



15 May 2012

P O Box 5042
George East, 6539
South Africa

Kjersti Buun Nygaard
Deputy Secretary General
Norway Supreme Court

Judge's Opshal and Arntzen
c/o Oslo District Court Registrar

CC: Prosecution, Defence, Victims Families, ECRE/ELENA Indigenous Rights Parties & Min of Culture Parties

(I) Error in Supreme Court: Deputy Secretary General: Kjersti Buun Nygaard Response to SHARP Application to Supreme Court for Declaratory Orders and Review of Oslo District Court's Decisions; (II) Notice of Commencement of Hungerstrike in absence of Supreme Court Case number by 17:00 on 22 May 2012.

Many thanks for your response. Unfortunately there appears to be an error in your interpretation of the correspondence. Herewith additional clarifications:

(I) Error in Supreme Court: Deputy Secretary General: Kjersti Buun Nygaard Response to SHARP Application to Supreme Court for Declaratory Orders and Review of Oslo District Court's Decisions;

In response to my request for a case number for my application for Review and two Declaratory Orders to the Supreme Court, filed with the Court on 10 May 2012; you state.

Mr. Svein Andersen
Mr. Kjersti Ruud

Could you kindly clarify when the Registrar shall issue a Case Number; or whether you require additional documentation or information?

From: Gruer, Helga Mærde [mailto:Helga.Gruer@hoyesterett.no] On Behalf Of HRET (postmottak)
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2012 11:41 AM
To: 'jmcswan@mweb.co.za'
Subject: FW: NO Supreme Crt: Justice Schei, c/o K.Ruud & ST.Andersen: NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order

Ms. Lara Johnstone,

Reference is made to your e-mails regarding the above issue.

Please be advised that the Supreme Court of Norway only handles appeals against judgments given by the lower courts and can consequently not deal with the issue mentioned in your e-mails.

Further inquiries from you regarding the above issue can not be expected to be answered.

Yours sincerely,

Kjersti Buun Nygaard
Deputy Secretary-General

Judgement from the Oslo District Court:

My legal application (per ecolaw email) – Affidavit part C: District Court Monocultural Masculine Insecurity EU Supremacy, paragraphs 30 to 36 – informs you of the Judgement from the Oslo District Court which could politely be paraphrased as ‘Judge Opshal and Arntzen don’t have the honour and integrity to officially deny Johnstone’s application, let alone provide her with written reasons for why we are denying it, cause she practices problem solving, not parasite leeching, so she has fuck all followers, to force us to do our jobs, so we will just pretend she does not exist, hence her applications to this court also don’t exist’.

The Oslo District Court refuse to provide any honourable due process response to the applications filed to it.

[30] 30 November 2011 Application to Oslo District Court: Habeus Mentem:

1. On 30 November 2011, I filed an Application to the Oslo District Court, for an *Application for a [I] writ of Habeus Mentem on behalf of Anders Breivik psycho-cultural integrity right to a free and fair trial; and [II] writ of Certiorari/Review of the Psychiatric Evaluation Report of Psychiatrists: Synne Serheim and Torgeir Husby as to the Mens Rea political necessity criminal liability of Anders Breivik terrorist acts, on 22 July 2011.*
2. On 15 December 2012 I informed the court that: “Please could you confirm: (1) The date my application is to be submitted to Judge Opsahl, or the relevant Judge, for their consideration. (2) The date the said Judge intends to provide me with their ruling on the matter.” There has been no response from the Clerk of the Court. I imagine that the Judge has ordered the Clerk to ignore the application. Refusal to respond to an application implies that the application is being denied, and that the applicant is unworthy of a transparent due process response¹.

[31] 15 April 2012 Application to Oslo District Court: Amicus Curiae:

1. On 15 April 2012, I filed an Application to the Oslo District Court, for an *Application to proceed as In Forma Pauperis Jus Sanguinis Norwegian African White Refugee*

¹ [Field Manual No. FM 3-0, Headquarters Department of the Army, June 2001: Chapter 11: Information Superiority] When you engage someone openly with “white” information operations, i.e. IO (Information Operations) where your identity is clear and explicit, you imply that they are roughly your equal. By speaking to or of them directly, you point up that they are important enough to demand your attention and your reply.

Amicus Curiae for an Order (1) to approve the Applicant as an In Forma Pauperis Jus Sanguinis Norwegian African White Refugee Amici Curiae, and (2) Amending the Charges Against the Defendant and Applicant to include Treason in terms of Article 85 of Norwegian Constitution, and if found guilty, in a free and fair trial; to be executed by firing squad. The application requested the Prosecution and Defence to respond by 23 April 2012 either consenting to, or objecting to, the application.

2. On 26 April 2012, I informed the court that: “There has been no response from the Prosecution and Defence either consenting to, or objecting to, my application to proceed as an Amicus. Please could you confirm: (1) The date my application is to be submitted to Judge Wenche Elizabeth Arntzen, or the relevant Judge, for her/their consideration. (2) The date the said Judge intends to provide me with their ruling approving or denying my application.” There has been no response from the Clerk of the Court. I imagine that the Judge has ordered the Clerk to ignore the application. Refusal to respond to an application implies that the application is being denied, and that the applicant is unworthy of a transparent due process response².

[32] If approved, the Applicants Amicus written submissions would (a) address alternative legal arguments to those of both the Prosecution and Defense, i.e. from a Problem Solving Radical Transparency EcoFeminists perspective as opposed to the Prosecution & Defense’s Parasite Leeching Masculine Insecurity Patriarchal perspectives; (b) ‘argue points deemed too far reaching for emphasis by parties intent on winning their particular Parasite Leeching Masculine Insecurity case’³; (c) ‘apprise the court of Problem Solving Radical Transparency EcoFeminists legal, social, economic, ecological and cultural enquiry implications for its consideration’⁴ to allow the court to base its decision on a larger, more comprehensive, and more accurate reality based natural law legal framework; (d) provide the court with hard evidence of (I) non-violent Jus Sanguinis African White Refugee applications filed to European Heads of State for France, Germany, Netherlands, Switzerland, United Kingdom and NATO Military Committee; providing evidentiary arguments for support for a Boer Volkstaat; or Jus Sanguinis Right of Return to Europe for African White Refugees; (II) how former and current UNHCR, ECRE and ELENA Officials deliberately wish to censor the issue of African White Refugees from public scrutiny and knowledge⁵; so that the court’s final judgment shall include a Problem Solving Radical Transparency EcoFeminists legal analysis⁶.

[33] **If It Bleeds, It Leads Media’s Population-Terror Connection Masculine Insecurity:**

“[Breivik] emphasizes that if he had not been censored by the media all his life, he would not have had to do what he did. He believes the media have the main responsibility for what has happened because they did not publish his opinions.... The low-intensity civil war that he had already described, had lasted until now with ideological struggle and censorship of cultural conservatives..... He explains that this is the worst day of his life and that he has dreaded this for 2 years. He has been censored for years. He mentions Dagbladet and Aftenposten as

² [Field Manual No. FM 3-0, Headquarters Department of the Army, June 2001: Chapter 11: Information Superiority] When you engage someone openly with “white” information operations, i.e. IO (Information Operations) where your identity is clear and explicit, you imply that they are roughly your equal. By speaking to or of them directly, you point up that they are important enough to demand your attention and your reply.

³ Luther T. Munford, *When Does the Curiae Need an Amicus?*, 1 J. App. Prac. & Process 279, 280 (1999).

⁴ Paul M. Sandler & Andrew D. Levy, *Appellate Practice for the Maryland Lawyer: State and Federal: Amicus Briefs* 331 (1994).

⁵ (A) Monaco-RSA: Prince Albert II’s Hon. Consul demands Jus Sanguinis delete African White Refugees Petition to Principality of Monaco webpage (B) African White Refugee Petition to NL:ECRE & ELENA Officials posted to ECRE & ELENA Facebook Wall deleted; (C) Prof. Denis Alland, Univ. Paris II; UNHCR Rep. (1989-97), ECRE & ELENA Refugee Law Expert Declares Legal War on African White Refugees; (D) French UNHCR Rep. & EU Legal Network on Asylum (ELENA) Law Prof.’s legal allergy to Jus Sanguinis Boer Volkstaat 4 African White Refugees Petition; (E) http://why-we-are-white-refugees.blogspot.com/search/label/*%20ECRE-ELENA%3A%20Anti-White%20Refugee%20Bias

⁶ Paul M. Smith, *The Sometimes Troubled Relationship Between Courts and Their “Friends”*, note 2, at 26 (1998).

those who among other things have censored him..... He says that he also wrote “essays” that he tried to publish via the usual channels, but that they were all censored..... The subject summarizes: As long as more than twelve were executed, the operation will still be a success. The experts ask how the number twelve comes into consideration. Twelve dead are needed to penetrate the censorship wall, he explains..... About his thoughts on the Utøya killings now, the subject says: The goal was to execute as many as possible. At least 30. It was horrible, but the number had to be assessed based on the global censorship limit. Utøya was a martyrdom, and I am very proud of it..... The subject says in the conversation that he knows the truth that is hidden from others. He believes that there is a civil war in the country. He believes he had to kill at least twelve, because there is a censorship-wall preventing an open debate about what is happening in the country..... So I knew I had to cross a certain threshold to exceed the censorship-wall of the international media.” -- Oslo Organized Crime Police Investigation Report: “Explanation of 22 July 2011, doc 08,01”

[34] On 22 April 2012 (Earth Day), Applicant distributed the “**Acquittal or Firing Squad :: If It Bleeds, It Leads :: Media’s Population - Terrorism Connection Report**”⁷

1. It provides Scientific Journalism studies about Media Censorship in the matter of Norway v. Breivik, detailing Media Masculine (Transparency) Insecurity surrounding the ‘Better an Honest Enemy; than a False Friend’ events of support for a free and fair trial for Breivik from a Pasthtun Pakistani and a Radical Honoursty EcoFeminist (Applicant).
2. The Report detailed how the Media’s Anders Breivik Narrative appears to be:
 - A. * Breivik is legally insane
 - B. * His ‘If It Bleeds, It Leads’ justification for Terrorism is Unjustified
3. However the Media Censored information such as:
 - A. * Whores of the Court Myth of Mental Illness: Insanity is to PharmaPsychiatry what Heresy was to the Inquisition
 - B. * Media’s If It Bleeds, It Leads Population-Terrorism Connection

[35] **Information Operations:**

[36] It is the applicants working hypothesis that Judge Wenche Elizabeth Arntzen chose to ignore her application because she lacks the masculine (reason and logic) security to practice radical transparency information operations:

1. When you engage someone openly with “white” information operations, i.e. IO (Information Operations) where your identity is clear and explicit, you imply that they are roughly your equal. By speaking to or of them directly, you point up that they are important enough to demand your attention and your reply. [Field Manual No. FM 3-0, Headquarters Department of the Army, June 2001: Chapter 11: Information Superiority]

⁷ <http://norway-v-breivik.blogspot.com/2012/04/breivik-acquittal-or-firing-squad-if-it.html>
PDF: http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/120422_bleads-leads?mode=window&printButtonEnabled=false&backgroundcolor=%23222222

(III) Notice of Commencement of Hungerstrike in absence of Supreme Court Case number by 17:00 on 22 May 2012.

In the absence of notification of Supreme Court case number for my SHARP application for two Declaratory Orders and Review of Oslo District Court's decisions (judgements), by 17:00 hrs on 22 May 2012, the applicant shall embark on a liquids only hungerstrike.

Previous Hungerstrikes Background Information:

- ❖ 1999: Support for CA Dept of Corrections Investigation of D. Johnson Gang Label
- ❖ 2001: Support for Pres. Bush & 400 Military & Government Disclosure Project Witnesses
- ❖ 2003: Support for Radical Honoursty Disclosure of Iatrogenic Origins of AIDS

1999: Support for CA Dept of Corrections Investigation of Demian Johnson Gang Label (25 days)

In 1999, after months of written requests being ignored, I went on a hungerstrike in support of the California Department of Corrections launching an independent impartial investigation into the alleged 'Black Guerrilla' gang label of my husband, African American prisoner Demian Emile Johnson.

After 25 days, then Director of California Department of Corrections, Mr. Cal Terhune ordered the then Independent Investigations Complaints Directorate to investigate the matter. ⁸ Their investigation found tht the 'black guerrilla gang' label in his file to be erroneous and lacking in evidence, and they ordered it to be removed.

2001: Support for Pres. Bush & 400 Military & Government Disclosure Project Witnesses (44 days)

On 28 July 2001 I went on a hungerstrike⁹ in support of President George W. Bush and the over 400 military, government and intelligence agency Disclosure Project¹⁰ witnesses.

The Disclosure Project witnesses testimony¹¹ is the highlights of over 100 hours of testimony that had been collected from interviews with dozens of U.S. Government, industrial, and military witnesses to UFO and extraterrestrial events and secret 'black-ops' projects. Following the presentation of the video- tape there was a presentation by Disclosure director Dr. Steven M. Greer and local San Francisco Project witnesses Carol Rosin (spokesperson for Dr. Werner Von Braun) and Daniel Sheehan (legal counsel for the Disclosure Project).

My support was for the truth, whatever the truth may be. I don't know if UFO's exist or not, but if they do, I am not afraid to confront that reality and even invite them to supper to hear about their

⁸ RSA OVERSEAS: *South African on hunger strike in California*, by Ilda Jacobs

⁹ Presidential UFO: George W. Bush's UFO Mail <http://www.presidentialufo.com/george-w-bush/182-george-w-bushs-ufo-mail>

¹⁰ The Disclosure Project <http://www.disclosureproject.org/>
Witness Testimony Briefing Documents <http://www.disclosureproject.org/access/>

¹¹ May 9, 2001 National Press Club Conference <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lkswXVmG4xM>

Four Hour Disclosure Project Witnesses: (1) <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ud49Gh9yYLs>, (2) <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kpHAXxRKksQ>

cultures and planets. Maybe even convince one to give me a lift to a planet which is not populated by gutless cowards.

On 09 September 2001 I sent out an email informing the UFO community that I was going to end my hungerstrike, as a result of the media's focus being on me, instead of the Disclosure Project witnesses and their evidence. I informed them I would send out a Press Release on 11 September 2001 at 09:00 hrs. I spoke at a STAR WARS conference at UCLA over the weekend where different UFO persons were speaking on the importance of transparency and the UFO issue,

On 11 September 2001, at 09:00 hrs EST, the World Trade Center Attacks occurred, at 09:00 hrs PST, I sent out my press release!

In October I received a letter from the White House¹², dated 06 September 2001, which is the only response the White House has ever provided to any citizen regarding the UFO question (all other requests to the White House for UFO information are usually sent to NASA for a form letter response).

2003: Support for Radical Honoursty Disclosure of Iatrogenic Origins of AIDS (21 days)

In 2003 while sentenced to George Women's Prison for Contempt in the Face of the Court, I went on a hungerstrike in support of Radical Honoursty Disclosure of Iatrogenic Origins of AIDS, which was the issue raised in my Political Necessity Criminal Trial, as a result of my 18 June 2002 Political Necessity Criminal Act.

The George Women's Prison Authorities informed me that they could care less about whether such a racist person such as I died from a hungerstrike about the manmade origins of AIDS.

I ended my hungerstrike after my parents begged me to confront reality that there was not one black (or white liberal) South African who had any interest in investigating the Iatrogenic Origins of Aids and the depopulation motives for its creation. Nor would there be any blacks, or liberals, who would be interested in encouraging blacks to learn the lessons of responsible procreation practices, so as to avoid such depopulation viruses from being manufactured, while they could benefit from endless AIDS funding while pretending to care about the poor Africans with AIDS.

On 14 February 2003, I received a request for an interview from the South African National Intelligence Agency. I told them I'd be happy to conduct a Radical Transparency interview at the US Embassy, attended by US Navy JAG personnel. The NIA then changed their mind: AIDS is not a matter of national security, only SA Politicians Masculine (Reason & Logic) Insecurity fragile ego's & bullshit-the-public-relations image management is a matter of national security.

Sincerely,

Lara Johnstone

¹² Presidential UFO: George W. Bush's UFO Mail <http://www.presidentialufo.com/george-w-bush/182-george-w-bushs-ufo-mail>