of the religious scholars (ulama) thought to embody the consensus of the Muslim Community (ijma). Islamic jurisprudence will also sometimes incorporate analogies from the Quran and Sunnah through qiyas, though Shia jurists prefer reasoning (aql) to analogy.

²⁰ In *Smit NO and Others v King Goodwill Zwelithini Kabhekuzulu and Others*[A] Judge Nic van Reyden of the Kwa-Zulu Natal High Court, ruled in favour of the revived Zulu cultural practice of barehanded killing of a bull at the Ukweshwama festival, satisfied with the evidence of cultural expert Professor Jabulani Mapalala[B], who said that the animal's death was quick, unpainful and that no blood was shed. (Others disagreed[C] : Mapalala's expert witness testimony contradicts Chief Mlaba (not submitted to the court), as quoted in an ANC newsletter of December 1995, that: "We must use our bare hands, It's cruelty, we agree, but it's our culture. We cannot change our culture.")[D]

[A] *Smit NO and Others v King Goodwill Zwelithini Kabhekuzulu and Others* (10237/2009) [2009] ZAKZPHC 75 (4 December 2009) [B] *Mkhize: Bull-killing ruling promotes cultural tolerance*, Mail & Guardian, 04/12/09; *Court Clears Ritual, Bare Handed Killing of a Bull - Does the Judgement Threaten Wider Environmental Problems?*, D.Harcourt, Eco-Localizer, 06/12/09; *S. African Judge Compares Zulu Bull-Killing to Holy Communion*, by C Szabo, 02/12/09, Digital Journal; [www.scribd.com/doc/34458079]

[C] *Culture no excuse for cruelty: How soon before we start burning witches again?*; Justice Malala, Sunday Times, 6 Dec 2009: "The argument put forward was that this bull must suffer because my ancestors made animals suffer. The argument is, with all due respect, stupid: my ancestors had not read the work of JM Coetzee and were not on Facebook. I know that I know more than they did, and that my practices must of necessity differ with theirs." [PDF: www.scribd.com/doc/34458079]

[D] ANC Daily News Briefing, Monday 11 December 1995: *Zulu King revives ceremonies to build support*, Sapa-AP, 10 December 1995; *Court Clears Ritual, Bare Handed Killing of a Bull - Does the Judgement Threaten Wider Environmental Problems?*, by Dave Harcourt, Eco-Localizer, 6 December 2009 [PDF: www.scribd.com/doc/34458079]

²¹ Sustainability, i.e. environmental or ecological rights and responsibilities are the sine qua non[A] foundation for all other rights[B]. However adding "sustainable" to our legal vocabulary, is not sufficient to ensure that our society becomes sustainable, unless the definition of sustainable[C] is sufficiently precise[D] to enable sustainable living.

[A] *Opinion of Weeramantry J in the Case Concerning the Gabcikovo-Nagymaros Project (Hungary v Slovakia)* (1998) 37 International Legal Materials 162 206.

[B] *Democracy Cannot Survive Overpopulation*, Al Bartlett, Ph.D., Population & Environment, Vol. 22, No. 1, Sep 2000, pgs. 63-71

- d. Norway accused South Africa's legal multicultural society of being 'racist' and demanded the 'liberation'²² of African South Africans. Norway's Anti-Apartheid activities were vast, including but not limited to: Arms embargo; Oil embargo; Economic boycott Loans; Boycott of Investments; Boycott of the Krugerrand; Boycott of fruit and other products; Coal boycott; Boycott of air links; Cultural boycott; Academic boycott; Sports boycott; and massive funding of ANC Anti-Apartheid non-violent and violent activities. Organisations involved in Anti-Apartheid 'Regime Change' including: (1) Common Council for Southern Africa, (2) Council on Ecumenical and International Relations, (3) Crisis Fund for South Africa, (4) Norwegian Action against Apartheid (NAMA), (5) Norwegian Church Aid (NCA), (6) Norwegian Council for Southern Africa (NOCOZA), (7) Norwegian Operation Day's Work (OD), (8) Norwegian People's Aid (NPA), (9) Norwegian Students' and Academics' International Assistance Fund (SAIH), (10) International Solidarity Committee of the Norwegian Labour Movement (AIS), (11) South Africa Committee
- e. However whereas Apartheid provided all SA's citizens with legal equality, including the right to invoke cultural law, although not universal national political suffrage; Norway provides its citizens with political equality, but insists on Indigenous Norwegian supremacy, i.e. legal cultural hegemony.
- f. Norway will be truly legally multicultural when an alleged Muslim rapist is allowed to invoke Islamic cultural law in regards to justifying his sexual behaviour with a woman who dressed sexually provocatively²³, since in his culture a woman dressed like a 'slut' is blatantly and unequivocally advertising her sexual availability.
- g. Naturally the Labour Party have no intentions whatsoever of implementing such true legal multiculturalism - the right to invoke cultural law - to all of Norway's multicultural minorities while Indigenous European Norwegians are still a political majority, because if they did, such a legal policy change would be experienced by Indigenous Norwegians as multiple Anders Breivik Twin Attacks in court rooms all over Norway very day, where Indigenous Norwegians were involved in 'multicultural' legal

[C] "A sustainable society utilizes renewable natural resources exclusively, at levels less than or equal to the levels at which they are replenished by Nature; by extension, a sustainable society degrades natural habits at levels less than or equal to the levels at which they are regenerated by Nature—forever. All other resource utilization behavior, and all human societies that engage in this behavior, are unsustainable—period. Society does not really have a choice regarding whether or not we'd like to be sustainable; we'll either transition voluntarily to a sustainable lifestyle paradigm, or Nature will do it for us--horribly." - Chris Clugston, On American Sustainability—Anatomy of a Societal Collapse: The Real "Inconvenient Truth" <http://www.wakeupamerika.com/PDFs/On-American-Sustainability.pdf>

[D] *Lithgow & others v. United Kingdom* (1986) * EHRH 329 § 110

Radical Honour Sustainability Bill of Rights: A healthy ecological environment, with due regard for carrying capacity laws of sustainability is a sine qua non for all other constitutional rights; similarly a psychological integrity environment of philosophical courageous truth searching honesty and sincere forgiveness is a sine qua non for healthy, transparent relationships that result in the co-creation of a code of conduct that enables non-violent honest sincere resolutions to disagreements. Hence an individual whose lifestyle is sustainable (in terms of procreation and consumption) is entitled to other civil and human 'rights' and an individual whose lifestyle is not sustainable is denied other rights until they amend their lifestyle to being sustainable. Put differently, legislators or tribal leaders whose person to person, and tribe to nature tribal code of conduct relationships incorporate these two fundamental sine qua non precepts, can be said to have eliminated the difference between what the laws of human nature, and natural laws say and mean, and applied such knowledge in a clear code of conduct for their tribe to live in accordance to. They are social engineers who search for the truth about human nature and natural laws, and clarify and simply them for application.

²² <http://www.liberationafrica.se/archives/norway/>

²³ Norway: Muslim rapist tells victim that in Islam, women have no rights

<http://www.jihadwatch.org/2011/05/norway-muslim-rapist-tells-victim-that-in-islam-women-have-no-rights.html>

court disputes; the psychological boomerang of these multicultural legal experiences which deny them their Euro cultural legal hegemony in any dispute, would result in the Labour Party being replaced by any Party which promised to return Indigenous Norwegian cultural legal hegemony and guaranteed Indigenous European Norwegian cultural political hegemony.

- h. It consequently appears plausible that Norway's 'Children of the Rainbow' Multiculturalism policy is simply a bullshit-the-public-relations ("PR") façade²⁴ political tactic being implemented by the Labour Party to maintain its grip on power, by importing Non-Western immigrants as Labour Party vote-fodder.
- i. In consideration of the maxim: Better an Honest Enemy than a False Friend, it would appear that the Apartheid 'Racist' Afrikaner/Boers were morally, legally and politically honourable honest enemies towards Africans; while Norwegian Liberal Europeans are lying, deceiving, two faced, manipulative false friends towards Muslims.
- j. Furthermore: It would appear that the Apartheid 'Racist' Afrikaner/Boers were morally, legally and politically honourable honest enemies towards Africans, while Mandela and a significant number of Norway's Muslims are lying, deceiving, two-faced, manipulative false friends towards Norwegian Europeans.
- k. The Norwegian Ministry of Culture should consequently act in accordance to European Court of Human Rights ruling in *Lithgow & others v. United Kingdom*, and clarify in adequately accessible and sufficiently precise statement; whether Norway is (A) a 'Children of the Rainbow'²⁵ State legally committed to Multiculturalism, providing all cultures their right to invoke cultural law; or (B) a Monocultural Indigenous European Supremacy Legal Hegemonic State, and that the Labour Party Immigration policy is a tactic to maintain their grip on power, by importing Non-Western immigrants as Labour Party vote-fodder.

PART C:

[III] To Review the Oslo District Court failure to act in accordance of due process to a Jus Sanguinis Radical Honoursty African EcoFeminist White Refugee Applicant member of the Radical Honesty culture, in the following applications:

²⁴ The white liberal differs from the white conservative only in one way: the liberal is more deceitful than the conservative. The liberal is more hypocritical than the conservative. Both want power, but the white liberal is the one who has perfected the art of posing as the Negro's friend and benefactor; and by winning the friendship, allegiance, and support of the Negro, the white liberal is able to use the Negro as a pawn or tool in this political "football game" that is constantly raging between the white liberals and white conservatives.... Once the Negro learns to think for himself, he will no longer allow the white liberal to use him as a helpless football in the white man's crooked game of "power politics." The white conservatives aren't friends of the Negro either, but they at least don't try to hide it. They are like wolves; they show their teeth in a snarl that keeps the Negro always aware of where he stands with them. But the white liberals are foxes, who also show their teeth to the Negro but pretend that they are smiling. The white liberals are more dangerous than the conservatives; they lure the Negro, and as the Negro runs from the growling wolf, he flees into the open jaws of the "smiling" fox.-- Excerpts from 1963 speech by Malcolm X: "God's Judgement of White America."

²⁵ Europost: Children of the Rainbow against Anders Breivik <http://www.europost.bg/article?id=4409>

- a. The Applicants 30 November 2011 *Application for a Writ of [I] Habeus Mentem on behalf of Anders Breivik psycho-cultural integrity right to a free and fair trial; and [II] writ of Certiorari/Review of the Psychiatric Evaluation Report of Psychiatrists: Synne Serheim and Torgeir Husby as to the Mens Rea political necessity criminal liability of Anders Breivik terrorist acts, on 22 July 2011.* (Annex A)
- b. The Applicants 15 April 2012 *Application to proceed as In Forma Pauperis Jus Sanguinis Norwegian African White Refugee Amicus Curiae for an Order (1) to approve the Applicant as an In Forma Pauperis Jus Sanguinis Norwegian African White Refugee Amici Curiae, and (2) Amending the Charges Against the Defendant [Breivik] and Applicant [Johnstone] to include Treason in terms of Article 85 of Norwegian Constitution, and if found guilty, in a free and fair trial; to be executed by firing squad.* (Annex B)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the accompanying affidavit of Jus Sanguinis Radical Honoursty African EcoFeminist White Refugee Lara Johnstone will be used in support of this application.

Please Take Notice the Applicant shall accept notice and service of all process in these proceedings, at 16 Taaibos Ave, Heatherpark, George, 6529, South Africa. Tel: +27 (44) 870 7239; Cell: (071) 170 1954; Email: jmcswan@mweb.co.za

TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if you intend opposing this application you are required;-

- (a) to notify applicant in writing on or before **28 MAY 2012**; and
- (b) within 15 DAYS after you have so given notice of your intention to oppose the application to file your answering affidavit, if any; and further that you are required to appoint in such notification an address, including an email address, at which you will accept notice and service of all documents in these proceedings.

If no such notice of intention to oppose is given, the applicant will request the Registrar to place the matter before the Chief Justice to be dealt with in terms of the relevant rules²⁶ in accordance to the Supreme Court Test²⁷.

Dated at George, Southern Cape, South Africa, on **10 MAY 2012**.

²⁶ Orientering om saksførebuing, straffesaker 3 Spørsmål om ei sak er eigna som prøvesak || Presentation of case preparation, criminal cases 3 Questions about a case is suitable as a test case

<http://www.domstol.no/nn-NO/Enkelt-domstol/Noregs-Hogsterett/Saksforberedelse/Orientering-om-saksforebuing-straffesaker/>

²⁷ Høyesterettsprøven || Supreme Court Test <http://www.domstol.no/no/Enkelt-domstol/-Norges-Hoyesterett/Hoyesterettsproven/>



LARA JOHNSTONE, Pro Se
16 Taaibos Ave, Heatherpark
George, 6529
Tel/Fax: (044) 870 7239
Email: jmcswan@mweb.co.za

TO: Chief Justice Tore Schei
Post: Postboks 5678 Sluppen 7485 Trondheim
Telefon: 73 56 70 00 | Telefaks: 73 56 70 01
E-post: postmottak@domstoladministrasjonen.no

PART A - C:

[01] PROSECUTION

TO: State of Norway
Prosecutor Svein Holden
c/o & via: Norwegian Police
Postboks 8193 Dep., 0034 Oslo
Tel/Fax: 23 29 10 00 | 23 29 10 01
E-post: post.okokrim@politiet.no,
politidirektoratet@politiet.no,
post.pst@politiet.no, oslo@namsfogden.no

Prosecutor Svein Holden
c/o & via: MinJustice: Grete Faremo
P.O. Box 8005 Dep, 0030 Oslo
Tel: 22 24 90 90
E: grete.faremo@jd.dep.no,
postmottak@jd.dep.no,
morten.ruud@jd.dep.no,
tonje.meinich@jd.dep.no

[02] VICTIMS FAMILIES:

TO: Siv Hallgren
Advokatfirmaet Elden
Pb 6684 St Olavs pl, 0129 Oslo
Tlf: 21 67 10 00 | Mob: 95 28 89 27
E-post: siv.hallgren@elden.no

TO: Frode Elgesem
Advokatfirmaet Thommessen AS
Pb 1484 Vika, N-0116 Oslo
Tel: 23 11 14 13 | Mob: 41 69 60 89
Epost: elg@thommessen.no

TO: Mette Yvonne Larsen
Stabell & Co
Pboks 599, Sentrum, 0106 Oslo
Tel: 22 40 41 40 | Mobil: 918 00 934
E: mette.larsen@advokatstabell.no

[03] DEFENDANT

TO: DEFENDANT: Anders Breivik
c/o Geir Lippestad
Advokatfirmaet Lippestad AS
Grensens 12, 0159 OSLO
Mob: 91 13 68 76 | Tel: 22 94 10 20
Email: geir@advokatlippestad.no, tord@advokatlippestad.no, odd@advokatlippestad.no

PART A:

[04] NORWEGIAN REFUGEE COUNCIL: ELISABETH RASMUSSEN

TO: NORWEGIAN REFUGEE COUNCIL
Elizabeth Rasmusson
Secretary General
Norwegian Refugee Council
PO Box 6758
0130 Oslo, Norway
Tel.: 23 10 98 00 | Fax: 23 10 98 01
E: elisabeth.rasmusson@nrc.no, nrc@nrc.no

[05] UN SPECIAL RAPPORTEUR: INDIGENOUS RIGHTS: JAMES ANAYA

TO: UN INDIGENOUS RIGHTS SPC RAPORTEUR
James Anaya, Special Rapporteur
Rights of Indigenous peoples
c/o OHCHR-UNOG
Office of the High Comm for Human Rights
Palais Wilson
1211 Geneva 10, Switzerland
Fax: +41 - 22 917 90 06
Email: indigenous@ohchr.org

[06] ELENA LEGAL ADVISORS:

TO: ELENA NORWEGIAN COORDINATOR
Adv Halvor Frihagen & Brynjulf Risnes
Adv.firmaet Andersen & Bache-Wiig AS
Kristian Augusts gate 10
PO Box 6983 St Olavs plass
0130 Oslo, Norway
Tel.: 23 28 33 33/00 | Fax: 23 28 33 01
E: frihagen@abwiig.no, risnes@abwiig.no

TO: ELENA OSLO LEGAL ADVISOR
Advokat Signe Blekastad
Adv. Trond Olsen Naess
Advokatfirmaet Furuholmen AS
Grensen 3
NO-0159 OSLO, Norway
Tel: +47 90 88 25 52
E-mail: sb@adv-furuholmen.no, adm@adv-furuholmen.no, ton@adv-furuholmen.no

TO: ELENA OSLO LEGAL ADVISOR
Kjell T. Dahl
Firma: Advokatfirma Dahl og Ibsen AS
C.J. Hambros Plass 5, 0164, Oslo
Mobil: 90 93 07 50
Telefon: 22 47 69 50 | Faks: 22 41 22 28
E-mail: ktdahl@online.no

[07] ELENA: NOAS: ANDREAS FURUSETH

TO: ELENA OSLO LEGAL ADVISOR
Legal Advisor Andreas Furuset
NO Org for Asylum Seekers
Postbox 8893 Youngstorget, N - 0028 Oslo
Tel: +47 223 65670 | Fax: +47 223 65661
E: andreas.furuset@noas.org, noas@noas.org

PART B:

[08] MINISTER OF CULTURE: ANNIKEN HUITFELDT

TO: Anniken Huitfeldt
Norwegian Ministry of Culture
Kulturdepartementet, Akersgt 59 (R5), Postboks 8030 Dep, 0030 Oslo
Tlf. 22 24 90 90, Faks: 22 24 95 50,
E-post: postmottak@kud.dep.no, anniken.huitfeldt@kud.dep.no

[09] PROGRESS PARTY: SIV JENSEN

TO: Siv Jensen
Fremskrittspartiet
Karl Johans gate 25
0159 OSLO
Tel: 23 13 54 00 | Fax: 23 13 54 01
E: frp@frp.no, frp.postmottak@stortinget.no

[10] CHURCH OF NORWAY: BERIT HAGEN AGOY

TO: Gen. Sec. Berit Hagen Agøy
Council for Ecumenical & Intnl Relations
Pb. 799 Sentrum, 0106 Oslo
T 23 08 12 00, faks 23 08 12 01
E-post: berit.hagen.agoy@kirken.no, post.kirkeradet@kirken.no

[11] MUSLIM SOCIETY OF TRONDHEIM: JORUNN JASMIN OKSVOLD

TO: Imam Jorunn Jasmin Oksvold
Muslim Society in Trondheim
P. O. Box 2584, 7414 Trondheim
Tel: 73529848 | Fax: +47 73525296
E: post@msit.no, imam@msit.no, Mehran Emadipour (mehrane@hotmail.com)

[12] NUPI: HELGE LURAS

TO: Helge Luras
Afghanistan and Pakistan Program
Norwegian Inst of Intn'l Affairs (NUPI)
Pb 8159 Dep, 0033 Oslo
Tel: 22 99 40 00 | Fax: 22 36 21 82
E: info@nupi.no, hel@nupi.no

PART C:

[13] & [14] OSLO DISTRICT COURT: JUDGE OPSAHL & JUDGE ARNTZEN

TO: REGISTRAR
Registrar of the Oslo District Court
Sorenskriver og administrasjon
Postadresse: Postboks 8023 Dep., 0030 Oslo
Tel/Faks: 22 03 5212 | 22 03 53 54
E-post: oslo.tinghus.sentralbord@domstol.no, oslo.tingrett.postmottak@domstol.no

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE KINGDOM OF NORWAY

Case #: _____
Oslo District Crt #: 11-188627
MED-05

In the Application of:

LARA JOHNSTONE
Jus Sanguinis Radical Honoursty African
EcoFeminist White Refugee

Application to proceed as In
Forma Pauperis Jus Sanguinis
Radical Honoursty African
EcoFeminist White Refugee

In the matter between (A-C):

KINGDOM OF NORWAY

Prosecution (First Respondent)

VICTIMS FAMILIES:

Second Respondent

And

ANDERS BEIHRING BREVICK

(AKA ANDREW BERWICK, SIGURD JORSALFAR)

Defendant (Third Respondent)

Part A:

NO REFUGEE COUNCIL: ELISABETH RASMUSSEN

Fourth Respondent

UN SR: INDIGENOUS RIGHTS: JAMES ANAYA

Fifth Respondent

ELENA: LA: FRIHAGEN, RISNES, BLEKASTAD, DAHL

Sixth Respondent

ELENA: NOAS: ANDREAS FURUSETH

Seventh Respondent

Part B:

MINISTER OF CULTURE: ANNIKEN HUITFELDT

Eighth Respondent

PROGRESS PARTY: SIV JENSEN

Ninth Respondent

CHURCH OF NORWAY: BERIT HAGEN AGOY

Tenth Respondent

MUSLIM SOC. OF TRONDHEIM: JJ OKSVOLD

Eleventh Respondent

NUPI: HELGE LURAS

Twelfth Respondent

Part C:

JUDGE NINA OPSAHL

Thirteenth Respondent

JUDGE WENCHE ELIZABETH ARNTZEN

Fourteenth Respondent

FOUNDING AFFIDAVIT

Application in terms of Article's 2, 4, 85, 100, 110a, 110b, of Norwegian Constitution; and Article's 1, 5, 6, 9, 13 and 14 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms ("ECHR") and Rule 44 § 2 of the Rules of the Court

I the undersigned,

LARA JOHNSTONE

do hereby make oath and say:

1. I am an adult Problem Solving Radical Honoursty African Ecofeminist paralegal, resident at 16 Taaibos Avenue, Heatherpark, George, Southern Cape, South Africa; where I run a small EcoFeminist pedal-powered wormery business (www.sqworms.co.nr). I file this application in my capacity as a Jus Sanguinis Norwegian African White Refugee.
2. The facts set out herein fall within my personal knowledge, unless otherwise indicated by the context, and are to the best of my belief true and correct.
3. When dealing with legal questions, I rely on:
 1. A Paralegal Certificate, and Paralegal Diploma, both with Distinction, from the *South African Institute of Legal Training* and *Damelin Correspondence Career Development College*.
 2. **My Radical Honoursty EcoFeminist culture of Radical Transparency Principles:** (A) A healthy ecological environment, with due regard for carrying capacity laws of sustainability is a *sine qua non*¹ for all other constitutional rights; similarly a psychological integrity environment of philosophical radical transparency courageous truth searching honesty and sincere forgiveness is a *sine qua non*² for healthy, transparent relationships that result in the co-creation of a code of conduct that enables non-violent honest sincere resolutions to disagreements.
 3. **My Problem Solving Leadership Principles:** Enable a Radical Transparency environment to facilitate free thinking ideas for the problem to be clearly and succinctly defined³; suggestions accepted based upon merit of idea, not individuals social standing; fanclubs and followers are eschewed.
 4. **My Guerrilla Lawfare⁴ Worldview: The Paradox of the Masculine (Reason and Logic) Insecurity Human Farming⁵ Kaffir⁶ Matrix Court: Radical Transparency**

¹ *Opinion of Weeramantry J in the Case Concerning the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project (Hungary v Slovakia)* (1998) 37 International Legal Materials 162 206. <http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/files/92/7383.pdf>

² *Practicing Radical Honesty*, by Brad Blanton <http://jus-sanguinis-ror.blogspot.com/2012/01/practicing-radical-honesty-being.html>

³ Albert Einstein: 'If I had one hour to save the world I would spend fifty-five minutes defining the problem and only five minutes finding the solution,' and 'If you can't explain it simply, you don't understand it well enough.'

⁴ <http://www.guerrillalaw.com/> and <http://guerrillalawfare.com/>

⁵ Human Farming: Story of Your Enslavement: <http://youtu.be/gHAnrXCvavc>

⁶ Radical Honoursty Definitions of Kaffir are not Racial, but Behavioural: For Example:

* **'Kaffir Behaviour'**: Cultural Beliefs and Procreation Behaviour Definition: Individuals who either independently or as a result of their cultural value systems, are incapable of, or unwilling to, practice sexual restraint and procreation responsibility; who consequently breed cockroach-prolifically without personal financial or psychological responsibility to, or emotional concern for, their offspring; and/or who abuse women and children as sexual or economic slaves procreated for such purpose; and/or whose cultural ideal of manhood endorses non-consensual sex (rape) as their sexual slavery entitlement, etc.

* **'Kaffir Etymology'**: Original Etymological Definition for 'Kaffir': The word kāfir is the active participle of the Semitic root K-F-R "to cover". As a pre-Islamic term it described farmers burying seeds in the ground, covering them with soil while planting; as they till the earth and "cover up" the seeds; which is why earth tillers are referred to as "Kuffar." Thus, the word kāfir implies the meaning "a person who hides or covers"; To conceal, deny, hide or cover the truth.

Problem Solving is to the Masculine Insecurity Kaffir Matrix Court; what Martin Luther or Galileo Galilei were to the Catholic Church. The Kaffir Matrix Court system is founded on 'Kaffir Legislation': Inalienable Right to Breed and Vote: Kaffir Law/Legislation provides citizens with the Inalienable 'Right to Breed' and 'Right to Vote', but demands that Citizens need a Licence to Own a Gun, a Licence to Drive a Car, a Licence to Practice Law, a television licence, a credit licence, a licence to earn a living, a university exemption licence, a licence to fish, a licence to hunt, a liquor licence, a business licence, a marriage licence, etc, etc.

- i. Iron Mountain⁷ 'War is a Racket'⁸ Q&A: Why does the Masculine Insecurity Human Farming Kaffir Legal Matrix avoid voting⁹ or breeding licences???
- ii. Kaffir Legislation covers up that an 'Inalienable Right to Breed/laissez-faire birth control policy + No Social Welfare policies or practices provides for an equilibrium carrying capacity; whereas Inalienable Right to Breed/laissez-faire birth control within a welfare state, results in Runaway Growth, and ultimately greater misery, poverty and war¹⁰.
- iii. Kaffir Legislation covers up that the Inalienable Right to Vote, or Universal Suffrage for the Ignorant is the road to centralisation of power and tyranny.¹¹

4. Applicant: Radical Honoursty Transparency Political Necessity EcoFeminist Terrorist:

"To clarify, "religion" as I use it here does not refer to a system that has necessarily to do with a concept of God or with idols or even to a system of perceived religion, but to *any group-shared system of thought and action that offers the individual a frame of orientation and an object of devotion*. A religion may be conducive to the development of destructiveness or of love, of domination or of solidarity; it may further their power to reason or paralyze it. The question is not one of *religion or not?* but of *which kind of religion?* - whether it is one that furthers human development, the unfolding of specifically human powers, or one that paralyzes human growth. Thus, our religious attitude is an aspect of our character structure, for *we are what we are devoted to, and what we are devoted to is what motivates our conduct.*"¹²

5. I have political motivated criminal convictions for:

⁷ Report from Iron Mountain: On the Possibility and Desirability of Peace http://www.teachpeace.com/Report_from_Iron_Mountain.pdf

⁸ War is a Racket, by USMC General Smedley Bulter <http://waris racket.org/dedication.html>

⁹ "In order to achieve this goal [of world domination], we must introduce [the right to vote] universal suffrage beforehand, without distinctions of class and wealth. Then the masses of people will decide everything; and since it [universal suffrage] is controlled by us we will achieve through it the absolute majority, which we could never achieve if only the educated and possessing classes had the vote." -- Protocols of the Elders of Zion, 10th Sitting, Wallstein Pub. House, ISBN 3-89244-191-x, p. 60

¹⁰ *From Shortage to Longage: Forty Years in the Population Vineyards*, by Garrett Hardin, Population and Environment, Vol. 12, No. 3. Spring 1991 http://www.garretthardinsociety.org/articles/art_from_shortage_to_longage.html

¹¹ "In order to achieve this goal [of world domination], we must introduce [the right to vote] universal suffrage beforehand, without distinctions of class and wealth. Then the masses of people will decide everything; and since it [universal suffrage] is controlled by us we will achieve through it the absolute majority, which we could never achieve if only the educated and possessing classes had the vote." -- Protocols of the Elders of Zion, 10th Sitting, Wallstein Pub. House, ISBN 3-89244-191-x, p. 60

¹² *To Have or to Be*, by Erich Fromm, published in World Perspective Series, by Harper & Row.

1. **Terrorism:** On 18 June 2002 (Phi Day¹³ and President Mbeki's 60th birthday) I made a bomb threat to the P.W. Botha International airport in George and then turned myself into the Police, based upon the political necessity of exposing SA's Truth and Reconciliation Fraud (particularly the relationship between overpopulation and terrorism and the media's coverup of overpopulation-environment-terrorism connections). I was sentenced to two years correctional supervision.
2. **Malicious Damage to State Property:** I broke about half a dozen windows in George Women's prison and set the prison on fire on 19 April 2003 (Anniversary: Protestant Reformation¹⁴, OKC Bombing & Warsaw Ghetto Uprising¹⁵), when Prison authorities refused to recognize my hungerstrike for a single cell and denied me my right to practice my Radical Honesty culture. (Sentenced as above)
3. **Contempt in Facie Curiae:** I accused a white Afrikaner Magistrate & Black Xhosa Prosecutor of being 'corrupt white and black kaffirs¹⁶' in court proceedings. When the Magistrate ordered me to apologize I said 'Fuck You' to him very loudly a few times, including showing him my middle finger. I was convicted of 3 counts of contempt and sentenced to a year in George Women's Prison (2 x 3 m; 1 x 6 m).

A: JUS SANGUINIS RADICAL HONOURSTY AFRICAN WHITE REFUGEE:

"Between 1970 and 1999, 80% of civil conflicts occurred in countries where 60% of the population or more were under the age of thirty... Today there are sixty-seven counties with youth bulges, of which sixty of them are experiencing social unrest and violence."
 - Council on Foreign Relations¹⁷

¹³ In 2002, the established Phi Day, or Golden Ratio Day (GRD), was June 18th, based on the number 0.6180339.... This was presumably chosen because ϕ is elegantly enough 1 more than its reciprocal, as $\phi = 1 + (1/\phi)$. Therefore $1/\phi$, or F , has the same decimal non-repeating irrational form, but doesn't include the leading 1 to the left of the decimal. This yields 0.618..., the first three digits of which apply nicely to the Gregorian calendar as 6/18, June 18th. In mathematics and the arts, two quantities are in the golden ratio if the ratio of the sum of the quantities to the larger quantity is equal to the ratio of the larger quantity to the smaller one. Pentagon: In a regular pentagon the ratio between a side and a diagonal is (i.e. $1/\phi$), while intersecting diagonals section each other in the golden ratio. The golden ratio plays an important role in the geometry of pentagrams. Each intersection of edges sections other edges in the golden ratio. Also, the ratio of the length of the shorter segment to the segment bounded by the two intersecting edges (a side of the pentagon in the pentagram's center) is ϕ . The pentagram includes ten isosceles triangles: five acute & five obtuse isosceles triangles. In all of them, the ratio of the longer side to the shorter side is ϕ . The acute triangles are golden triangles.

¹⁴ 1529 - The Second Diet of Speyer bans Lutheranism; a group of rulers (German: Fürst) and independent cities (German: Reichsstadt) protests the reinstatement of the Edict of Worms, beginning the Protestant Reformation.

¹⁵ 1943 - World War II: In Poland, German troops enter the Warsaw ghetto to round up the remaining Jews, beginning the Warsaw Ghetto Uprising.

¹⁶ Radical Honesty SA definitions of the word 'Kaffir':

[i] 'Kaffir Behaviour': Cultural Beliefs and Procreation Behaviour Definition: Individuals who either independently or as a result of their cultural value systems, are incapable of, or unwilling to, practice sexual restraint and procreation responsibility; who consequently breed cockroach-prolifically without personal financial or psychological responsibility to, or emotional concern for, their offspring; and/or who abuse women and children as sexual or economic slaves procreated for such purpose; and/or whose cultural ideal of manhood endorses non-consensual sex (rape) as their sexual slavery entitlement, etc.

[ii] 'Kaffir Etymology': Original Etymological Definition for 'Kaffir': The word kāfir is the active participle of the Semitic root K-F-R "to cover". As a pre-Islamic term it described farmers burying seeds in the ground, covering them with soil while planting; as they till the earth and "cover up" the seeds; which is why earth tillers are referred to as "Kuffar." Thus, the word kāfir implies the meaning "a person who hides or covers"; To conceal, deny, hide or cover the truth.

[iii] 'Kaffir Legislation' = Inalienable Right to Breed and Vote': Breed Poverty, Misery and War legislation; pretending it advocates for 'peace' and 'human rights'; Kaffir Law/Legislation provides citizens with the Inalienable 'Right to Breed', but demands that Citizens need a Licence to Own a Gun, a Licence to Drive a Car, a Licence to Practice Law, a television licence, a credit licence, a licence to earn a living, a university exemption licence, a licence to fish, a licence to hunt, a liquor licence, a business licence, a marriage licence, etc, etc.

¹⁷ *The Effects of 'Youth Bulge' on Civil Conflicts*, by Lionel Beehner, Council on Foreign Relations Backgrounder, April 27, 2007

“For revolutionary groups, the more murderous the deed, the more certain the media coverage.” -- Nicholas Partridge, ANC: VIP’s of Violence

6. The applicant has filed the following non-violent applications to request South African and other International Authorities support her minority right to public discourse on the matter of (a) the importance of a Deep Ecology Sustainability Bill of Rights and worldview; (b) Population/Demographic Masculine Insecurity Breeding War roots of Political and Resource war violence, including Apartheid Violence; (c) the relationship between Media Censorship of Overpopulation and it’s Resource War and Terrorism consequences, (d) Jus Sanguinis European Indigenous Citizenship for African White Refugees, (e) equitable recognition of the Radical Honoursty culture, (f) South Africa’s Truth and Reconciliation (TRC) Fraud’s African White Refugee consequences:

1. **SA Constitutional Court:** Amicus Curiae of Lara Johnstone, in Support of Radical Honesty Population Common Sense Interpretation of Promotion of National Unity and Reconciliation Act, 34 of 1995 (PDF¹⁸).

i. CENSORED from Public discourse by SA Kaffir¹⁹ Legislation²⁰ Media, and ignored by Kaffir²¹ Legislation²² Elite: Justices and other Parties.

2. **SA Supreme Court of Appeal:** Amicus Curiae of Lara Johnstone, Radical Honesty Culture; ‘Boer/Settler’ descendant of among others Dutch, French Huguenot, British, German and Norwegian ‘Settlers’ to the Cape Province: (NL): BOSMAN Hermanus; KOLVER, Andreas Lutgerus; VAN VUUREN, Gerrit (Janse); (FR) DE VILLIERS, Abraham & GARDIOL, Susanne; DELPORTE, Jacques & VITOUT, Sara; JACOBS, Pierre & DE VOS, Susanna; MARE, Ignace & VAN VUUREN, Susanna; (UK) JOHNSTONE James Augustus; KOLBE George Augustus and DOWNING Margaret; (DE) HAMMES, Pieter Caspar; ROOS, Johannes (Hans); and (NO) FURSTENBERG, Johan Pieter; In Support of ‘Political Necessity French Riddle of the Kaffir Lily Pond’ Application of the Radical Honesty Population Policy Common Sense Interpretation of ANC’s ‘TRC Social Contract Fraud’; Recommendation to Constitutional Court to Resolve ‘Kill Boer/Settler Hate Speech’ Descartian v. Ubuntu Conformist Cultural Friction by Implementing: (A) 23 April 1994 Accord on Afrikaner Self-Determination to provide Boers with a ‘Kaffir’ Free Speech Volkstaat; and each African

¹⁸ http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/100718_rhwr-concourt-amicus

¹⁹ ‘Kaffir Etymology’: Original Etymological Definition for ‘Kaffir’: The word kāfir is the active participle of the Semitic root K-F-R “to cover”. As a pre-Islamic term it described farmers burying seeds in the ground, covering them with soil while planting; as they till the earth and “cover up” the seeds; which is why earth tillers are referred to as “Kuffar.” Thus, the word kāfir implies the meaning “a person who hides or covers”; To conceal, deny, hide or cover the truth.

²⁰ ‘Kaffir Legislation’ = Inalienable Right to Breed’ Poverty, Misery and War legislation; pretending it advocates for ‘peace’ and ‘human rights’. Kaffir Law/Legislation provides citizens with the Inalienable ‘Right to Breed’, but demands that Citizens need a Licence to Own a Gun, a Licence to Drive a Car, a Licence to Practice Law, a television licence, a credit licence, a licence to earn a living, a university exemption licence, a licence to fish, a licence to hunt, a liquor licence, a business licence, a marriage licence, etc, etc.

²¹ ‘Kaffir Etymology’: Original Etymological Definition for ‘Kaffir’: The word kāfir is the active participle of the Semitic root K-F-R “to cover”. As a pre-Islamic term it described farmers burying seeds in the ground, covering them with soil while planting; as they till the earth and “cover up” the seeds; which is why earth tillers are referred to as “Kuffar.” Thus, the word kāfir implies the meaning “a person who hides or covers”; To conceal, deny, hide or cover the truth.

²² ‘Kaffir Legislation’ = Inalienable Right to Breed’ Poverty, Misery and War legislation; pretending it advocates for ‘peace’ and ‘human rights’. Kaffir Law/Legislation provides citizens with the Inalienable ‘Right to Breed’, but demands that Citizens need a Licence to Own a Gun, a Licence to Drive a Car, a Licence to Practice Law, a television licence, a credit licence, a licence to earn a living, a university exemption licence, a licence to fish, a licence to hunt, a liquor licence, a business licence, a marriage licence, etc, etc.

(Zulu/Xhosa) tribe their 'Kill Boer' Free Speech Volkstaats; and/or (B) Jus Sanguinis Repatriation of 'Settlers' to European Progenitor Nations. (PDF²³)

i. CENSORED from Public discourse by SA Kaffir²⁴ Legislation²⁵ Media. Currently before the Supreme Court of Appeal Justices.

3. **International Criminal Court: The Complainants Request the ICC: Prosecutor's Office to: Initiate an investigation into the allegations that the respondents are to be held criminally culpable for their endorsement and concealment of TRC FRAUD, the consequences of which are genocide and crimes against humanity against white South Africans, and ethno-cultural legal and political persecution of Afrikaner/Boer and Radical Honesty cultures. Complainants allege the Defendants cover up and censorship of the ANC and Anti-Apartheid Movements (i) Frantz Fanon/Black Consciousness ('liberation by violence on the rotting corpse of the settlers') (ii) Black Liberation Theology ('violent elimination of 'whiteness'); and (iii) Houari Boumediene/Black Power Breeding War ("The wombs of our women will give us victory")²⁶ inspired TRUTH AND RECONCILIATION COMMISSION FRAUD ("TRC FRAUD") perpetrated against citizens of South Africa, and predominantly against white Afrikaner/Boer/Settlers; is committed in the context of endorsing the ANC's institutionalized regime of systematic oppression and domination by Africans over other racial groups, particularly Boer/Afrikaners and committed with the intention of maintaining the ANC regime. (PDF²⁷)**

i. CENSORED from Public discourse by SA and International Kaffir²⁸ Legislation²⁹ Media: Prosecutor Ocampo appears to be petrified of the media and unwilling to hold them to the same standards of accountability as soldiers/mercenaries/terrorists.

4. **Jus Sanguinis Progenitor Nations: FR: President Sarkozy & Forum Refugees (PDF³⁰); NL: PM Rutte, UNHCR Den Haag, Dutch Refugee Council & ELENA (PDF³¹); DE: Chancellor Merkel & Pro Asyl (PDF³²); UK: PM Cameron, EUDO,**

²³ http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/111230_sca81511

²⁴ 'Kaffir Etymology': Original Etymological Definition for 'Kaffir': The word kāfir is the active participle of the Semitic root K-F-R "to cover". As a pre-Islamic term it described farmers burying seeds in the ground, covering them with soil while planting; as they till the earth and "cover up" the seeds; which is why earth tillers are referred to as "Kuffar." Thus, the word kāfir implies the meaning "a person who hides or covers"; To conceal, deny, hide or cover the truth.

²⁵ 'Kaffir Legislation' = Inalienable Right to Breed' Poverty, Misery and War legislation; pretending it advocates for 'peace' and 'human rights'. Kaffir Law/Legislation provides citizens with the Inalienable 'Right to Breed', but demands that Citizens need a Licence to Own a Gun, a Licence to Drive a Car, a Licence to Practice Law, a television licence, a credit licence, a licence to earn a living, a university exemption licence, a licence to fish, a licence to hunt, a liquor licence, a business licence, a marriage licence, etc, etc.

²⁶ "One day, millions of men will leave the Southern Hemisphere to go to the Northern Hemisphere. And they will not go there as friends. Because they will go there to conquer it. And they will conquer it with their sons. The wombs of our women will give us victory." -- Houari Boumediene, President of Algeria, at the United Nations, 1974 (Boumediene was an ardent supporter of the ANC and SWAPO)

²⁷ http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/110509_icc_trcfraud_complaint

²⁸ 'Kaffir Etymology': Original Etymological Definition for 'Kaffir': The word kāfir is the active participle of the Semitic root K-F-R "to cover". As a pre-Islamic term it described farmers burying seeds in the ground, covering them with soil while planting; as they till the earth and "cover up" the seeds; which is why earth tillers are referred to as "Kuffar." Thus, the word kāfir implies the meaning "a person who hides or covers"; To conceal, deny, hide or cover the truth.

²⁹ 'Kaffir Legislation' = Inalienable Right to Breed' Poverty, Misery and War legislation; pretending it advocates for 'peace' and 'human rights'. Kaffir Law/Legislation provides citizens with the Inalienable 'Right to Breed', but demands that Citizens need a Licence to Own a Gun, a Licence to Drive a Car, a Licence to Practice Law, a television licence, a credit licence, a licence to earn a living, a university exemption licence, a licence to fish, a licence to hunt, a liquor licence, a business licence, a marriage licence, etc, etc.

³⁰ http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/101207_js-ror_fr-amb-j-lapouge

³¹ http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/101205_js-ror-nl-amb-r-devos

³² http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/101209_js-ror_de-amb-dhaller

Asylum Aid & Amnesty International (PDF³³); NO: PM Stoltenberg (PDF³⁴): African White Refugee Petition Briefing Paper (PDF³⁵): Boer Volkstaat; or Jus Sanguinis EU Citizenship for African White Refugees. Petition Justifications: * International Law, Jus Sanguinis, Just War Theory; S. 235 of the SA Constitution, 23 April 1994 Accord on Afrikaner Self-Determination, etc; * Just War TRC Fraud: African National Congress (ANC) & Anti-Apartheid Movement (AAM) Truth & Reconciliation (TRC) Fraud; * Population Policy Friction Theory Common Sense: Peak Oil GeoPoLegal Military Necessity of Ethno-Cultural Secession & Economic Relocalisation.

5. The Jus Sanguinis Petitions included written requests to European Nations Anti-Apartheid Movements Archives for information and evidence that the Anti-Apartheid Movement considered a non-violent cultural and political campaign to end the African Breeding War to demonstrate to the Apartheid Government their commitment to Personal Procreational Responsibility and hence worthy of consideration for equal political suffrage; prior to launching their violent terrorism liberation struggle against Apartheid. EU: HR Ashton (PDF³⁶); UK: Oxford & York Univ (PDF³⁷), CH: Basler Afrika (PDF³⁸), NL: IISG & Amnesty International (PDF³⁹)
 - i. CENSORED from Public discourse by SA Kaffir⁴⁰ Legislation⁴¹ Media, and ignored by Kaffir⁴² Legislation⁴³ Political and Refugee Agency elite from France, Germany, Netherlands and United Kingdom. The application to the Swiss Government was investigated by Swiss Parliament's Committee for Legal Affairs.

B: NO COURTS: RAINBOW MULTICULTURAL / EURO-MONOCULTURAL?:

“To admit the central role of value judgments and cultural norms [in the creation of the DSM] is to give the whole game away. The DSM has to be seen as reliable and valid, or the whole enterprise of medical psychiatry collapses.” -- Lucy Johnstone, The Users and Abusers of Psychiatry

³³ http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/101211_js-ror_uk-hc-brewer

³⁴ http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/110423_no_boer-volkstaat_briefpaper

³⁵ http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/103116_js-ror_bp-hcopy

³⁶ http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/110406_eu-aam-ashton

³⁷ http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/110414_aam-uk_audi-req-info

³⁸ http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/110414_aam-ch_audi-req-info

³⁹ http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/110411_aam-nl_audi-info-req

⁴⁰ 'Kaffir Etymology': Original Etymological Definition for 'Kaffir': The word kāfir is the active participle of the Semitic root K-F-R "to cover". As a pre-Islamic term it described farmers burying seeds in the ground, covering them with soil while planting; as they till the earth and "cover up" the seeds; which is why earth tillers are referred to as "Kuffar." Thus, the word kāfir implies the meaning "a person who hides or covers"; To conceal, deny, hide or cover the truth.

⁴¹ 'Kaffir Legislation' = Inalienable Right to Breed' Poverty, Misery and War legislation; pretending it advocates for 'peace' and 'human rights'. Kaffir Law/Legislation provides citizens with the Inalienable 'Right to Breed', but demands that Citizens need a Licence to Own a Gun, a Licence to Drive a Car, a Licence to Practice Law, a television licence, a credit licence, a licence to earn a living, a university exemption licence, a licence to fish, a licence to hunt, a liquor licence, a business licence, a marriage licence, etc, etc.

⁴² 'Kaffir Etymology': Original Etymological Definition for 'Kaffir': The word kāfir is the active participle of the Semitic root K-F-R "to cover". As a pre-Islamic term it described farmers burying seeds in the ground, covering them with soil while planting; as they till the earth and "cover up" the seeds; which is why earth tillers are referred to as "Kuffar." Thus, the word kāfir implies the meaning "a person who hides or covers"; To conceal, deny, hide or cover the truth.

⁴³ 'Kaffir Legislation' = Inalienable Right to Breed' Poverty, Misery and War legislation; pretending it advocates for 'peace' and 'human rights'. Kaffir Law/Legislation provides citizens with the Inalienable 'Right to Breed', but demands that Citizens need a Licence to Own a Gun, a Licence to Drive a Car, a Licence to Practice Law, a television licence, a credit licence, a licence to earn a living, a university exemption licence, a licence to fish, a licence to hunt, a liquor licence, a business licence, a marriage licence, etc, etc.

7. A country which sincerely practices Multiculturalism (A) provides its citizens with the right to invoke⁴⁴ cultural law⁴⁵ which require the application of choice of law rules, as the SA Constitution does in S. 15 (3), 30, 31, and 185 and Apartheid Legislation enshrined; but (B) enforces the rights of citizens from all cultures to invoke cultural law, which 'Rainbow' South Africa does not (Radical Honesty culture and Afrikaners (Reits Four) have been denied the right to invoke cultural law, respectively: Radical Honesty Communication culture and Afrikaans/Western Voltarian Satire cultural values); but which Apartheid did allow for all black and white cultures.

"The Constitution now provides an entitlement for invoking customary law in legal suits. Because ss 30 and 31 specifically guarantee an individual and a group's right to pursue a culture of choice, it could be argued that application of customary law has become a constitutional right. Previously, the state had assumed complete discretion in deciding whether and to what extent customary law should be recognized, an attitude typical of colonial thinking, for Africans were subject to whatever policies the conquering state chose to impose on them. Now, however, the state has a duty to allow people to participate in the culture they choose, implicit in this duty is a responsibility to uphold the institutions on which that culture is based." -- SALC, Sept 1999: Report on Conflicts of law: P.22 at 1.58.

"Appellate Division held that neither common nor customary law was prima facie applicable. Courts had to consider all the circumstances of a case, and, without any preconceived view about the applicability of one or other legal system, select the appropriate law on the basis of its inquiry." -- *Ex parte Minister of Native Affairs: In re Yako v Beyi* 1948 (1) SA 388 (A) at 397:

8. **Multi-cultural Law Must (a) avoid Mono-cultural legal Hegemony, (b) draw on legal cultural diversity:** Opinion of Weeramantry J in *Case Concerning the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project (Hungary v Slovakia)*⁴⁶, clarifies multi-culti lawmaking:

The need for International law to draw upon Worlds Diversity of Cultures in Harmonizing Development and Environmental Protection

In drawing into international law the benefits of the insights available from other cultures, and in looking to the past for inspiration, international environmental law would not be departing from traditional methods of international law, but would, in fact, be following in the path charted out by Grotius. Rather than laying down a set of principles *a priori* for the new discipline of international law, he sought them also *a posteriori* from the experience of the past, searching through a whole range of cultures available to him for this purpose⁴⁷. From them he drew the durable principles which had weathered the ages, on which to build the new international order of the future. Environmental law is now in a formative stage, not unlike international law in its early stages. A wealth of past experience from a variety of cultures is available to it. It would be pity indeed if it were

⁴⁴ *Ex parte Minister of Native Affairs: In re Yako v Beyi* 1948 (1) SA 388 (A) at 397: Appellate Division held that neither common nor customary law was prima facie applicable. Courts had to consider all the circumstances of a case, and, without any preconceived view about the applicability of one or other legal system, select the appropriate law on the basis of its inquiry.

⁴⁵ SALC, Sept 1999: Report on Conflicts of law: P.22: '1.58. The Constitution now provides an entitlement for invoking customary law in legal suits. Because ss 30 and 31 specifically guarantee an individual and a group's right to pursue a culture of choice, it could be argued that application of customary law has become a constitutional right. Previously, the state had assumed complete discretion in deciding whether and to what extent customary law should be recognized, an attitude typical of colonial thinking, for Africans were subject to whatever policies the conquering state chose to impose on them. Now, however, the state has a duty to allow people to participate in the culture they choose, implicit in this duty is a responsibility to uphold the institutions on which that culture is based.'

⁴⁶ Opinion of Weeramantry J in the *Case Concerning the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project (Hungary v Slovakia)* (1998) 37 *International Legal Materials* 162 206. See also: *Smit NO and Others v King Goodwill Zwelithini Kabhekuzulu and Others* (10237/2009) [2009] ZAKZPHC 75 (4 December 2009); Article 27 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights; *Sandra Lovelace v. Canada*, Communication No. R.6/24 (29 December 1977), U.N. Doc. Supp. No. 40 (A/36/40) at 166 (1981)

⁴⁷ Julius Stone, *Human Law and Human Justice*, 1965, p.66: "It was for this reason that Grotius added to his theoretical deductions such a mass of concrete examples from history."

left untapped merely because of attitudes of formalism which see such approaches as not being entirely *de rigueur*.

I cite in this connection an observation of Sir Robert Jennings that, in taking note of different legal traditions and cultures, the International Court (as it did in the *Western Sahara*) case:

“was asserting, not negating, the Grotian subjection of the totality of international relations to international law. It seems to the writer, indeed, that at the present juncture in the development of the international legal system it may be more important to stress the imperative need to develop international law to comprehend within itself the rich diversity of cultures, civilizations and legal traditions...”⁴⁸

Moreover, especially at the frontiers of the discipline of international law, it needs to be multi-disciplinary, drawing from other disciplines such as history, sociology, anthropology, and psychology such wisdom as may be relevant for its purpose. On the need for the international law of the future to be disciplinary, I refer to another recent extra-judicial observation of distinguished former President of the Court that:

“there should be a much greater, and a practical, recognition by international lawyers that the rule of law in international affairs, and the establishment of international justice, are inter-disciplinary subjects”⁴⁹.

Especially where this Court is concerned, “the essence of true universality” of the institution is captured in the language of Article 9 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice which requires the “representation of the *main forms of civilization* and of the principle legal systems of the world.” (emphasis added)... I see the Court as being charged with a duty to draw upon the wisdom of the worlds several civilizations, where such a course can enrich its insights into the matter before it. The Court cannot afford to be monocultural, especially where it is entering newly developing areas of law.

9. Multiculturalism Definition: Institutionalisation of Multiple Cultures:

10. Wikipedia defines Multiculturalism as: “Multiculturalism is an ideology that promotes the institutionalisation of communities containing multiple cultures. In a political context the term is used for a wide variety of meanings, ranging from the advocacy of equal respect to the various cultures in a society, to a policy of promoting the maintenance of cultural diversity, to policies in which people of various ethnic and religious groups are addressed by the authorities as defined by the group they belong to. A common aspect of many such policies is that they avoid presenting any specific ethnic, religious, or cultural community values as central.”
11. It is the applicants working hypothesis that Norway’s alleged ‘My Rainbow Race’ commitment to Multiculturalism is a hoax; because it is not legally sincere about implementing a multicultural legal system which provides all of Norway citizens from different cultures’ with the right to invoke cultural law - whether Sharia⁵⁰, Zulu⁵¹ or

⁴⁸ Sir Robert Y. Jennings, *Universal International Law in a Multicultural World*, in *International Law and the Grotian Heritage: A Commemorative Colloquium on the Occasion of the Fourth Centenary of the Birth of Hugo Grotius*, edited and published by the T.M.C. Asser Institute, The Hague, 1985, p. 195.

⁴⁹ International Lawyers and the Progressive Development of International Law, Theory of International Law at the Threshold of the 21st Century, Jerzy Makarczyk (ed), 1996, p 423.

⁵⁰ Sharia law is the moral code and religious law of Islam. Sharia deals with many topics addressed by secular law, including crime, politics and economics, as well as personal matters such as sexual intercourse, hygiene, diet, prayer, and fasting. Though interpretations of sharia vary between cultures, in its strictest definition it is considered the infallible law of God—as opposed to the human interpretation of the law (fiqh). There are two primary sources of Islamic law: the precepts set forth in the Quran, and the example set by the Islamic prophet Muhammad in the Sunnah. Where it has official status, sharia is interpreted by Islamic judges (qadis) with varying responsibilities for the religious leaders (imams). For questions not directly addressed in the primary sources, they extend the application of sharia through consensus of the religious scholars

Radical Honoursty⁵² - in its courts. The true reality of Norway's Rainbow Multiculturalism is simply a bullshit-the-public-relations (PR) façade for importing Non-Western immigrants as Labour Party vote-fodder; while deceiving Non-Western immigrants of their true vote-fodder status.

12. Norway will be truly legally multicultural when an alleged Muslim rapist is allowed to invoke Islamic cultural values in regards to justifying his sexual behaviour with a woman who dressed sexually provocatively, since in his culture a woman dressed like a slut is blatantly and unequivocally advertising her sexual availability.
13. Norway will be truly legally multicultural when a Zulu accused of animal cruelty is allowed to invoke Zulu cultural law to justify the barehanded killing of a bull, such as in *Smit NO and Others v King Goodwill Zwelithini Kabhekuzulu and Others*⁵³ Judge Nic van Reyden of the Kwa-Zulu Natal High Court, ruled in favour of the revived Zulu cultural practice of barehanded killing of a bull at the Ukweshwama festival, satisfied with the evidence of cultural expert Professor Jabulani Mapalala⁵⁴, who said that the animal's death was quick, unpainful and that no blood was shed. (Others disagreed⁵⁵: Mapalala's expert witness testimony contradicts Chief Mlaba (not submitted to the

(ulama) thought to embody the consensus of the Muslim Community (ijma). Islamic jurisprudence will also sometimes incorporate analogies from the Quran and Sunnah through qiyas, though Shia jurists prefer reasoning ('aql) to analogy.

⁵¹ In *Smit NO and Others v King Goodwill Zwelithini Kabhekuzulu and Others*[A] Judge Nic van Reyden of the Kwa-Zulu Natal High Court, ruled in favour of the revived Zulu cultural practice of barehanded killing of a bull at the Ukweshwama festival, satisfied with the evidence of cultural expert Professor Jabulani Mapalala[B], who said that the animal's death was quick, unpainful and that no blood was shed. (Others disagreed[C] : Mapalala's expert witness testimony contradicts Chief Mlaba (not submitted to the court), as quoted in an ANC newsletter of December 1995, that: "We must use our bare hands, It's cruelty, we agree, but it's our culture. We cannot change our culture." [D])

[A] *Smit NO and Others v King Goodwill Zwelithini Kabhekuzulu and Others* (10237/2009) [2009] ZAKZPHC 75 (4 December 2009) [B] *Mkhize: Bull-killing ruling promotes cultural tolerance*, Mail & Guardian, 04/12/09; *Court Clears Ritual, Bare Handed Killing of a Bull - Does the Judgement Threaten Wider Environmental Problems?*, D.Harcourt, Eco-Localizer, 06/12/09; *S. African Judge Compares Zulu Bull-Killing to Holy Communion*, by C Szabo, 02/12/09, Digital Journal; [www.scribd.com/doc/34458079]

[C] *Culture no excuse for cruelty: How soon before we start burning witches again?*; Justice Malala, Sunday Times, 6 Dec 2009: "The argument put forward was that this bull must suffer because my ancestors made animals suffer. The argument is, with all due respect, stupid: my ancestors had not read the work of JM Coetzee and were not on Facebook. I know that I know more than they did, and that my practices must of necessity differ with theirs." [PDF: www.scribd.com/doc/34458079]

[D] ANC Daily News Briefing, Monday 11 December 1995: *Zulu King revives ceremonies to build support*, Sapa-AP, 10 December 1995; *Court Clears Ritual, Bare Handed Killing of a Bull - Does the Judgement Threaten Wider Environmental Problems?*, by Dave Harcourt, Eco-Localizer, 6 December 2009 [PDF: www.scribd.com/doc/34458079]

⁵² Sustainability, i.e. environmental or ecological rights and responsibilities are the sine qua non[A] foundation for all other rights[B]. However adding "sustainable" to our legal vocabulary, is not sufficient to ensure that our society becomes sustainable, unless the definition of sustainable[C] is sufficiently precise[D] to enable sustainable living.

[A] *Opinion of Weeramantry J in the Case Concerning the Gabcikovo-Nagymaros Project (Hungary v Slovakia)* (1998) 37 International Legal Materials 162 206.

[B] *Democracy Cannot Survive Overpopulation*, Al Bartlett, Ph.D., Population & Environment, Vol. 22, No. 1, Sep 2000, pgs. 63-71

[C] "A sustainable society utilizes renewable natural resources exclusively, at levels less than or equal to the levels at which they are replenished by Nature; by extension, a sustainable society degrades natural habits at levels less than or equal to the levels at which they are regenerated by Nature—forever. All other resource utilization behavior, and all human societies that engage in this behavior, are unsustainable—period. Society does not really have a choice regarding whether or not we'd like to be sustainable; we'll either transition voluntarily to a sustainable lifestyle paradigm, or Nature will do it for us--horribly." - Chris Clugston, On American Sustainability—Anatomy of a Societal Collapse: The Real "Inconvenient Truth" <http://www.wakeupamerika.com/PDFs/On-American-Sustainability.pdf>

[D] *Lithgow & others v. United Kingdom* (1986) * EHR 329 § 110

Radical Honoursty Sustainability Bill of Rights: A healthy ecological environment, with due regard for carrying capacity laws of sustainability is a sine qua non for all other constitutional rights; similarly a psychological integrity environment of philosophical courageous truth searching honesty and sincere forgiveness is a sine qua non for healthy, transparent relationships that result in the co-creation of a code of conduct that enables non-violent honest sincere resolutions to disagreements. Hence an individual whose lifestyle is sustainable (in terms of procreation and consumption) is entitled to other civil and human 'rights' and an individual whose lifestyle is not sustainable is denied other rights until they amend their lifestyle to being sustainable. Put differently, legislators or tribal leaders whose person to person, and tribe to nature tribal code of conduct relationships incorporate these two fundamental sine qua non precepts, can be said to have eliminated the difference between what the laws of human nature, and natural laws say and mean, and applied such knowledge in a clear code of conduct for their tribe to live in accordance to. They are social engineers who search for the truth about human nature and natural laws, and clarify and simply them for application.

⁵³ *Smit NO and Others v King Goodwill Zwelithini Kabhekuzulu and Others* (10237/2009) [2009] ZAKZPHC 75 (4 December 2009)

⁵⁴ *Mkhize: Bull-killing ruling promotes cultural tolerance*, Mail and Guardian, 04 December 2009; *Court Clears Ritual, Bare Handed Killing of a Bull - Does the Judgement Threaten Wider Environmental Problems?*, by Dave Harcourt, Eco-Localizer, 6 Dec 2009; *S. African Judge Compares Zulu Bull-Killing to Holy Communion*, by C Szabo, 2 Dec 2009, Digital Journal; [PDF: www.scribd.com/doc/34458079]

⁵⁵ *Culture no excuse for cruelty: How soon before we start burning witches again?*; Justice Malala, Sunday Times, 6 Dec 2009: "The argument put forward was that this bull must suffer because my ancestors made animals suffer. The argument is, with all due respect, stupid: my ancestors had not read the work of JM Coetzee and were not on Facebook. I know that I know more than they did, and that my practices must of necessity differ with theirs." [PDF: www.scribd.com/doc/34458079]

court), as quoted in an ANC newsletter of December 1995, that: “We must use our bare hands, It’s cruelty, we agree, but it’s our culture. We cannot change our culture.”⁵⁶).

14. Liberal ‘Multicultural’ Norwegians are closet white supremacy legal mono-culturalists. While condemning so-called ‘conservatives’ of ‘racism’ for their honest enemy condemnation of non-western cultural practices they consider inferior to ‘white western’ cultural values; liberal Norwegians secretly agree with conservatives, but pretend to support multiculturalism purely to import immigrant voters onto their welfare vote farm slavery plantations; but have no commitment to legislatively providing foreign cultures with the right to invoke cultural law and legally practice their foreign cultures in Norway:

“The white liberal differs from the white conservative only in one way: the liberal is more deceitful than the conservative. The liberal is more hypocritical than the conservative. Both want power, but the white liberal is the one who has perfected the art of posing as the Negro’s friend and benefactor; and by winning the friendship, allegiance, and support of the Negro, the white liberal is able to use the Negro as a pawn or tool in this political “football game” that is constantly raging between the white liberals and white conservatives.... Once the Negro learns to think for himself, he will no longer allow the white liberal to use him as a helpless football in the white man’s crooked game of “power politics.” The white conservatives aren’t friends of the Negro either, but they at least don’t try to hide it. They are like wolves; they show their teeth in a snarl that keeps the Negro always aware of where he stands with them. But the white liberals are foxes, who also show their teeth to the Negro but pretend that they are smiling. The white liberals are more dangerous than the conservatives; they lure the Negro, and as the Negro runs from the growling wolf, he flees into the open jaws of the “smiling” fox.” -- Excerpts from 1963 speech by Malcolm X: *“God’s Judgement of White America.”*

15. **Radical Honoursty Culture:**

16. I am the daughter of a former Kwa-Zulu Natal farmer (Farm: Gerizim near Utrecht). On 11 October 1997, I married African-American Demian Emile Johnson in Folsom Prison, California, where he has been serving a sentence of 15 to life since 1982 (separated, filed for amicable divorce). My original commitment to South Africa’s Truth and Reconciliation process may be found in my *Submission to ‘Register of Reconciliation’ and donation to Presidents Fund for Reparations to assist victims of gross violations of human rights* dated 18 January 1999; which detailed my willingness to donate my entire Inheritance to facilitate sincere Truth and Forgiveness.
17. I joined the Radical Honesty culture and religion in 1999, after reading Dr. Blanton’s book *Radical Honesty: How To Transform Your Life By Telling the Truth*, going to

⁵⁶ ANC Daily News Briefing, Monday 11 December 1995: *Zulu King revives ceremonies to build support*, Sapa-AP, 10 December 1995; *Court Clears Ritual, Bare Handed Killing of a Bull - Does the Judgement Threaten Wider Environmental Problems?*, by Dave Harcourt, Eco-Localizer, 6 December 2009 [PDF: www.scribd.com/doc/34458079]

one of his workshops; and learning how to be specific about my anger, and to share it honourably face-to-face to the individual I was angry with; with the commitment of remaining in the conversation until sincere sensible forgiveness had occurred.

18. Radical Honoursty is simply a more militant subculture of the Radical Honesty culture which incorporates additional Deep Green Ecology and Bushido Dischordian values to Radical Honesty values.
19. I am the only member of the Radical Honesty⁵⁷ culture and religion, in South Africa. The Radical Honesty culture and religion are founded upon the Truth and Forgiveness Social Contract: Being Specific About Anger and Forgiveness⁵⁸; as excerpted from: ***Practicing Radical Honesty***⁵⁹. Radical Honesty is a powerful process by which people can make corrections in the minds distorted and only partly conscious map of the world. Our maps of the world are distorted by our repressed anger and resentment; the greater the amount of repressed anger and resentment, the greater the distortion. The key to individuality, integrity, individual freedom, and free societies, lies in providing people with the skills and capabilities to get over their anger, and experience sincere forgiveness. It is the way the statistics from Stanley Milgram's experiments on blind obedience to authority⁶⁰ get changed.⁶¹
20. Radical Honesty culture and religion was founded by Dr. Brad Blanton, who is: (1) President and CEO of ***Radical Honesty Enterprises Sparrowhawk Book Publishing*** and ***The Center for Radical Honesty***, both dedicated to promoting honesty in the world; (2) former candidate for Congress in 2004 and 2006, on the platform of 'Honesty in Politics'⁶²; (3) Pope of the Radical Honesty Futilitarian Church; i.e. "Dr. Truth"⁶³; and (4) author of (a) ***Radical Honesty: How To Transform your Life by Telling the Truth***; (b) ***Practicing Radical Honesty: How to Complete the Past, Stay in the Present and Build a Future with a Little Help from Your Friends***, (c) ***Honest to God: A Change of Heart that Can Change the World***, with Neale Donald Walsh (*Conversations with God* series); (d) ***Radical Parenting: Seven Steps to a Functional Family in a Dysfunctional World***; (e) ***The Truthtellers: Stories of Success by Radically Honest People*** and (f) ***Beyond Good and Evil: The Eternal Split-Second-Sound-Light-Being***; (g) ***Some New Kind of Trailer Trash***.
21. In addition to Brad Blanton, Ph.D; founder of the Radical Honesty culture; I am also a former employee of (1) Ms. Peggy Noonan, former Speechwriter for President Reagan

⁵⁷ Founded by Dr. Brad Blanton, author, psychologist and 'Honesty in Politics' Congressional Candidate: www.radicalhonesty.com

⁵⁸ Chapter 9: *Radical Honesty About Anger*:

⁵⁹ *Practicing Radical Honesty*, by Brad Blanton, Ph.D.

⁶⁰ *Great World Trials; The Adolph Eichmann Trial*, 1961. pages 332-337; 1997.: Eichmann, speaking in his own defense, said he did not dispute the Holocaust facts. During the whole trial, Eichmann insisted that he was only "following orders"—the same Nuremberg Defense used by some of the Nazi war criminals during the 1945-1946 Nuremberg Trials. He explicitly declared that he had abdicated his conscience in order to follow the *Führerprinzip*. Eichmann claimed that he was merely a "transmitter" with very little power. He testified that: "I never did anything, great or small, without obtaining in advance express instructions from Adolf Hitler or any of my superiors."

⁶¹ Ibid. Ch. 8: Community and Compassion: Work of Stanley Milgram; (p81)

⁶² See Video Documentaries at: www.why-we-are-white-refugees.blogspot.com/p/honesty-in-politics.html

⁶³ See Center for Radical Honesty at: www.radicalhonesty.com

and G.W. Bush, Snr; at her home in New York City, NY; (2) HRH Princess Gloria Von Thurn & Taxis Family on their Private Yacht: S.Y. Aiglon; (3) Lord and Lady Glenapp, now Earl and Countess Inchcape, at their home in Swindon, Wiltshire. (PDF References⁶⁴)

22. As a rule-of-law political activist, I endorse and have advocated for the rule-of-law for all, rich, poor, white, black, left and right, religious or atheist. I am separated (filed for divorce) from Demian Emile Johnson, who is, and has been, incarcerated in California Dept. of Corrections, for the entire duration of our marriage⁶⁵. In addition to Radical Honesty I have been involved in non-violent civil disobedience actions on behalf of my former husband⁶⁶, Greenpeace⁶⁷, Amnesty Int'l, Pacific Inst. for Criminal Justice⁶⁸, Jericho 98⁶⁹, Crack the CIA⁷⁰, The Disclosure Project⁷¹, New Abolitionist⁷², Justice for Timothy McVeigh⁷³, Alliance for Democracy⁷⁴, Boycott 2010 World Cup⁷⁵, Right of Return for African White Refugees⁷⁶, et al⁷⁷.
23. I am 45 years old. With the help of an IUD, inserted at age 19, Common Sense and a love for children, I have never been pregnant, nor had an abortion; nor brought any unwanted children onto the planet; nor contributed to local, national or international overpopulation or resource wars; nor advocated on behalf of population or economic growth; or materialist consumerism.
24. **Radical Honesty Radical Transparency:**
25. Radical Honesty culture is founded on the principle of Radical Transparency. Radical Transparency is also practiced as the foundational principle of: (1) Delancey Street Foundation⁷⁸: the worlds most successful rehabilitation program, where over 14,000 former drug addicts, murderers, felons, criminals and delinquents have rehabilitated themselves by means of Delancey Street Foundations radical transparency brutal

⁶⁴ http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/jl-references?mode=a_p

⁶⁵ 98-05-31: Sun Times: *US convict wins love and support in SA town.*; 98-09-24: YOU & Huisgenoot: *Volkruist FarmGirl Doomed Love for Black Convict*, by Frans Kemp

⁶⁶ RSA OVERSEAS: *South African on hunger strike in California*, by Ilda Jacobs

⁶⁷ In Easter 1993, she was arrested with a few dozen Greenpeace activists in a Save Our Seas anti-nuclear demonstration at Sellafield, Nuclear Power station, in Scotland, for trespassing. She was neither charged nor prosecuted. See: *Greenpeace's Campaign Against Ocean Dumping of Radio-Active Waste, 1978 - 1998* (www.greenpeace.org).

⁶⁸ 98-07-04 Miami Herald: *Police action harms image as protectors*

⁶⁹ Jericho 98 is the movement to Free America's Political Prisoners. She participated in Jericho 98, wrote to President Mandela to request his support for the many Anti-Apartheid Activists whom the ANC conveniently forgot, rotting away in America's prisons: Marilyn Buck, Jaan Laaman, Tom Manning, etc. She visited Marilyn Buck in prison a few times, helped where she could.

⁷⁰ 99-03-16: San Francisco Chronicle: *CIA Class Action Suit For Not Reporting Drug Trade*

⁷¹ Presidential UFO: George W. Bush's UFO Mail: *Are You Ready for the Revolution?*

⁷² New Abolitionist: *Race Traitor: Zero Tolerance*

⁷³ April 2001: New Abolitionist: *Tim McVeigh and Me*

⁷⁴ In 2000, she was arrested & detained for 3 hours, with Brad Blanton, Ronny Dugger (founding editor of Texas Observer and Alliance for Democracy), & others in the Wash, DC, Capital of the Rotunda. Issue: Campaign Finance Reform. District Attorney declined to Prosecute.

⁷⁵ 09-12-17: IOL Tech.: *Anti-SA Smear Campaign on Facebook*

⁷⁶ 10-04-23: Algemene Dagblad: *Zuid Afrikanen Smeken Om Wilders Hulp* ; 10-04-25: Sunday Argus: *SA family seeks repatriation to Netherlands*; 10-04-30: Mail & Guardian: *Persecuted Afrikaners Talk of Returning Home*; 10-05-17: Christian Science Monitor: *White South Africans use Facebook in Campaign to Return to Holland*

⁷⁷ On 17 Dec 1998, she was arrested at Oakland Federal Building, with anti-war protestors, who shut down the Federal Building for two hours. She was detained by Oakland Police for an hour, before being released. Alameda Co. District Attorney declined to prosecute. 1998-12-19 Beeld: *SA 'plaasmeisie' vas in VSA oor Golf-protes*

⁷⁸ www.delanceystreetfoundation.org

honesty each-one-teach-one program; (2) Bridgewater Associates⁷⁹ is managed according to CEO Ray Dalio's Radical Transparency Principles⁸⁰: Bridgewater is indisputably the worlds weirdest largest and best- performing hedge fund: "Bridgewater's unique results are a product of its unique culture. Truth and excellence are valued above all else. In order to be excellent we need to know what's true, especially those things that we would rather not be true, so that we can decide how best to deal with them. We want logic and reason to be the basis for making decisions. It is through this striving to be excellent by being radically truthful and transparent that we build meaningful work and meaningful relationships".

26. **Radical Honoursty Social Contract:**

27. **Sustainability Defined:** "A sustainable society utilizes renewable natural resources exclusively, at levels less than or equal to the levels at which they are replenished by Nature; by extension, a sustainable society degrades natural habits at levels less than or equal to the levels at which they are regenerated by Nature—forever. All other resource utilization behavior, and all human societies that engage in this behavior, are unsustainable—period. Society does not really have a choice regarding whether or not we'd like to be sustainable; we'll either transition voluntarily to a sustainable lifestyle paradigm, or Nature will do it for us--horrifically." - Chris Clugston, *On American Sustainability—Anatomy of a Societal Collapse: The Real "Inconvenient Truth"*⁸¹

28. **Radical Honoursty Sustainability Bill of Rights:** A healthy ecological environment, with due regard for carrying capacity laws of sustainability is a *sine qua non* for all other constitutional rights; similarly a psychological radical transparency integrity environment of philosophical courageous truth searching honesty and sincere forgiveness is a *sine qua non* for healthy, transparent relationships that result in the co-creation of a code of conduct that enables non-violent honest sincere resolutions to disagreements. Hence an individual whose lifestyle is sustainable (in terms of procreation and consumption) is entitled to other civil and human 'rights' and an individual whose lifestyle is not sustainable is denied other rights until they amend their lifestyle to being sustainable.

29. Put differently, legislators or tribal leaders whose person to person, and tribe to nature tribal code of conduct relationships incorporate these two fundamental *sine qua non* precepts, can be said to have eliminated the difference between what the laws of human nature, and natural laws say and mean, and applied such knowledge in a clear code of conduct for their tribe to live in accordance to. They are social engineers who search for the truth about human nature and natural laws, and clarify and simply them for application.

⁷⁹ www.bwater.com

⁸⁰ <http://www.bwater.com/home/culture--principles.aspx>

⁸¹ <http://www.wakeupamerika.com/PDFs/On-American-Sustainability.pdf>

C: DIST CRT MONOCULTURAL MASCULINE INSECURITY EU SUPREMACY:

Kambei Shimada: *As a matter of fact, I'm preparing for a tough war. It will bring us neither money nor fame. Want to join?*

Shichirōji: *Yes!*

Kambei Shimada: *Maybe we die this time.*

Shichirōji: *(smiles)*

---- *Seven Samurai*, an epic film about the extinction of the Samurai culture

30. 30 November 2011 Application to Oslo District Court: Habeus Mentem:

1. On 30 November 2011, I filed an Application to the Oslo District Court, for an *Application for a [I] writ of Habeus Mentem on behalf of Anders Breivik psycho-cultural integrity right to a free and fair trial; and [II] writ of Certiorari/Review of the Psychiatric Evaluation Report of Psychiatrists: Synne Serheim and Torgeir Husby as to the Mens Rea political necessity criminal liability of Anders Breivik terrorist acts, on 22 July 2011.*
2. On 15 December 2012 I informed the court that: “Please could you confirm: (1) The date my application is to be submitted to Judge Opsahl, or the relevant Judge, for their consideration. (2) The date the said Judge intends to provide me with their ruling on the matter.” There has been no response from the Clerk of the Court. I imagine that the Judge has ordered the Clerk to ignore the application. Refusal to respond to an application implies that the application is being denied, and that the applicant is unworthy of a transparent due process response⁸².

31. 15 April 2012 Application to Oslo District Court: Amicus Curiae:

1. On 15 April 2012, I filed an Application to the Oslo District Court, for an *Application to proceed as In Forma Pauperis Jus Sanguinis Norwegian African White Refugee Amicus Curiae for an Order (1) to approve the Applicant as an In Forma Pauperis Jus Sanguinis Norwegian African White Refugee Amici Curiae, and (2) Amending the Charges Against the Defendant and Applicant to include Treason in terms of Article 85 of Norwegian Constitution, and if found guilty, in a free and fair trial; to be executed by firing squad.* The application requested the Prosecution and Defence to respond by 23 April 2012 either consenting to, or objecting to, the application.
2. On 26 April 2012, I informed the court that: “There has been no response from the Prosecution and Defence either consenting to, or objecting to, my application to proceed as an Amicus. Please could you confirm: (1) The date my application is to

⁸² [Field Manual No. FM 3-0, Headquarters Department of the Army, June 2001: Chapter 11: Information Superiority] When you engage someone openly with “white” information operations, i.e. IO (Information Operations) where your identity is clear and explicit, you imply that they are roughly your equal. By speaking to or of them directly, you point up that they are important enough to demand your attention and your reply.

be submitted to Judge Wenche Elizabeth Arntzen, or the relevant Judge, for her/their consideration. (2) The date the said Judge intends to provide me with their ruling approving or denying my application.” There has been no response from the Clerk of the Court. I imagine that the Judge has ordered the Clerk to ignore the application. Refusal to respond to an application implies that the application is being denied, and that the applicant is unworthy of a transparent due process response⁸³.

32. If approved, the Applicants Amicus written submissions would (a) address alternative legal arguments to those of both the Prosecution and Defense, i.e. from a Problem Solving Radical Transparency EcoFeminists perspective as opposed to the Prosecution & Defense’s Parasite Leeching Masculine Insecurity Patriarchal perspectives; (b) ‘argue points deemed too far reaching for emphasis by parties intent on winning their particular Parasite Leeching Masculine Insecurity case’⁸⁴; (c) ‘apprise the court of Problem Solving Radical Transparency EcoFeminists legal, social, economic, ecological and cultural enquiry implications for its consideration’⁸⁵ to allow the court to base its decision on a larger, more comprehensive, and more accurate reality based natural law legal framework; (d) provide the court with hard evidence of (I) non-violent Jus Sanguinis African White Refugee applications filed to European Heads of State for France, Germany, Netherlands, Switzerland, United Kingdom and NATO Military Committee; providing evidentiary arguments for support for a Boer Volkstaat; or Jus Sanguinis Right of Return to Europe for African White Refugees; (II) how former and current UNHCR, ECRE and ELENA Officials deliberately wish to censor the issue of African White Refugees from public scrutiny and knowledge⁸⁶; so that the court’s final judgment shall include a Problem Solving Radical Transparency EcoFeminists legal analysis⁸⁷.

33. **If It Bleeds, It Leads Media’s Population-Terror Connection Masculine Insecurity:**

"[Breivik] emphasizes that if he had not been censored by the media all his life, he would not have had to do what he did. He believes the media have the main responsibility for what has happened because they did not publish his opinions.... The low-intensity civil war that he had already described, had lasted until now with ideological struggle and censorship of cultural conservatives..... He explains that this is the worst day of his life and that he has dreaded this for 2 years. He has been censored for years. He mentions Dagbladet and Aftenposten as those who among other things have censored him..... He says that he also wrote “essays” that he tried to publish via the usual channels, but that they were all censored..... The subject summarizes: As long as more than twelve were executed, the operation will still be a success. The experts ask how the number twelve comes into consideration. Twelve dead are needed to penetrate the censorship wall, he explains..... About his thoughts on the Utøya killings now, the subject says: The goal was to execute as many as possible. At least 30. It was horrible, but the number had to be

⁸³ [Field Manual No. FM 3-0, Headquarters Department of the Army, June 2001: Chapter 11: Information Superiority] When you engage someone openly with “white” information operations, i.e. IO (Information Operations) where your identity is clear and explicit, you imply that they are roughly your equal. By speaking to or of them directly, you point up that they are important enough to demand your attention and your reply.

⁸⁴ Luther T. Munford, *When Does the Curiae Need an Amicus?*, 1 J. App. Prac. & Process 279, 280 (1999).

⁸⁵ Paul M. Sandler & Andrew D. Levy, *Appellate Practice for the Maryland Lawyer: State and Federal: Amicus Briefs* 331 (1994).

⁸⁶ (A) Monaco-RSA: Prince Albert II’s Hon. Consul demands Jus Sanguinis delete African White Refugees Petition to Principality of Monaco webpage (B) African White Refugee Petition to NL:ECRE & ELENA Officials posted to ECRE & ELENA Facebook Wall deleted; (C) Prof. Denis Alland, Univ. Paris II; UNHCR Rep. (1989-97), ECRE & ELENA Refugee Law Expert Declares Legal War on African White Refugees; (D) French UNHCR Rep. & EU Legal Network on Asylum (ELENA) Law Prof.’s legal allergy to Jus Sanguinis Boer Volkstaat 4 African White Refugees Petition; (E) <http://why-we-are-white-refugees.blogspot.com/search/label/%20ECRE-ELENA%3A%20Anti-White%20Refugee%20Bias>

⁸⁷ Paul M. Smith, *The Sometimes Troubled Relationship Between Courts and Their “Friends”*, note 2, at 26 (1998).

assessed based on the global censorship limit. Utøya was a martyrdom, and I am very proud of it..... The subject says in the conversation that he knows the truth that is hidden from others. He believes that there is a civil war in the country. He believes he had to kill at least twelve, because there is a censorship-wall preventing an open debate about what is happening in the country..... So I knew I had to cross a certain threshold to exceed the censorship-wall of the international media." -- Oslo Organized Crime Police Investigation Report: "Explanation of 22 July 2011, doc 08,01"

34. On 22 April 2012 (Earth Day), Applicant distributed the **“Acquittal or Firing Squad :: If It Bleeds, It Leads :: Media’s Population - Terrorism Connection Report”**⁸⁸

1. It provides Scientific Journalism studies about Media Censorship in the matter of Norway v. Breivik, detailing Media Masculine (Transparency) Insecurity surrounding the ‘Better an Honest Enemy; than a False Friend’ events of support for a free and fair trial for Breivik from a Pasthtun Pakistani and a Radical Honoursty EcoFeminist (Applicant).

2. The Report detailed how the Media’s Anders Breivik Narrative appears to be:

- i. * Breivik is legally insane
- ii. * His ‘If It Bleeds, It Leads’ justification for Terrorism is Unjustified

3. However the Media Censored information such as:

- i. * Whores of the Court Myth of Mental Illness: Insanity is to PharmaPsychiatry what Heresy was to the Inquisition
- ii. * Media’s If It Bleeds, It Leads Population-Terrorism Connection

35. **Information Operations:**

36. It is the applicants working hypothesis that Judge Wenche Elizabeth Arntzen chose to ignore her application because she lacks the masculine (reason and logic) security to practice radical transparency information operations:

1. When you engage someone openly with “white” information operations, i.e. IO (Information Operations) where your identity is clear and explicit, you imply that they are roughly your equal. By speaking to or of them directly, you point up that they are important enough to demand your attention and your reply. [Field Manual No. FM 3-0, Headquarters Department of the Army, June 2001: Chapter 11: Information Superiority]

37. In the circumstances, I humbly request the relief as set out in the Notice of Motion to which this Affidavit is attached.

⁸⁸ <http://norway-v-breivik.blogspot.com/2012/04/breivik-acquittal-or-firing-squad-if-it.html>
PDF: http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/120422_bleads-leads?mode=window&printButtonEnabled=false&backgroundColor=%23222222

Signed and Sworn to at George on this the 10th day of May 2012, the Deponent acknowledging that she knows and understands the contents of this Affidavit, and that she has no objection to taking the prescribed oath and that the oath is binding on her conscience.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Lara Johnstone', with a stylized flourish at the end.

LARA JOHNSTONE, Pro Se
George, South Africa
Email: jmcswan@mweb.co.za

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE KINGDOM OF NORWAY

Case #: _____
Oslo District Crt #: 11-188627
MED-05

In the Application of:

LARA JOHNSTONE
Jus Sanguinis Radical Honoursty African
EcoFeminist White Refugee

Application to proceed as In
Forma Pauperis Jus Sanguinis
Radical Honoursty African
EcoFeminist White Refugee

In the matter between (A-C):

KINGDOM OF NORWAY

Prosecution (First Respondent)

VICTIMS FAMILIES:

Second Respondent

And

ANDERS BEIHRING BREVICK

(AKA ANDREW BERWICK, SIGURD JORSALFAR)

Defendant (Third Respondent)

Part A:

NO REFUGEE COUNCIL: ELISABETH RASMUSSEN

Fourth Respondent

UN SR: INDIGENOUS RIGHTS: JAMES ANAYA

Fifth Respondent

ELENA: LA: FRIHAGEN, RISNES, BLEKASTAD, DAHL

Sixth Respondent

ELENA: NOAS: ANDREAS FURUSETH

Seventh Respondent

Part B:

MINISTER OF CULTURE: ANNIKEN HUITFELDT

Eighth Respondent

PROGRESS PARTY: SIV JENSEN

Ninth Respondent

CHURCH OF NORWAY: BERIT HAGEN AGOY

Tenth Respondent

MUSLIM SOC. OF TRONDHEIM: JJ OKSVOLD

Eleventh Respondent

NUPI: HELGE LURAS

Twelfth Respondent

Part C:

JUDGE NINA OPSAHL

Thirteenth Respondent

JUDGE WENCHE ELIZABETH ARNTZEN

Fourteenth Respondent

PROOF OF SERVICE

I the undersigned,

LARA JOHNSTONE

do hereby make oath and say:

I served the 07-05-2012 NOTICE OF APPLICATION and its FOUNDING AFFIDAVIT on the following parties:

PART A - C RESPONDENTS:

OSLO DISTRICT COURT JUDGES, VIA REGISTRAR: by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 12:24 PM, by emailing it to: NO: Crt: Breivik: Oslo District Court (oslo.tinghus.sentralbord@domstol.no); NO Oslo District Court: Admin (oslo.tingrett.postmottak@domstol.no); **Subject: [OSLO DIST CRT: REGISTRAR] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.** The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. **Confirmation of receipt was received on Mon 5/7/2012 12:37 PM, from Oslo tinghus_sentralbord [Oslotinghus_sentralbord@domstol.no], Subject: Lest: [OSLO DIST CRT: REGISTRAR] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.** Confirmation of receipt was received on Mon 5/7/2012 12:52 PM, from Oslo tingrett_postmottak [obyr_adm@domstol.no], **Subject: Lest: [OSLO DIST CRT: REGISTRAR] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.**

PROSECUTION (Prosecutor Svein Holden, c/o & via: Norwegian Police & Min of Justice Grete Faremo), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 10:24 AM, by emailing it to: NO: Emb: Pta: Amb Tor Hildan (emb.pretoria@mfa.no); Crt: Pros Holden. MJus: Grete Faremo (grete.faremo@jd.dep.no); Crt: Pros Holden. MJus: Tonje Meinich (tonje.meinich@jd.dep.no); Crt: Pros Holden. MJus: Office (postmottak@jd.dep.no); Crt: Pros Holden. MJus: Morten Ruud (morten.ruud@jd.dep.no); Crt: Pros Holden. Politie: Org.Crime (post.okokrim@politiet.no); Crt: Pros Holden. Politie: Oslo (oslo@namsfogden.no); Crt: Pros Holden. Politie: Police Directorate (politidirektoratet@politiet.no); Crt: Pros Holden. Politie: NO Police Security Svc.PST (post.pst@politiet.no); **Subject: [Pros. Svein Holden, via Politeit & Min.Justice Faremo] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.** The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. **No confirmation of receipt was received. A second notification was sent to same email addresses on Wed 5/9/2012 11:11 AM, Subject: [2] [Pros. Svein Holden, via Politeit & Min.Justice Faremo] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.** The forwarded email stated "Please acknowledge receipt that Prosecutor Holden has received the documentation sent to him, via your offices, as follows:....." **Confirmation of receipt was received on Wed 5/9/2012 1:35 PM, from Grete Faremo [grete.faremo@jd.dep.no], Subject: Lest: [2] [Pros. Svein Holden, via Politeit & Min.Justice Faremo] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.**

DEFENCE: (Anders Breivik, c/o Geir Lippestad), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 10:29 AM, by emailing it to: NO: Crt: Breivik: Lippestad (geir@advokatlippestad.no); NO: Lippestad (tord@advokatlippestad.no); NO: Lippestad (odd@advokatlippestad.no); **Subject: [A.Breivik c/o G. Lippestad] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.** The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. **Confirmation of receipt was received on Mon**

5/7/2012 10:32 AM, from Odd Ivar Grøn [odd@advokatlippestad.no], Subject: Lest: [A.Breivik c/o G. Lippestad] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.

~~VICTIMS FAMILIES (VICTIMS FAMILIES, C/O Trond Blattman, Spokesperson), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 10:32 AM, by emailing it to: NO: Victims: Trond Blattman (tbl@fad.dep.no); Subject: [Victims Families, c/o Trond Blattman] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The email address was returned to sender as not working.~~

VICTIMS FAMILIES (VICTIMS FAMILIES, C/O (i) Siv Hallgren, Advokatfirmaet Elden / Frode Elgesem, Advokatfirmaet Thommessen AS / Mette Yvonne Larsen, Stabell & Co), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Wed 5/9/2012 10:57 AM, by emailing it to: NO: Victims: Siv Hallgren (siv.hallgren@elden.no) / Frode Elgesem (elg@thommessen.no) / Mette Yvonne Larsen (mette.larsen@advokatstabell.no); Subject: [Victims Families, c/o S.Hallgren, F.Elgesem, MY.Larsen] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. Confirmation of receipt was received on Wed 5/9/2012 11:12 AM, from Siv Hallgren [siv.hallgren@elden.no], Subject: Read-Receipt: [Victims Families, c/o S.Hallgren, F.Elgesem, MY.Larsen] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. Confirmation of receipt was received on Wed 5/9/2012 11:06 AM, from Mette Larsen [mette.larsen@advokatstabell.no], Subject: Lest: [Victims Families, c/o S.Hallgren, F.Elgesem, MY.Larsen] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. Confirmation of receipt was received on Wed 5/9/2012 11:15 AM, from Frode Elgesem [elg@thommessen.no], Subject: Lest: [Victims Families, c/o S.Hallgren, F.Elgesem, MY.Larsen] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.

PART A: POSSIBLE / INVITED RESPONDENTS:

NORWAY REFUGEE COUNCIL (Elizabeth Rasmusson, Secretary General, Norwegian Refugee Council), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 10:40 AM, by emailing it to: DO: NO Refugee Council: Elisabeth Rasmusson (elisabeth.rasmusson@nrc.no); DO: NO Refugee Council: Office (nrc@nrc.no); Subject: [NRC: E.Rasmusson] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. Confirmation of receipt was received on Mon 5/7/2012 11:10 AM, from Elisabeth Rasmusson [elisabeth.rasmusson@nrc.no], Subject: Lest: [NRC: E.Rasmusson] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.

UN: SPECIAL RAPPORTEUR: RIGHTS OF INDIGENOUS PEOPLE'S (James Anaya, Special Rapporteur, Rights of Indigenous peoples, c/o OHCHR-UNOG, Office of the High Comm for Human Rights), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 10:45 AM, by emailing it to: DO: UN: SR: Indigenous Rights: James Anaya (indigenous@ohchr.org); Subject: [UN: SRap: Indig.Rights: J.Anaya] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. Confirmation of receipt was received on Tue

5/8/2012 11:28 PM, from Indigenous OHCHR [indigenous@ohchr.org], Subject: [UN: SRap: Indig.Rights: J.Anaya] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.

ELENA NORWEGIAN COORDINATOR (Adv Halvor Frihagen & Brynjulf Risnes, Adv.firmaet Andersen & Bache-Wiig AS), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 10:50 AM, by emailing it to: DO: ELENA: ABWIIG: Halvor Frihagen (frihagen@abwiig.no); DO: ELENA: ABWIIG: Brynjulf Risnes (risnes@abwiig.no); Subject: [ELENA: Adv. H.Frihagen & B.Risnes] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. Confirmation of receipt was received on Mon 5/7/2012 10:51 AM and Mon 5/7/2012 4:43 PM, from Brynjulf Risnes [risnes@abwiig.no], Subject: Fraværende: [ELENA: Adv. H.Frihagen & B.Risnes] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order and Lest: [ELENA: Adv. H.Frihagen & B.Risnes] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.

ELENA (Advokat Signe Blekastad, Adv. Trond Olsen Naess, Advokatfirmaet Furuholmen AS), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 10:54 AM, by emailing it to: DO: ELENA: Furuholmen: Signe Blekastad (sb@adv-furuholmen.no); DO: ELENA: Furuholmen: Trond Olsen Naess (ton@adv-furuholmen.no); DO: ELENA: Furuholmen: Office (adm@adv-furuholmen.no); Subject: [Furuholmen: Adv. S.Blekastad & T.Naess] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. Confirmation of receipt was received on Mon 5/7/2012 10:54 AM, from Linn Adelsten Christiansen [linn@adv-furuholmen.no], Subject: Lest: [Furuholmen: Adv. S.Blekastad & T.Naess] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. Confirmation of receipt was received on Mon 5/7/2012 11:21 AM, from Indira Ocuz [io@adv-furuholmen.no], Subject: Lest: [Furuholmen: Adv. S.Blekastad & T.Naess] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. Confirmation of receipt was received on Mon 5/7/2012 12:09 PM, from Signe Blekastad [sb@adv-furuholmen.no], Subject: Lest: [Furuholmen: Adv. S.Blekastad & T.Naess] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.

ELENA (Advokat Carolyn Midsem, Advokatene Midsem og Jagmann), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 10:56 AM, by emailing it to: DO: ELENA: Carolyn Midsem (midsem@advokatmidsem.no); Subject: [ELENA: Adv. C.Midsem] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. No confirmation of receipt was received. A second notification was sent to same email addresses on Wed 5/9/2012 12:00 PM, Subject: [2] [ELENA: Adv. C.Midsem] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order: The forwarded email requested: "Please acknowledge receipt that your office has received the following documentation; for your attention, should you wish to oppose the application...."

ELENA (Advokat Bente Mostad Tjugum, Advokatfirma Hoff, Schøning & Tjugum), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 11:00 AM, by emailing it to: DO-A: ELENA: Bente Tjugum (tjugum@adv-hst.no); Subject: [ELENA: Adv. B.Tjugum] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The email addresses

to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. No confirmation of receipt was received. A second notification was sent to same email addresses on Wed 5/9/2012 12:01 PM, Subject: [2] [ELENA: Adv. B.Tjugum] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The forwarded email requested: "Please acknowledge receipt that your office has received the following documentation; for your attention, should you wish to oppose the application....."

ELENA (Kjell T. Dahl, Firma: Advokatfirma Dahl og Ibsen AS), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 11:02 AM, by emailing it to: DO-A: ELENA: Dahl (ktdahl@online.no); Subject: [ELENA: Adv. K.Dahl] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. No confirmation of receipt was received. A second notification was sent to same email addresses on Wed 5/9/2012 12:03 PM, Subject: [2] [ELENA: Adv. K.Dahl] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The forwarded email requested: "Please acknowledge receipt that your office has received the following documentation; for your attention, should you wish to oppose the application....." Confirmation of receipt was received on Wed 5/9/2012 12:11 PM, from Kjell T. Dahl [ktdahl@online.no], Subject: Lest: [2] [ELENA: Adv. K.Dahl] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.

ELENA (Advokat Carl K. Rieber-Mohn, Advokat Arild K. Humlen, Advokatene Rieber-Mohn m.fl.), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 11:05 AM, by emailing it to: DO-A: ELENA: Arild Humlen (info@hrmlegal.no); DO-A: ELENA: Carl Rieber-Mohn (ckriever@online.no); Subject: [ELENA: Adv A.Humlen & C.Rieber-Mohn] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. Confirmation of receipt and correspondence was received on Mon 5/7/2012 9:06 PM, from Carl K. Rieber-Mohn [ckriever@online.no], Subject: Re: [ELENA: Adv A.Humlen & C.Rieber-Mohn] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. Applicant responded to Mr. Rieber-Mohn's correspondence and the full transcript of the correspondence is available at: *Response: ELENA: Adv. Carl Rieber-Mohn: Is Norway (A) a Children-of-Rainbow Legal Multiculti (right to invoke Sharia?) State; or (B) Monocultural Indigenous EU Supremacy Legal Hegemonic State?*¹

ELENA (Advokatfirmaet Mette Lisbeth Jensen AS), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 11:07 AM, by emailing it to: DO-A: ELENA: Mette Lisbeth Jensen (advokat@advokatmlj.no); Subject: [ELENA: Adv. M.L.Jensen] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. No confirmation of receipt was received. A second notification was sent to same email addresses on Wed 5/9/2012 12:04 PM, Subject: [2] [ELENA: Adv. M.L.Jensen] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The forwarded email requested: "Please acknowledge receipt that your office has received the following documentation; for your attention, should you wish to oppose the application....."

¹ <http://norway-v-breivik.blogspot.com/2012/05/corr-elena-adv-carl-riever-mohn-is.html>

ELENA (Legal Advisor Andreas Furuseth, NO Org for Asylum Seekers), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 11:09 AM, by emailing it to: DO-A: ELENA: NOAS: Andreas Furuseth (andreas.furuseth@noas.org); DO-A: ELENA: NOAS (noas@noas.org); **Subject: [ELENA: NOAS: Leg.Adv. A.Furuseth] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.** The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. Confirmation of receipt was received on Mon 5/7/2012 11:22 AM, from NOAS Andreas Furuseth [andreas.furuseth@noas.org], **Subject: Lest: [ELENA: NOAS: Leg.Adv. A.Furuseth] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.**

ELENA (Advokat Thor Gardarsson), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 11:11 AM, by emailing it to: DO-A: ELENA: Redet: Thor Gardarsson (thor@advokatredet.no); **Subject: [ELENA: Adv. T.Gardarsson] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.** The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. No confirmation of receipt was received. A second notification was sent to same email addresses on Wed 5/9/2012 12:06 PM, **Subject: [2][ELENA: Adv. T.Gardarsson] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.** The forwarded email requested: "Please acknowledge receipt that your office has received the following documentation; for your attention, should you wish to oppose the application....."

PART B: POSSIBLE / INVITED RESPONDENTS:

MINISTER OF CULTURE: (Anniken Huitfeldt, Norwegian Ministry of Culture), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 11:15 AM, by emailing it to: DO-B: Min Culture: (postmottak@kud.dep.no); DO-B: Min Culture: Anniken Huitfeldt (anniken.huitfeldt@kud.dep.no); **Subject: [Min. Culture: A.Huitfeldt] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.** The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. No confirmation of receipt was received. Two second notification were sent to same email addresses, with following additional email addresses DO-B: Min Culture: Culture: Mina Gerhardsen (Mina.Gerhardsen@kud.dep.no); Culture: Kjersti Stenseng (kjersti.stenseng@kud.dep.no); Culture: Kristen Berge (kristin.berge@kud.dep.no); Culture: Henning Henriksen (Henning.Henriksen@kud.dep.no); Culture: Hanne Gjortz (hanne.gjortz@kud.dep.no); Culture: Marit Wiig (marit.wiig@kud.dep.no); Culture: Roy Kristiansen (roy.kristiansen@kud.dep.no); Culture: Kjell Myhren (kjell.myhren@kud.dep.no); Culture: Nina Okland (nina.okland@kud.dep.no); Culture: Birgitte Lange (birgitte.lange@kud.dep.no), on Wed 5/9/2012 12:14 PM and Wed 5/9/2012 12:15 PM **Subject: [2][Min. Culture: A.Huitfeldt] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.** The forwarded email requested: "Please acknowledge receipt that your office has received the following documentation; for your attention, should you wish to oppose the application....." Confirmation of receipt was received on Wed 5/9/2012 12:32 PM, from Wiig Marit [Marit.Wiig@kud.dep.no], **Subject: Read: [2][Min. Culture: A.Huitfeldt] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.** Confirmation of receipt was received on Wed 5/9/2012 12:47 PM, from Kristiansen Roy Petter [Roy-Petter.Kristiansen@kud.dep.no], **Subject: Read: [2][Min. Culture: A.Huitfeldt] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.** Confirmation of receipt was received on Wed

5/9/2012 1:31 PM, from Økland Nina [Nina.Okland@kud.dep.no], Subject: Lest: [2][Min. Culture: A.Huitfeldt] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.

PROGRESS PARTY (Siv Jensen, Fremskrittspartiet), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 11:18 AM, by emailing it to: DO-B: FRP: Siv Jensen (siv.jensen@frp.no); DO-B: FRP: Parl Comm (frp.postmottak@stortinget.no); DO-B: FRP: Progress Party HQ (frp@frp.no); Subject: [FRP: Siv Jensen] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. No confirmation of receipt was received. A second notification was sent to same email addresses, including the following additional email addresses: DO-B: FRP: Int.Sec: Kristian Norheim (kristian.norheim@stortinget.no); DO-B: FRP: Ed: Finn Egil Holm (feh@frp.no); DO-B: FRP: Pol.Adv: Thor Magne Bostad (thor.bostad@stortinget.no); DO-B: FRP: Ed: Borge Sandnes (borge.sandnes@frp.no); DO-B: FRP: Pol.Adv: Aina Stenersen (aina.stenersen@stortinget.no); DO-B: FRP: IT: Frode Nilsen (webmaster@frp.no), on Wed 5/9/2012 12:19 PM, Subject: [2][FRP: Siv Jensen] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The forwarded email requested: "Please acknowledge receipt that your office has received the following documentation; for your attention, should you wish to oppose the application...." Confirmation of receipt was received on Wed 5/9/2012 12:19 PM, from Stenersen Aina [aina.stenersen@stortinget.no], Subject: Automatisk svar: [2][FRP: Siv Jensen] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. Confirmation of receipt was received on Wed 5/9/2012 1:08 PM, from Olsen Anita [anita.olsen@stortinget.no] on behalf of Postmottak Frp [frp.postmottak@stortinget.no], Subject: Approve: [2][FRP: Siv Jensen] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. Confirmation of receipt was received on Wed 5/9/2012 2:08 PM, from Norheim Kristian [kristian.norheim@stortinget.no], Subject: Lest: [2][FRP: Siv Jensen] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.

ISLAMIC COUNCIL OF NORWAY (Mehtab Afsar General Secretary, Islamic Council of Norway), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 11:20 AM, by emailing it to: DO-B: Islamic Council NO: Mehtab Afsar (post@irn.no); Subject: [Islam Cnl NO: Mehtab Afsar] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. No confirmation of receipt was received. A second notification was sent to same email addresses on Wed 5/9/2012 12:20 PM, Subject: [2][Islam Cnl NO: Mehtab Afsar] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The forwarded email requested: "Please acknowledge receipt that your office has received the following documentation; for your attention, should you wish to oppose the application...."

CHURCH OF NORWAY (Gen. Sec. Berit Hagen Agøy, Council for Ecumenical & Intl Relations), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 11:23 AM, by emailing it to: DO-B: NO Church: (post.kirkeradet@kirken.no); DO-B: NO Church: Berit Hagen Agoy (berit.hagen.agoy@kirken.no); Subject: [NO Church: Berit Hagen Agoy] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. Confirmation of receipt was received on Mon 5/7/2012 11:43 AM, from Agøy, Berit Hagen

[berit.hagen.agoy@kirken.no], Subject: Lest: [NO Church: Berit Hagen Agoy] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.

MUSLIM SOCIETY OF TRONDHEIM (Imam Jorunn Jasmin Oksvold, Muslim Society in Trondheim), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 11:25 AM, by emailing it to: DO-B: Muslim Soc Trondheim (post@msit.no); DO-B: Muslim Soc Trondheim: Imam (imam@msit.no); Subject: [Muslim Soc Trondheim: Imam JJ Oksvold] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. No confirmation of receipt was received. A second notification was sent to same email addresses, including additional email addresses: DO-B: Muslim Soc Trondheim (styre@msit.no), DO-B: Muslim Soc Trondheim (shura@msit.no) on Wed 5/9/2012 12:44 PM, Subject: [2][Muslim Soc Trondheim: Imam JJ Oksvold] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The forwarded email requested: "Please acknowledge receipt that your office has received the following documentation; for your attention, should you wish to oppose the application...." Confirmation of receipt was received on Wed 5/9/2012 11:34 PM, from Mehran Emadipour [mehrane@hotmail.com], Subject: Lest: [2][Muslim Soc Trondheim: Imam JJ Oksvold] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.

ISLAM CULTURAL CENTER (Imam Mehboob-ur-Rehman, Islamic Cultural Center), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 11:27 AM, by emailing it to: DO-B: Islam Cultural Ctr: Imam Rehman (info@islamic.no); Subject: [Islam Cultural Ctr: Imam Mehboob-ur-Rehman] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. No confirmation of receipt was received. A second notification was sent to same email addresses on Wed 5/9/2012 12:22 PM, Subject: [2][Islam Cultural Ctr: Imam Mehboob-ur-Rehman] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The forwarded email requested: "Please acknowledge receipt that your office has received the following documentation; for your attention, should you wish to oppose the application...."

NORWEGIAN INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS: AFGHANISTAN & PAKISTAN PROGRAM (Helge Luras, Afghanistan and Pakistan Program, Norwegian Inst of Intn'l Affairs (NUPI)), by personal email delivery, to their principal place of business, on Mon 5/7/2012 11:29 AM, by emailing it to: DO-B: NUPI: Helge Luras (hel@nupi.no); DO-B: NUPI: (info@nupi.no); Subject: [NUPI: Helge Luras] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order. The email addresses to which I delivered the documents are the correct email addresses. Confirmation of receipt was received on Mon 5/7/2012 12:44 PM, from NUPI Information [Info@nupi.no], Subject: Lest: [NUPI: Helge Luras] NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.

Signed and Sworn to at George on this the 10th day of May 2012, the Deponent acknowledging that she knows and understands the contents of this Affidavit, and that she has no objection to taking the prescribed oath and that the oath is binding on her conscience.



LARA JOHNSTONE, Pro Se
16 Taaibos Ave, Heatherpark
George, 6529
Tel/Fax: (044) 870 7239
Email: jmcswan@mweb.co.za