



**Norway v. Breivik**

**Case: 11-188627 MED-05**

**'Lawyers are either social engineers, or they are parasites. Social Engineer Lawyers aim to eliminate the difference between what the laws say and mean, and how they are applied; whereas legal parasites aim to entrench their parasitism from the difference between what the laws say and mean, and the application of such differences to their parasitic benefit.' - Prof. Charlie Houston, mentor of Justice Thurgood Marshall, *Simple Justice: History of Brown v. Board of Education***

P O Box 5042  
George East, 6539  
Cell: (071) 170 1954

29 May 2012

Secretariat of the Supervisory Committee for Judges,  
National Courts Administration,  
Dronningensgt. 2, 7485 Trondheim  
Postboks 5678 Sluppen  
Tel: 73 56 70 00 | Fax: 73 56 70 01  
E-mail: Supv. Comm. Judges ([tilsynsutvalget@domstol.no](mailto:tilsynsutvalget@domstol.no))

CC: Chief Justice Tore Schei  
Norway Supreme Court | **Norges Høyesterett**  
Post: Postboks 8016 Dep., 0030 Oslo, Norge.  
Høyesteretts plass 1, Oslo  
Telefon: 22 03 59 00 Telefaks: 22 33 23 55  
E-post: Norway Supreme Court Admin ([post@hoyesterett.no](mailto:post@hoyesterett.no))  
E-post: Ch.Justice Tore Schei ([tore.schei@hoyesterett.no](mailto:tore.schei@hoyesterett.no))

**Complaint against Chief Justice Tore Schei: Violation of Ethical Principles for Norwegian Judges: 1. (Rule of Law), 2. (Independence), 3 (Impartiality), 4 (Integrity), 5 (Equality), 7 (Formulation of Court Decisions), 12 (Judges relation to the media)<sup>2</sup>, 15 (Collegial Intervention).**

**[A] Overview of Complaint:**

<sup>1</sup> *Simple Justice: The History of Brown v. Board of Education, the epochal Supreme Court decision that outlawed segregation, and of black America's century-long struggle for equality under law*, by Richard Kluger; Random House (1975) (pp126-129)

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.domstol.no/upload/DA/Internett/da.no/Publikasjoner/Ethical%20principles%20for%20the%20proper%20conduct%20of%20Norwegian%20judges.pdf>

Complainant filed an application for Review and two Declaratory Orders to the Norway Supreme Court in the Norway v. Breivik matter. This complaint assumes that the Chief Justice was involved in the administrative decision of Ms. Nygaard to refuse the applicants right of due process free and fair hearing (receipt of case number, hearing of eligibility of case) for her application for review.

Deputy Secretary General Kjersti Buun Nygaard responded to complainants request that the court issue a case number for her application to the court for review and declaratory orders, by stating:

Reference is made to your e-mails regarding the above issue.

Please be advised that the Supreme Court of Norway only handles appeals against judgments given by the lower courts and can consequently not deal with the issue mentioned in your e-mails.

Further inquiries from you regarding the above issue can not be expected to be answered.

Ms. Nygaard on her own administrative initiative or on instruction of Justice Schei totally ignores the contents of the review applications providing the court with information as to the irregular behaviour of Judge Opsahl and Arntzen, who simply refuse to provide any due process response which could lead to a free and fair hearing 'judgement', in response to the applications to their courts. Conduct by a Judge which refuses to provide an applicant to their right to relevant due process procedure for a fair hearing to reach a judgement, is thereby in and of itself, a 'judgement decision' to deny the individual access to a free and fair hearing due process judgement.

## **[B] Chronology of Facts**

### **30 November 2011 Application to Oslo District Court: Habeus Mentem:**

- [1] On 30 November 2011, complainant filed an Application to the Oslo District Court: ***Application for a [I] writ of Habeus Mentem on behalf of Anders Breivik psycho-cultural integrity right to a free and fair trial; and [II] writ of Certiorari/Review of the Psychiatric Evaluation Report of Psychiatrists: Synne Serheim and Torgeir Husby as to the Mens Rea political necessity criminal liability of Anders Breivik terrorist acts, on 22 July 2011.*** [Annex B]. The application was filed by email on Wed 11/30/2011 8:35 PM, to NO Oslo District Court: (oslo.tinghus.sentralbord@domstol.no); (oslo.tingrett.postmottak@domstol.no), Subject: ***Oslo District Court: Norway v. Breivik: Application: Respondent: Judge Nina Opsahl.***
- [2] On 15 December 2011 complainant contacted the court to request that: "Please could you confirm: (1) The date my application is to be submitted to Judge Opsahl, or the relevant Judge, for their consideration. (2) The date the said Judge intends to provide me with their ruling on the matter." The email was sent on Thu 12/15/2011 2:56 PM, to aforementioned email addresses: Subject: ***[2] Oslo District Court: Norway v. Breivik: Application: Respondent: Judge Nina Opsahl.***
- [3] There has been no response from the Clerk of the Court. I imagine that Judge Opsahl or some unknown individual has ordered the Clerk to ignore the application, without providing any reasons for such denial of due process behaviour. Refusal to respond to an application implies that the application is being denied, and that the applicant is unworthy of a transparent due process response<sup>3</sup>.

### **15 April 2012 Application to Oslo District Court: Amicus Curiae:**

---

<sup>3</sup> [Field Manual No. FM 3-0, Headquarters Department of the Army, June 2001: Chapter 11: Information Superiority] When you engage someone openly with "white" information operations, i.e. IO (Information Operations) where your identity is clear and explicit, you imply that they are roughly your equal. By speaking to or of them directly, you point up that they are important enough to demand your attention and your reply.

- [1] On 15 April 2012, Complainant filed an Application to the Oslo District Court: *Application to proceed as In Forma Pauperis Jus Sanguinis Norwegian African White Refugee Amicus Curiae for an Order (1) to approve the Applicant as an In Forma Pauperis Jus Sanguinis Norwegian African White Refugee Amici Curiae, and (2) Amending the Charges Against the Defendant and Applicant to include Treason in terms of Article 85 of Norwegian Constitution, and if found guilty, in a free and fair trial; to be executed by firing squad.* The application requested the Prosecution and Defence to respond by 23 April 2012 either consenting to, or objecting to, the application. The email application was sent on Sun 4/15/2012 5:42 PM, Subject: **OSLO CRT REGISTRAR: 11-188627 MED-05: Notice of Application: Amicus Curiae from Norwegian Jus Sanguinis African White Refugee.**
- [2] On 26 April 2012, Complainant contacted the court to request that: “There has been no response from the Prosecution and Defence either consenting to, or objecting to, my application to proceed as an Amicus. Please could you confirm: (1) The date my application is to be submitted to Judge Wenche Elizabeth Arntzen, or the relevant Judge, for her/their consideration. (2) The date the said Judge intends to provide me with their ruling approving or denying my application.”
- [3] There has been no response from the Clerk of the Court. I imagine that Judge Opsahl or some unknown individual has ordered the Clerk to ignore the application, without providing any reasons for such denial of due process behaviour. Refusal to respond to an application implies that the application is being denied, and that the applicant is unworthy of a transparent due process response<sup>4</sup>.
- [4] If approved, the Applicants Amicus written submissions would (a) address alternative legal arguments to those of both the Prosecution and Defense, i.e. from a Problem Solving Radical Transparency EcoFeminists perspective as opposed to the Prosecution & Defense’s Parasite Leeching Masculine Insecurity Patriarchal perspectives; (b) ‘argue points deemed too far reaching for emphasis by parties intent on winning their particular Parasite Leeching Masculine Insecurity case’<sup>5</sup>; (c) ‘apprise the court of Problem Solving Radical Transparency EcoFeminists legal, social, economic, ecological and cultural enquiry implications for its consideration’<sup>6</sup> to allow the court to base its decision on a larger, more comprehensive, and more accurate reality based natural law legal framework; (d) provide the court with hard evidence of (I) non-violent Jus Sanguinis African White Refugee applications filed to European Heads of State for France, Germany, Netherlands, Switzerland, United Kingdom and NATO Military Committee; providing evidentiary arguments for support for a Boer Volkstaat; or Jus Sanguinis Right of Return to Europe for African White Refugees; (II) how former and current UNHCR, ECRE and ELENA Officials deliberately wish to censor the issue of African White Refugees from public scrutiny and knowledge<sup>7</sup>; so that the court’s final judgment shall include a Problem Solving Radical Transparency EcoFeminists legal analysis<sup>8</sup>.

### 10 May 2012 Application to Norway Supreme Court: Review & Declaratory Orders:

- [1] On 10 May 2012, Complainant filed an Application to the Norway Supreme Court: *Application (1) to be admitted as a Jus Sanguinis Radical Honoursty African EcoFeminist White*

<sup>4</sup> [Field Manual No. FM 3-0, Headquarters Department of the Army, June 2001: Chapter 11: Information Superiority] When you engage someone openly with “white” information operations, i.e. IO (Information Operations) where your identity is clear and explicit, you imply that they are roughly your equal. By speaking to or of them directly, you point up that they are important enough to demand your attention and your reply.

<sup>5</sup> Luther T. Munford, *When Does the Curiae Need an Amicus?*, 1 J. App. Prac. & Process 279, 280 (1999).

<sup>6</sup> Paul M. Sandler & Andrew D. Levy, *Appellate Practice for the Maryland Lawyer: State and Federal: Amicus Briefs* 331 (1994).

<sup>7</sup> (A) Monaco-RSA: Prince Albert II’s Hon. Consul demands Jus Sanguinis delete African White Refugees Petition to Principality of Monaco webpage (B) African White Refugee Petition to NL: ECRE & ELENA Officials posted to ECRE & ELENA Facebook Wall deleted; (C) Prof. Denis Alland, Univ. Paris II; UNHCR Rep. (1989-97), ECRE & ELENA Refugee Law Expert Declares Legal War on African White Refugees; (D) French UNHCR Rep. & EU Legal Network on Asylum (ELENA) Law Prof.’s legal allergy to Jus Sanguinis Boer Volkstaat 4 African White Refugees Petition; (E)

<http://why-we-are-white-refugees.blogspot.com/search/label/%20ECRE-ELENA%3A%20Anti-White%20Refugee%20Bias>

<sup>8</sup> Paul M. Smith, *The Sometimes Troubled Relationship Between Courts and Their “Friends”*, note 2, at 26 (1998).

*Refugee; (2) for An Order demanding the Norwegian Ministry of Culture to act in accordance to European Court of Human Rights ruling in Lithgow & others v. United Kingdom, and clarify in adequately accessible and sufficiently precise statement; whether Norway is (A) a ‘Children of the Rainbow’<sup>9</sup> State legally committed to Multiculturalism, providing all cultures their right to invoke cultural law and hence granting the Applicant her rights to invoke Radical Honoursty cultural law; or (B) a Monocultural Indigenous European Supremacy Legal Hegemonic State, and that the Labour Party Immigration policy is a tactic to maintain their grip on power, by importing Non-Western immigrants as Labour Party vote-fodder; (3) to Review the Oslo District Court failure to act in accordance of due process to a Jus Sanguinis Radical Honoursty African EcoFeminist White Refugee Applicant member of the Radical Honesty culture.* The application was sent to all parties, and subsequently to the following Supreme Court addresses: (Chief Justice Tore Schei (postmottak@domstoladministrasjonen.no); Kjersti Ruud (Kjersti.Ruud@hoyesterett.no) ; Svein Tore Andersen (svein.tore.andersen@hoyesterett.no)) on Thu 5/10/2012 1:49 PM; Subject: **NO Supreme Crt: Justice Schei, c/o K.Ruud & ST.Andersen: NO-Breivik: Supreme Crt Applic for Review & Decl. Order.**

- [2] On 11 May 2012 complainant requested from Norway Supreme Court Officials: Mr. Svein Andersen / Mr. Kjersti Ruud: “Could you kindly clarify when the Registrar shall issue a Case Number; or whether you require additional documentation or information?”
- [3] On 15 May 2012, Kjersti Buun Nygaard responded with: “Reference is made to your e-mails regarding the above issue. Please be advised that the Supreme Court of Norway only handles appeals against judgments given by the lower courts and can consequently not deal with the issue mentioned in your e-mails. Further inquiries from you regarding the above issue can not be expected to be answered.”
- [4] On 15 May 2012, complainant responded with: **(I) Error in Supreme Court: Deputy Secretary General: Kjersti Buun Nygaard Response to SHARP Application to Supreme Court for Declaratory Orders and Review of Oslo District Court’s Decisions; (II) Notice of Commencement of Hungerstrike in absence of Supreme Court Case number by 17:00 on 22 May 2012.**
- [5] On 17 May 2012, complainant filed an Environmental Crime Complaint to Interpol, via Norway Police; Charges: **Obstruction of Environmental & Indigenous Rights Justice Committed by Chief Justice Tore Schei & Dep. Sec. Gen: Kjersti Nygaard**
- [6] There has been no response from any Supreme Court official.

### **[C] Justice Torei’s Violation of Ethical Principles for Judges:**

Justice Schei’s violations occurred specifically on or around 10, 11 & 15 May 2012, or at the specific times whereupon the complainants Review & Declaratory Order application, and complainants subsequent written correspondence request for the issuance of a case number, was provided to him for his due process acceptance and processing, whereupon his decision-making occurred to irregularly deny the application by ignoring the irregular and illegal conduct of Judge’s Opsahl and Arntzen’s ‘conduct judgements’ to deny the applicant due process procedural access to the court for a free and fair hearing of her applications.

#### **(1) Basic Requirements/Rule of Law:**

Judges should conduct themselves in conformity with the law, the legal system and norms for proper conduct among judges, and in such a way that it promotes public confidence in the courts.

---

<sup>9</sup> Europost: Children of the Rainbow against Anders Breivik <http://www.europost.bg/article?id=4409>

The rule of law requires legislation (or judgements or court officials decision-making) to be adequately accessible and sufficiently precise to enable people to regulate their affairs in accord with the law (*Lithgow & others v United Kingdom*<sup>10</sup>).

Put differently all government officials, and even more so judicial branch officials should provide all citizens with honest and clear answers response from the Court regarding the status of their applications, in terms of the rule of law principle that requires legislation (or judgements) to be adequately accessible and sufficiently precise to enable people to regulate their affairs in accord with the law (*Lithgow & others v United Kingdom*<sup>11</sup>)

Public confidence is enhanced by simple honesty; and hugely diminished by legal officials who practice plausible deniability public relations image management, by deliberately avoiding providing clear simple honest answers for their judicial or administrative decisions.

Judges should set an example of simple honest transparent conduct for both the legal establishment and citizens, if promoting uniformity of law, legal clarity, legal development, openness and transparency as the court's business<sup>12</sup> is their sincere practice what they preach commitment, and not just more 'bullshit-the-public relations image management'.

## (2) Independence

A judge should exercise his/her adjudicative role with independence, without an extraneous judicial influence from public or private interests.

It is suspected that the Chief Justice's failure to exercise his administrative role with independence, by such plausible deniable attempts to deny the applicants applications from entering the court record in this matter, are a result of his public relations image management paranoia related to controversial arguments (1. Media's Environment-Population-Terrorism Connection; 2. Norway's endorsement of Political Psychiatry; 3. Masculine Insecurity Human Farming for Profit Kaffir Legal Matrix) in the complainants applications.

## (3) Impartiality

A judge should exercise their adjudicatory role with impartiality, both in fact and by appearance, and in such a way that the impartiality of the judge cannot be reasonably questioned. Judges should not express any legal preposition in cases that either are allocated to the judge or are likely to be allocated to him or her. Judges should exercise their adjudicative role without prejudice. Judges should actively create conditions for amicable solutions. However, the parties should not be subjected to pressure from judges in achieving such solutions.

A judge's administrative adjudicatory decision to refuse a particular application should include written reasons based upon valid legal justified ground to avoid the perception or probability of bias in his decision-making.

## (4) Integrity

Judges should behave in a way that does not threaten the public confidence in the courts and judiciary. A judge must not, for own benefit or for others, receive gifts or other benefits that may be regarded as being related to the exercise of their adjudicative role.

Withholding of honest information is a form of lying and deception, and also a violation of the principle that the rule of law requires legislation (or judgements) to be adequately accessible

---

<sup>10</sup> *Lithgow & others v. United Kingdom* (1986) \* EHR 329 § 110 <http://www.unhcr.org/refworld/publisher,ECHR,,GBR,3ae6b7230,0.html>

<sup>11</sup> *Lithgow & others v. United Kingdom* (1986) \* EHR 329 § 110 <http://www.unhcr.org/refworld/publisher,ECHR,,GBR,3ae6b7230,0.html>

<sup>12</sup> <http://www.domstol.no/no/Enkelt-domstol/-Norges-Hoyesterett/>

and sufficiently precise to enable people to regulate their affairs in accord with the law (Lithgow & others v United Kingdom<sup>13</sup>).

## (5) Equality

Judges should pay attention to the principle of equal treatment of parties and other actors before the courts. Judges should base their decisions on objective considerations when awarding tasks or contracts on behalf of the court.

The complainant has not received anything remotely resembling equal treatment in terms of being provided with a written response, including written reasons based upon legal grounds; to her application to the court.

Complainant is a paralegal<sup>14</sup> member of the Radical Honesty culture [See: SA Constitutional Court Order by the Chief Justice in CCT 23-10: The Citizen v. Robert McBride<sup>15</sup> on 03 May 2010: “The Chief Justice has issued the following directions: Ms. Lara Johnstone, Member of the Radical Honesty Culture and Religion is admitted as an Amicus Curiae.” (Annex A)] and does not think it is too much ‘Multiculti Legal Respect’ to ask for any honest, impartial Judge to provide any individual, not just lawyers from ‘legal organisations’, with a fair honest response to their legal application to their court.

## (7) Formulation of Court Decisions

Judges should, in his or her formulation of court decisions, pay due regard to all involved persons, so far it is in conformity with the requirements for the legal grounding of decisions.

The complainant is unaware of any legal grounds for a judge to simply refuse any application to their court, without providing legal written reason grounds for such refusal, because arguments raised in the application are of a controversial nature and critical of the courts apathy to, and profit from, practices in conflict with natural law.

## (12) Judges relation to the media.

Judges should respect the media’s role in the courts, and should provide the public with information concerning the cases that are dealt with by the courts.

Judge Schei does not appear to have provided the media with information about the complainants application to his court. It is suspected that one of the motivations behind the Justice’s refusal to provide the complainant with a case number and a fair hearing is to deliberately avoid the court providing the media with information concerning the complainants application to the court; and that such motivations relate to the Justice’s lack of impartiality, independence, integrity and commitment to equality and collegial intervention.

## (15) Collegial intervention

Judges that become aware of violations of these ethical principles committed by colleagues, should address this in a suitable way, and intervene when substantial violations occur.

---

<sup>13</sup> *Lithgow & others v. United Kingdom* (1986) \* ECHR 329 § 110 <http://www.unhcr.org/refworld/publisher,ECHR,,GBR,3ae6b7230,0.html>

<sup>14</sup> Paralegal Certificate & Diplomate: Lara Johnstone Download: [http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/060111\\_paralegal-lj](http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/060111_paralegal-lj)

Read: [http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/060111\\_paralegal-lj?mode=window&viewMode=doublePage](http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/060111_paralegal-lj?mode=window&viewMode=doublePage)

<sup>15</sup> Robert McBride was a member of Umkhonto we Sizwe, the armed wing of the South African Liberation Struggle, and was convicted for the bombing of Magoo’s Bar / “Why Not” Restaurant in Durban, which killed 3 and injured 69 in 1986. He applied for and was granted amnesty for this and other militant actions taken during his time with MK by the Truth and Reconciliation Commission. The Citizen newspaper was subsequently found guilty of defaming McBride by calling him a murderer (McBride argued his crimes had been forgiven and erased by the TRC) and appealed to the Concourt. Johnstone’s Amicus dealt with evidence for how and why South Africa’s Truth and Reconciliation Hearings were not a sincere investigation as to the root ecological and demographic (overpopulation youth bulge) cause of Apartheid or current SA political violence; and offered the court parties an opportunity to correct the error of SA’s TRC Fraud by addressing its errors so that true and sincere reconciliation could occur. Even though Johnstone’s application was accepted by the Concourt and filed, both McBride and the SA media refused the offer to address the evidence of ecological causes of SA’s apartheid violence and the consequence TRC Fraud, and correct the error; since both McBride (the ANC) and the media socio-politically and financially benefit from SA’s TRC Fraud and current violence.

Justice Schei was clearly and unequivocally being informed of irregular and unethical behaviour of Judges Opsahl and Arntzen, but chose to act like the three monkeys, pretending he did not see, hear or witness their violations of not only ethical conduct for justices, but violations of complainants rights to access to due process and a free and fair hearing on the matter of her application/s.

#### **[D] ECHR: Rule of law requires adequately Precise and Accessible Legislation:**

In *Lithgow & others v United Kingdom*<sup>16</sup>, the European Court of Human Rights held that the rule of law requires provisions of legislation to be adequately accessible and sufficiently precise to enable people to regulate their affairs in accord with the law:

“As regards the phrase “subject to the conditions provided for by law”, it requires in the first place the existence of and compliance with adequately accessible and sufficiently precise domestic legal provisions (see, amongst other authorities, the alone judgment of 2 August 1984, Series A no. 82, pp. 31-33, paras. 66-68).”

#### **[E] Controversial Arguments in Complainants Applications**

“It is the mark of an educated mind to be able to entertain a thought without accepting it.”  
- Aristotle

“There is not a truth existing which I fear... or would wish unknown to the whole world.”  
- Thomas Jefferson

Justice Schei lacks the Masculine Security Independence demonstrated in Thomas Jeffersons and Aristotle’s quotes towards controversial issues as detailed in complainant’s criticisms of (1) the political and legal elite’s paranoia to confront the Media’s Environment-Population-Terrorism Connection; (2) Norways political, academic and legal elites paranoia to confront Norways endorsement of Political Psychiatry; and (3) Western civilisation’s Masculine Insecurity Human Farming - for Iron Mountain<sup>17</sup> ‘War is a Racket’<sup>18</sup> profit - Kaffir Legal Matrix.

#### **[1] Media’s Environment-Population-Terrorism Connection:**

##### **30 November 2011 Application to Oslo District Court: Habeus Mentem: Affidavit:**

**[36](3)** Written Statement of Consent by T. Michael Maher, Ph.D, to testify as expert witness for How and Why Journalists Avoid the Population-Environment Connection and Media Framing and Salience of the Population Issue (PDF<sup>19</sup>) and Study: How and Why Journalists Avoid the Population-Environment Connection (PDF<sup>20</sup>)

**[63]** While he does not share the political-cultural beliefs of Che Guevarra, Jaan Laaman, Tom Manning, Marilyn Buck, Carlos the Jackal, Nelson Mandela, Robert McBride, etc (left wing terrorists: none of whom were considered by conservative prosecutors to require psychological evaluation, but who were allowed their day in court to take personal and political responsibility for their politically violent criminal acts); I imagine they may find themselves in agreement as to how Mainstream Access-to-Discourse Gatekeeper editors censorship contributed to their decision-making to resort to political necessity violence.

**[64]** Excerpt: Amicus Curiae to SA Constitutional Court (CCT 23-10): Mainstream Access-to-Discourse-Gatekeeper Editors censorship<sup>21</sup> of nonviolent political grievances and problem

<sup>16</sup> *Lithgow & others v. United Kingdom* (1986) \* EHR 329 § 110 <http://www.unhcr.org/refworld/publisher,ECHR,,GBR,3ae6b7230,0.html>

<sup>17</sup> Report from Iron Mountain: On the Possibility and Desirability of Peace [http://www.teachpeace.com/Report\\_from\\_Iron\\_Mountain.pdf](http://www.teachpeace.com/Report_from_Iron_Mountain.pdf)

<sup>18</sup> War is a Racket, by USMC General Smedley Bulter <http://warisaracket.org/dedication.html>

<sup>19</sup> [http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/100522\\_cct2310\\_affid-dr-t-m-maher?mode=a\\_p](http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/100522_cct2310_affid-dr-t-m-maher?mode=a_p)

<sup>20</sup> [http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/mahertm\\_journo-env-pop-connection?mode=a\\_p](http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/mahertm_journo-env-pop-connection?mode=a_p)

<sup>21</sup> “The moderate blacks were not selling the papers. We were presenting a non-violent strategy, that did not say ‘Burn, baby Burn’. A strategy that said people must come together and sit down around a negotiating table. And this is not sensational stuff; it does not sell the papers.” - Rev. John

solving activism facilitate a pressure cooker socio-political reality for their 'If it Bleeds, it Leads' corporate propaganda profits, in knowledge application of:

1. 'As long as there is some possibility of getting results by political means, the chances that any political group or individual will turn violent are truly radically small, or maybe vanishingly small'<sup>22</sup>;
2. 'The exposure in the media is what gets people's attention. People follow what is happening in the news, not what is happening in the courts'<sup>23</sup>;
3. '[Editors] abuse of media power, by means of strategies whereby they abuse public discourse/free speech resources; by providing certain parties with preferential and special access to such public discourse, and severely restricting or denying others any access to such public discourse'<sup>24</sup>;
4. Mainstream media avoid addressing or enquiring into root causes of problems as reported in *How and Why Journalists Avoid Population - Environment connection*<sup>25</sup>; and censor non-violent root-cause problem solving activism<sup>26</sup>.

### 10 May 2012 Application to Norway Supreme Court: Review & Declaratory Orders:

#### [4] Applicant: Radical Honoursty Transparency Political Necessity EcoFeminist Terrorist:

[5] I have political motivated criminal convictions for:

**Terrorism:** On 18 June 2002 (Phi Day<sup>27</sup> and President Mbeki's 60<sup>th</sup> birthday) I made a bomb threat to the P.W. Botha International airport in George and then turned myself into the Police, based upon the political necessity of exposing SA's Truth and Reconciliation Fraud (particularly the relationship between overpopulation and terrorism and the media's coverup of overpopulation-environment-terrorism connections). I was sentenced to two years correctional supervision.

[6] The applicant has filed the following non-violent applications to request South African and other International Authorities support her minority right to public discourse on the matter of (a) the importance of a Deep Ecology Sustainability Bill of Rights and worldview; (b) Population/Demographic Masculine Insecurity Breeding War roots of Political and Resource war violence, including Apartheid Violence; (c) the relationship between Media Censorship of Overpopulation and it's Resource War and Terrorism consequences, (d) Jus Sanguinis European Indigenous Citizenship for African White Refugees, (e) equitable recognition of the Radical Honoursty culture, (f) South Africa's Truth and Reconciliation (TRC) Fraud's African White Refugee consequences

#### [33] If It Bleeds, It Leads Media's Population-Terror Connection Masculine Insecurity:

---

Gogotya, *ANC: VIP's of Violence*, documentary; "For revolutionary groups, the more murderous the deed, the more certain the media coverage." -- Nicholas Partridge, Presenter, *ANC: VIP's of Violence*. See: Transcript of *ANC: VIP's of Violence* at: UA: [C.6] 'If it Bleeds, It Leads,' Editorial Maxim [PDF: [www.scribd.com/doc/32739403](http://www.scribd.com/doc/32739403)]

<sup>22</sup> Clark McCauley, Ph.D, Prof. of Psychology at Bryn Mawr College, in *When Does Political Anger Turn to Violence?*, by Benedict Carey, New York Times, March 26, 2010 [PDF: [www.scribd.com/doc/34271993](http://www.scribd.com/doc/34271993)]

<sup>23</sup> Jean Pierre Mean, Group General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer, SGS Group, In *Confronting Corruption: The Business Case for an Effective Anti-Corruption Programme*, by PricewaterhouseCoopers Intl [PDF: [www.pwc.com/anti-corruption](http://www.pwc.com/anti-corruption)]

<sup>24</sup> (I) *Power and the news media*, Teun A. van Dijk, Univ. of Amsterdam, D. Paletz (Ed.), Political Communication & Action. (pp. 9-36). Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press, 1995 [PDF: [www.scribd.com/doc/34271955](http://www.scribd.com/doc/34271955)]; (II) Ubuntu Amicus (UA) : [C] Right to 'Free Speech' Propaganda Profits Deception [PDF: [www.scribd.com/doc/32739403](http://www.scribd.com/doc/32739403)]

<sup>25</sup> CCT 23-10: *Statement of Consent by Dr. T. Michael Maher* [PDF: [www.scribd.com/doc/31373074](http://www.scribd.com/doc/31373074)]; *How and Why Journalists Avoid Population-Environment Connection*, by T. Michael Maher, Ph.D. [PDF: [www.scribd.com/doc/33694415](http://www.scribd.com/doc/33694415)]

<sup>26</sup> HC-WC 19963-09: *140 SA Elite Deliberate Indifference to Rule of Law* [PDF: [www.scribd.com/doc/34274197](http://www.scribd.com/doc/34274197)]

<sup>27</sup> In 2002, the established Phi Day, or Golden Ratio Day (GRD), was June 18th, based on the number 0.6180339.... This was presumably chosen because  $\phi$  is elegantly enough 1 more than its reciprocal, as  $\phi = 1 + (1/\phi)$ . Therefore  $1/\phi$ , or  $F$ , has the same decimal non-repeating irrational form, but doesn't include the leading 1 to the left of the decimal. This yields 0.618..., the first three digits of which apply nicely to the Gregorian calendar as 6/18, June 18th. In mathematics and the arts, two quantities are in the golden ratio if the ratio of the sum of the quantities to the larger quantity is equal to the ratio of the larger quantity to the smaller one. Pentagon: In a regular pentagon the ratio between a side and a diagonal is (i.e.  $1/\phi$ ), while intersecting diagonals section each other in the golden ratio. The golden ratio plays an important role in the geometry of pentagrams. Each intersection of edges sections other edges in the golden ratio. Also, the ratio of the length of the shorter segment to the segment bounded by the two intersecting edges (a side of the pentagon in the pentagram's center) is  $\phi$ . The pentagram includes ten isosceles triangles: five acute & five obtuse isosceles triangles. In all of them, the ratio of the longer side to the shorter side is  $\phi$ . The acute triangles are golden triangles.

"[Breivik] emphasizes that if he had not been censored by the media all his life, he would not have had to do what he did. He believes the media have the main responsibility for what has happened because they did not publish his opinions.... The low-intensity civil war that he had already described, had lasted until now with ideological struggle and censorship of cultural conservatives..... He explains that this is the worst day of his life and that he has dreaded this for 2 years. He has been censored for years. He mentions Dagbladet and Aftenposten as those who among other things have censored him..... He says that he also wrote "essays" that he tried to publish via the usual channels, but that they were all censored..... The subject summarizes: As long as more than twelve were executed, the operation will still be a success. The experts ask how the number twelve comes into consideration. Twelve dead are needed to penetrate the censorship wall, he explains..... About his thoughts on the Utøya killings now, the subject says: The goal was to execute as many as possible. At least 30. It was horrible, but the number had to be assessed based on the global censorship limit. Utøya was a martyrdom, and I am very proud of it..... The subject says in the conversation that he knows the truth that is hidden from others. He believes that there is a civil war in the country. He believes he had to kill at least twelve, because there is a censorship-wall preventing an open debate about what is happening in the country..... So I knew I had to cross a certain threshold to exceed the censorship-wall of the international media." -- Oslo Organized Crime Police Investigation Report: "Explanation of 22 July 2011, doc 08,01"

**[34]** On 22 April 2012 (Earth Day), Applicant distributed the **"Acquittal or Firing Squad :: If It Bleeds, It Leads :: Media's Population - Terrorism Connection Report"**<sup>28</sup>

It provides Scientific Journalism studies about Media Censorship in the matter of Norway v. Breivik, detailing Media Masculine (Transparency) Insecurity surrounding the 'Better an Honest Enemy; than a False Friend' events of support for a free and fair trial for Breivik from a Pashtun Pakistani and a Radical Honoursty EcoFeminist (Applicant).

The Report detailed how the Media's Anders Breivik Narrative appears to be:

- A. \* Breivik is legally insane
- B. \* His 'If It Bleeds, It Leads' justification for Terrorism is Unjustified

However the Media Censored information such as:

- C. \* Whores of the Court Myth of Mental Illness: Insanity is to PharmaPsychiatry what Heresy was to the Inquisition
- D. \* Media's If It Bleeds, It Leads Population-Terrorism Connection

## **[2] Norway's endorsement of Political Psychiatry**

### **30 November 2011 Application to Oslo District Court: Habeus Mentem: Affidavit:**

**[72]** It would appear to me that any psychiatrist who considered themselves to be a fundamentalist politically correct cultural Marxist multi-culturalist as well as honourable democrat, should honourably recuse themselves from an enquiry into Mr. Breivik's alleged sanity, based upon their predisposition to be biased towards his actions.

**[73]** The absence of doing so, only proves Mr. Breivik's actions to have been fully justified, and his charges to be accurate regarding the bias and lack of commitment to democratic values open transparent discourse practiced by fundamentalist politically correct cultural Marxist multi-culturalists.

**[76-77] ARGUMENT:** Breivik clearly and very 'reasonably' explains his Political Necessity Motivations for his Political Terrorist acts:

<sup>28</sup> <http://norway-v-breivik.blogspot.com/2012/04/breivik-acquittal-or-firing-squad-if-it.html>  
PDF: [http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/120422\\_bleads-leads?mode=window&printButtonEnabled=false&background-color=%23222222](http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/120422_bleads-leads?mode=window&printButtonEnabled=false&background-color=%23222222)

[78-80] ARGUMENT: Breivik clearly details his beliefs that hardcore Marxists, cultural Marxists Multiculturalists are deceiving indigenous Europeans, by implementing a demographic conquering Breeding War Act of War upon them.

April 15, 2012 Application to Oslo District Court: Amicus Curiae: Affidavit:

#### [26] HABEUS MENTEM :: THE RIGHT TO LEGAL SANITY

In Aldous Huxley's *A Brave New World Revisited* he describes the insidious conspiracy to manipulate the masses by propaganda and lies, so as to make them controllable under the "steadily increasing pressures of over-population and of the over-organization imposed by growing numbers and advancing technology":

It is perfectly possible for a man to be out of prison, and yet not free -- to be under no physical constraint and yet to be a psychological captive, compelled to think, feel and act as the representatives of the national State, or of some private interest within the nation, want him to think, feel and act. There will never be such a thing as a writ of *habeas mentem*; for no sheriff or jailer can bring an illegally imprisoned mind into court, and no person whose mind had been made captive by the methods outlined in earlier articles would be in a position to complain of his captivity. The nature of psychological compulsion is such that those who act under constraint remain under the impression that they are acting on their own initiative. The victim of mind-manipulation does not know that he is a victim. To him, the walls of his prison are invisible, and he believes himself to be free. That he is not free is apparent only to other people. His servitude is strictly objective.

The problem - of course - for those who partake in this insidious conspiracy is that ultimately the propagandists begin to believe their own propaganda.

#### [27] Marketing of Madness: The Myth of Mental Illness Experts (21:04)<sup>29</sup>

'There is no such thing as mental illness. Psychiatric diagnosis of 'mental disorders' is just a way of stigmatising behaviour that society does not want to live with. Psychiatry thrives on coercion and is replacing religion as a form of social control.' - Dr. Thomas Szasz

"Biological psychology/psychiatry is a total perversion of medicine and science, and a fraud." - Neurologist Fred Baughman, *The ADHD Fraud: How Psychiatry Makes "Patients" of Normal Children*.

"Going to a psychiatrist has become one of the most dangerous things a person can do." - Peter Breggin, MD; *Toxic Psychiatry*

"There is no such thing as a mental disorder. A mental disorder is whatever someone says it is, and if the person saying "This is a mental disorder", has enough power and influence, then people believe 'Oh, that is a mental disorder'." - Dr. Paula Caplan, Harvard

"The entire enterprise of defining mental disorder is pointless, at least in so far as the goal is to allow us to recognize 'genuine' or 'true' disorders" - Dr. Mary Boyle, *Schizophrenia: A Scientific Delusion?*

"DSM is a book of tentatively assembled agreements. Agreements don't always make sense, nor do they always reflect reality. You can have agreements among experts without validity. Even if you could find four people who agreed that the earth is flat, that the moon is made of green cheese, that smoking cigarettes poses no health risks, or that politicians are never corrupt, such agreements do not establish truth." - Herb Kutchins and Stuart Kirk: *Making us Crazy: DSM: The Psychiatric Bible and the Creation of Mental Disorders*

"To admit the central role of value judgments and cultural norms [in the creation of the DSM] is to give the whole game away. The DSM has to be seen as reliable and valid, or the whole enterprise of medical psychiatry collapses." -- Lucy Johnstone, *The Users and Abusers of Psychiatry*

<sup>29</sup> [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qJARs\\_uU7q0](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qJARs_uU7q0)

“[Alleged Mental Disorders] are based on a grab-bag of checklists for disorders that are published in a book called the DSM; which is the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. There are no statistics in this book, by the way. That just makes it sound more scientific.” -- Dr Margaret Hagen, Professor of Psychology, Boston University, *Whores of the Court: The Fraud of Psychiatric Testimony and the Rape of American Justice*.

*Whores of the Court: The Fraud of Psychiatric Testimony and the Rape of American Justice*, Margaret A. Hagen, Ph.D | *The Second Sin*, Thomas Szasz | *Coercion as Cure: A Critical History of Psychiatry*, Thomas Szasz | *Insanity: The Idea and its Consequences*, Thomas Szasz | *Law, Liberty and Psychiatry*, Thomas Szasz | *A Lexicon of Lunacy: Metaphoric Malady, Moral Responsibility and Psychiatry*, Thomas Szasz | *Liberation by Oppression: A Comparative Study of Slavery and Psychiatry*, Thomas Szasz | *The Age of Madness: The history of Involuntary Mental Hospitalization*, Thomas Szasz | *The Manufacture of Madness: A Comparative Study of the Inquisition and the Mental Health Movement*, Thomas Szasz | *The Myth of Mental Illness: Foundations of a Theory of Personal Conduct*, Thomas Szasz | *The Myth of Psychotherapy*, Thomas Szasz | *Psychiatry: The Science of Lies*, Thomas Szasz | *The Therapeutic State: Psychiatry in the Mirror of Current Events*, Thomas Szasz | *The ADHD Fraud: How Psychiatry Makes "Patients" of Normal Children*, Fred A. Bauchmann, Jr, MD | *Toxic Psychiatry*, Peter Breggin, MD | *They Say You're Crazy: How the Worlds Most Powerful Psychiatrists Decide Who's Normal*, Paula J. Caplan Ph.D | *Schizophrenia: A Scientific Delusion*, Mary Boyle | *Making us Crazy: DSM: The Psychiatric Bible and the Creation of Mental Disorders*, Herb Kutchins & Stuart A Kirk | *Users and Abusers of Psychiatry: A Critical Look at Traditional Psychiatric Practice*, Lucy Johnstone

#### [28] NORWAYS HISTORY OF POLITICAL PSYCHIATRY

An analysis by SINTEF (research organisation) in 1996, showed that about 45 percent of all psychiatric hospitalisations in Norwegian psychiatric clinics, are coercive. In other EU countries coercive institutionalization is between 5-15 percent. -- Fampo, Norway [www.fampo.info](http://www.fampo.info)

Knut Hamsen: Author, winner of Nobel Prize in Literature in 1920: *The Growth of the Soil*. Charged with treason for his writings in support of Hitler, but then declared to be mentally impaired by psychiatrists to avoid Norway giving him a treason trial.

Arnold Juklerod: Institutionalized at Gaustad in 1971, as “paranoid schizophrenic,” after exposing corruption in the Education Dept. His alleged “unchangeable paranoid false ideas” were subsequently proven true, but Norwegian psychiatrists refused to delete his ‘paranoid schizophrenia’ diagnosis.

Synnove Fjellbakk Tafto: A diplomat and jurist was labelled mentally ill and institutionalized after exposing massive corruption in the Norwegian Foreign Service. Author: Skjoldmoysagaen.

Kare Torvholm & Oddmar Remoy: Dr. Bjorn Martin Aasen, justified their institutionalization because: "he belongs to a civil network with both local, national, & international connections, which purpose is to disclose criminal things...; which fulfills their mental disorder requirements'...

Anders Breivik: Does Breivik's 22/7 acts expose the corruption of Norway's Immigration policies? Is Breivik qualified to fulfil Norway's mental disorder requirements? Does Breivik “belong to a civil network with both local, national, & international connections, with the purpose to disclose criminal things”?

#### 10 May 2012 Application to Norway Supreme Court: Review & Declaratory Orders:

[34] On 22 April 2012 (Earth Day), Applicant distributed the “Acquittal or Firing Squad :: If It Bleeds, It Leads :: Media’s Population - Terrorism Connection Report”<sup>30</sup>

<sup>30</sup> <http://norway-v-breivik.blogspot.com/2012/04/breivik-acquittal-or-firing-squad-if-it.html>  
PDF: [http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/120422\\_bleads-leads?mode=window&printButtonEnabled=false&background-color=%23222222](http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/120422_bleads-leads?mode=window&printButtonEnabled=false&background-color=%23222222)

It provides Scientific Journalism studies about Media Censorship in the matter of Norway v. Breivik, detailing Media Masculine (Transparency) Insecurity surrounding the 'Better an Honest Enemy; than a False Friend' events of support for a free and fair trial for Breivik from a Pashtun Pakistani and a Radical Honoursty EcoFeminist (Applicant).

The Report detailed how the Media's Anders Breivik Narrative appears to be:

- E. \* Breivik is legally insane
- F. \* His 'If It Bleeds, It Leads' justification for Terrorism is Unjustified

However the Media Censored information such as:

- G. \* Whores of the Court Myth of Mental Illness: Insanity is to PharmaPsychiatry what Heresy was to the Inquisition
- H. \* Media's If It Bleeds, It Leads Population-Terrorism Connection

### [3] Masculine Insecurity Human Farming for Profit Kaffir Legal Matrix:

30 November 2011 Application to Oslo District Court: Habeus Mentem: Affidavit:

#### [29] MASCULINE (REASON & LOGIC) INSECURITY PARASITE LEECHING LEADERSHIP (SIC)

The male does not *have* an erection, like a property or a permanent quality (although how many men wish to *have* one is anybody's guess). The penis *is* in a state of erection, as long as the man is in a state of excitement. If for one reason or another something interferes with this excitement, the man *has* nothing. And in contrast to practically all other kinds of behaviour, the erection cannot be faked. George Groddek, one of the most outstanding, although unknown, psychoanalysts, used to comment that a man, after all, is a man for only a few minutes; most of the time he is a little boy. Of course, man does not become a little boy in his total being, but precisely in that aspect which for many a man is the proof that he is a man.<sup>31</sup> It is not, then, surprising that the anxieties of men and women refer to different spheres; the man's concerning his ego, his prestige, his value in the eyes of the woman...."<sup>32</sup> - *Sex & Character*, Erich Fromm

#### [30] Masculine Insecurity is not necessarily a masculine phenomena:

[31] I refer to the concept of 'Logic and Reason Insecurity' as 'masculine insecurity' because the majority of the worlds cultures have and continue to be patriarchal, the drivers of those cultures were men; (2) The concepts of 'reason' and 'logic' have been, and are described as masculine strengths, whereas emotion and intuition are 'feminine' strengths.

Robert McElvaine: In *Eve's Seed: Masculine Insecurity, Metaphor, and the Shaping of History*<sup>33</sup>, and *Eve's Seed: Biology, the Sexes and the Course of History*, McElvaine described it thus: "Karl Marx had it wrong. Class has, to be sure, been a major factor in history; but class itself is a derivative concept that is based on the ultimate causative power in history: sex. Marx's famous formulation must be revised: The history of all hitherto existing society is the history of struggles based on the division of our species into two sexes, jealousies emanating from this division, exaggerations of the differences between the sexes, misunderstandings about sexual reproductive power, and metaphors derived from sex. Together, these closely related matters constitute the most important, but largely neglected, set of motive forces in human history. Control -- or the claim of control -- over the means of *reproduction* has been even more fundamental to history than has control of the means of *production*. ..

[..] Sexually insecure men often seek validation of their manhood by pursuing power. This is one of the reasons that the notawoman definition of manhood has had such an impact

<sup>31</sup> p 116: *Further Aspects of Having vs. Being*, Fromm, Erich: *To Have, or to Be*

<sup>32</sup> *Sex and Character: Erich Fromm*, by Martin Grotjahn; Psychiatry, VI, 1943, pp. 21-31; Psychoanal Q., 14:133-134.

<sup>33</sup> [http://www.fotim.ac.za/fotim\\_conferences/genderconf/papers/mcelvaine\\_paper.pdf](http://www.fotim.ac.za/fotim_conferences/genderconf/papers/mcelvaine_paper.pdf)

throughout history. All men do not suffer from such sexual insecurity, but those who do have frequently made their way into positions of power and so have had a disproportionate influence on the shaping of cultures and institutions...

[..] The real importance of insecure masculinity, again, is that those men who suffer from it are most apt to seek power in order to compensate for their self doubts. Sexually linked motivations have been evident in men engaging in war since the earliest times.

Masculine Insecure Parasite Leeching Feminism is even more psychologically, intellectually and culturally insecure than its patriarchal counterpart; whereas Masculine Security/Radical Transparency Masculinity is best exemplified by Ray Dalio (Blackwater Associates) and Brad Blanton (Radical Honesty) and Masculine Secure Feminism would probably be best exemplified by Mimi Silbert (Delancey Street Foundation) and in an actual Matriarchy, I would imagine the Mosuo in South West China, and many pre-Industrial Aryan societies - cultural paradigms which are and were focussed on logic, reason and living in harmony with nature and resolving grievances publicly, as opposed to by fake public relations, would be good examples.

### [32] Masculine Insecurity Obstructs Radical Transparency Communication Problem Solving.

Masculine Insecurity is the opposite of Radical Transparency: It is the psychological and intellectual inability to constructively and sincerely listen and engage in a search for the truth, with individuals whom you may disagree with. A desire to silence and ignore ideas which threaten the insecure masculine identity. Radical Transparency demands non-hierarchical recognition of all criticism and ideas and criticism are judged on their merit<sup>34</sup>, not the individuals socio-political status, because its ultimate focus is Problem Solving<sup>35</sup>. Masculine Insecurity (the opposite of Radical Transparency) only addresses criticism from those who are deemed socio-political peers; and if so, the discussion of the criticism is always discussed within the approved 'masculine insecurity' worldview parasite leeching 'Left Wing vs. Right Wing Political Control' paradigm<sup>36</sup>. The goal of the criticism is not problem solving or to resolve a damaged relationship, but simply propaganda warfare in the battle for Bullshitting the Public to garner more psychological, intellectual or political slaves and cannon fodder for the Left or Right Wing Parasite Leeching 'Leader' (sic).

It is not feminism that is the source of Western Civilisation's destruction, but Masculine Insecurity (whether patriarchal or feminist is irrelevant). Masculine Insecurity is the root psychological and intellectual problem that obstructs Western civilisation from confronting the Parasite Leeching Economic, Intellectual and Psychological Paradigm that is the source of its impending ecological destruction, by means of overpopulation (third world immigration) and overconsumption (Peak Oil and Peak Non Renewable Natural Resources).

[33] Masculine Insecurity is the cognitive foundation of the anti-Meritocratic Parasite Leeching Leadership (sic) paradigm. It is the Root Cause of Overpopulation and Overconsumption: It Propagandizes on behalf of an exponential growth of Parasite Leeching - - 'walking penis procreation' overpopulation and 'consume to demonstrate the size of my consumption penis'<sup>37</sup> overconsumption -- worldview.

[36] Former Judge Jason G. Brent, *Humans: An Endangered Species*: "We must all understand that the most potent weapons of war are the penis and the womb. Therefore, if you cannot convince a group to control its population by discussion, debate, intelligent analysis etc.; you must consider their action in using the penis and the womb to increase population, an ACT OF WAR."

<sup>34</sup> "Thurgood Marshall, later to become a US Supreme Court Justice, demonstrated another knack that would enhance his career: he listened. It was not simply that he was deferential; rather, he never thought he knew all the answers. His way to wisdom was to hear out others who might or might not know any more than he did and then to sift it all through his own mental strainer. He never tried to score points as an original or especially creative thinker; his skill was in figuring out who made the most sense -- or what parts of other people's ideas to seize upon and fuse into a prudent plan of action. "He'll take ideas from a chimneysweep if they sound right to him," said a former associate." -- *Simple Justice: The History of Brown v. Board of Education, the epochal Supreme Court decision that outlawed segregation*, by Richard Kluger, 1975

<sup>35</sup> Problem Solving Black Leaders Trayvon Martin Wisdom <http://ireport.cnn.com/docs/DOC-770323>

<sup>36</sup> Left Wing vs. Right Wing Political Control Paradigm: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wMPAfDHEfBQ>

<sup>37</sup> Edward Bernay's 'Father of Public Relations' theories on using Masculine Insecurity to manipulate men and women for corporate purposes: basically to chance independent free thinking (I am my character and values) citizens into consumer zombies (I am my material possessions); as described in: *The Century of the Self: (1) Happiness Machines*, by Adam Curtis (BBC) <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=9167657690296627941>

[37] It is self-evident that humans live on a planet with finite resources, and that resource scarcity is a major source of conflict. Hence to reduce conflict and to save resources that are vital to industrial civilisation would require earthly beings to control population growth and conserve vital resources for future generations. However the exact opposite has occurred. The world's most valuable form of energy: oil has been squandered on predominantly 'shitty' products, and vital non-renewable natural resources upon which industrial civilisation relies upon, have been mined by world leaders drunk on masculine insecurity, pissing the planet's resources away to figuratively extend the size of their penises.!

[38] **Masculine Insecurity's Greatest Weapon: Parasite Leech's Brood Sows 'wombs':**

**Houari Boumediene, President of Algeria, at the United Nations, 1974:** "The wombs of our women will give us victory." ["One day, millions of men will leave the Southern Hemisphere to go to the Northern Hemisphere. And they will not go there as friends. Because they will go there to conquer it. And they will conquer it with their sons. The wombs of our women will give us victory." (Boumediene was an ardent supporter of the ANC and SWAPO)]

**Yasser Arafat: Palestinian Womb is his people's greatest asset** [Arnon Soffer, a geography professor at Israel's Haifa University and a lecturer at the Israeli Army's Staff and Command college, first warned of the impending Jewish demographic minority in the 1980s, but was widely dismissed. He predicted Arabs would outnumber Jews in both Israel proper and the occupied territories by 2010. In February 2001, the night of his election, Sharon sent an aide to ask Soffer for a copy of his 1987 treatise about the demographic threat to Israel; it was the same study that had led Palestinian leader Yasser Arafat to declare in the late 1980s that the "Palestinian womb" was his people's greatest weapon.]

**Nelson Mandela's ANC: ANC 'Operation Production' Policy:** African women forced (1) to have sex with ANC cadres, & (2) not allowed to use contraception. Contravention meant detention, 'Apartheid agent' People's Court trial & sentence of Necklacing, incl. broken bottles shoved up their vagina. [Johannes Harnischfeger, *Witchcraft and the State in South Africa*<sup>38</sup> (\*German version of published in *Anthropos*, 95/ 2000, S. 99-112): "Especially evening assemblies girls had to attend as well: "They would come into the house and tell us we should go. They didn't ask your mother they just said 'come let's go.' You would just have to go with them. They would threaten you with their belts and ultimately you would think that if you refused, they would beat you. Our parents were afraid of them" (quoted by Delius 1996:189). All those opposing the wishes of the young men were reminded, that it was every woman's obligation to give birth to new "soldiers", in order to replace those warriors killed in the liberation struggle. The idiom of the adolescents referred to these patriotic efforts as "operation production". Because of exactly this reason it was forbidden for the girls to use contraceptives. (Delius 1996:189; Niehaus 1999:250)"]

**New Black Panther Party: Dr. Khalid Muhammad: Kill the White Woman as the White Man's Military Manufacturing Center rolling out reinforcement from between her legs:** In Dr. Khalid Abdul Muhammad's 1993 'Kill the White Man' speech<sup>39</sup>, at Kean College in Union Township, New Jersey, he stated among others: "Kill the women cause the women are the military manufacturing center; cause every nine months they lay down on their backs and reinforcement rolls out from between their legs. So shut down the military manufacturing center, by killing the white woman."

[65] Unfortunately, the root source of this 'terrorism' in my personal opinion, lies in the corruption of the legal system, more specifically in what I refer to as KAFFIR CULTURE, LAWYERS AND LEGISLATION: the KAFFIR LEGAL MATRIX. We live in a legal matrix that has not incorporated the scientific reality into its 'right to breed' legislation, constitutions, bill of rights and responsibilities or treaties, that the earth is flat, resources are finite, and breeding wars should be acknowledged as ACTS OF WAR. Unlike the corrupt Catholic Church who at least had the honour to acknowledge the criticisms of Galileo and Luther, the current corrupt legal religious matrix sale of innocence indulgences establishment, have no such honourable intentions. In the absence of dead and mangled bodies, blood and gore, any modern day Luther

<sup>38</sup> <http://why-we-are-white-refugees.blogspot.com/2009/12/ancs-embrace-of-occult-politics.html>

<sup>39</sup> [http://www.metacafe.com/watch/456363/khallid\\_muhammads\\_speech\\_kill\\_the\\_white\\_man/](http://www.metacafe.com/watch/456363/khallid_muhammads_speech_kill_the_white_man/)

or Galileo's 95 Theses would never see the light of day; and frequently even if the Luther or Galileo accomplish the dead and mangled bodies prerequisite, the Kaffir Legal Matrix's political psychology denies them their day in court.

[66] Excerpt from Complaint to International Criminal Court (PDF69):

[d] Radical Honesty SA definitions of the word „Kaffir“, relevant to this matter:

[i] 'Kaffir Behaviour': Cultural Beliefs and Procreation Behaviour Definition:

Individuals who either independently or as a result of their cultural value systems, are incapable of, or unwilling to, practice sexual restraint and procreation responsibility; who consequently breed cockroach-prolifically without personal financial or psychological responsibility to, or emotional concern for, their offspring; and/or who abuse women and children as sexual or economic slaves procreated for such purpose; and/or whose cultural ideal of manhood endorses non-consensual sex (rape) as their sexual slavery entitlement, etc.

[ii] 'Kaffir Etymology': Original Etymological Definition for "Kaffir": The word kāfir is the active participle of the Semitic root K-F-R "to cover". As a pre-Islamic term it described farmers burying seeds in the ground, covering them with soil while planting; as they till the earth and "cover up" the seeds; which is why earth tillers are referred to as "Kuffar." Thus, the word kāfir implies the meaning "a person who hides or covers"; To conceal, deny, hide or cover the truth.

[iii] 'Kaffir Legislation' = Inalienable Right to Breed Poverty, Misery and War legislation; pretending it advocates for "peace and human rights". Kaffir Law/Legislation provides citizens with the Inalienable \_Right to Breed' [and Vote], but demands that Citizens need a Licence to Own a Gun, a Licence to Drive a Car, a Licence to Practice Law, a television licence, a credit licence, a licence to earn a living, a university exemption licence, a licence to fish, a licence to hunt, a liquor licence, a business licence, a marriage licence, etc, etc. Kaffir Legislation covers up that an \_Inalienable Right to Breed/laissez-faire birth control policy + No Social Welfare policies or practices provides for an equilibrium carrying capacity; whereas Inalienable Right to Breed/laissez-faire birth control within a welfare state, results in Runaway Growth, and ultimately greater misery, poverty and war.

**15 April 2012 Application to Oslo District Court: Amicus Curiae: Affidavit:**

**[3](4) My Guerrilla Lawfare Worldview: The Paradox of the Masculine Insecurity Human Farming<sup>40</sup> Kaffir<sup>41</sup> Matrix Court:** Radical Transparency Problem Solving is to the Masculine Insecurity Kaffir Matrix Court; what Martin Luther or Galileo Galilei were to the Catholic Church. The Kaffir Matrix Court system is founded on 'Kaffir Legislation': Inalienable Right to Breed and Vote: Kaffir Law/Legislation provides citizens with the Inalienable 'Right to Breed' and 'Right to Vote', but demands that Citizens need a Licence to Own a Gun, a Licence to Drive a Car, a Licence to Practice Law, a television licence, a credit licence, a licence to earn a living, a university exemption licence, a licence to fish, a licence to hunt, a liquor licence, a business licence, a marriage licence, etc, etc.

(i) The \$64,000 question: Why does the Masculine Insecurity Human Farming Kaffir Legal Matrix not require citizens to voting<sup>42</sup> or breeding licences???

<sup>40</sup> Human Farming: Story of Your Enslavement: <http://youtu.be/gHAnrXCvavc>

<sup>41</sup> Radical Honoursty Definitions of Kaffir are not Racial, but Behavioural: For Example:

\* 'Kaffir Behaviour': Cultural Beliefs and Procreation Behaviour Definition: Individuals who either independently or as a result of their cultural value systems, are incapable of, or unwilling to, practice sexual restraint and procreation responsibility; who consequently breed cockroach-prolifically without personal financial or psychological responsibility to, or emotional concern for, their offspring; and/or who abuse women and children as sexual or economic slaves procreated for such purpose; and/or whose cultural ideal of manhood endorses non-consensual sex (rape) as their sexual slavery entitlement, etc.

\* 'Kaffir Etymology': Original Etymological Definition for 'Kaffir': The word kāfir is the active participle of the Semitic root K-F-R "to cover". As a pre-Islamic term it described farmers burying seeds in the ground, covering them with soil while planting; as they till the earth and "cover up" the seeds; which is why earth tillers are referred to as "Kuffar." Thus, the word kāfir implies the meaning "a person who hides or covers"; To conceal, deny, hide or cover the truth.

<sup>42</sup> "In order to achieve this goal [of world domination], we must introduce [the right to vote] universal suffrage beforehand, without distinctions of class and wealth. Then the masses of people will decide everything; and since it [universal suffrage] is controlled by us we will achieve through it the absolute majority, which we could never achieve if only the educated and possessing classes had the vote." -- Protocols of the Elders of Zion, 10th Sitting, Wallstein Pub. House, ISBN 3-89244-191-x, p. 60

(ii) Kaffir Legislation covers up that an 'Inalienable Right to Breed/laissez-faire birth control policy + No Social Welfare policies or practices provides for an equilibrium carrying capacity; whereas Inalienable Right to Breed/laissez-faire birth control within a welfare state, results in Runaway Growth, and ultimately greater misery, poverty and war<sup>43</sup>.

(iii) Kaffir Legislation covers up that the Inalienable Right to Vote, or Universal Suffrage for the Ignorant is the road to centralisation of power and tyranny.<sup>44</sup>

[22] The Applicant is of the view that the main application raises novel questions which are crucial for the future credibility of Western Civilisation's **Masculine Insecurity Human Farming**<sup>45</sup> **Kaffir**<sup>46</sup> **Legal Matrix** conceptualisation of the rule of law and the principle of legality.

#### **10 May 2012 Application to Norway Supreme Court: Review & Declaratory Orders:**

[as in 15 April 2012 application to Oslo Court, point [3](4)]

#### **[4] Norwegian Governments Endorsement for ANC's Terrorism & Breeding War:**

##### **30 November 2011 Application to Oslo District Court: Habeus Mentem: Affidavit:**

[81-120] ARGUMENT: A case study: How the European politically correct cultural Marxist multicultural Anti-Apartheid movement overthrew Verwoerds Apartheid by means of political terrorism and an African breeding war; and installed the ANC regime that provides SA's with between 3,000 to 25,000 % worse socio-political government services; & turned white South Africans into African White Refugees.

##### **15 April 2012 Application to Oslo District Court: Amicus Curiae: Affidavit:**

[39-75] Jus Sanguinis Norwegian African White Refugee: A Product of (A) European Masculine Insecurity Phallic Enhanced Colonialism and (B) African and Liberal Masculine Insecurity Anti-Apartheid Movement's 'Operation Production' Breeding War

[44-50] The Competitive Exclusion Principle (Apartheid) was an Act of Political Just War Self Defense to Tragedy of the Breeding War - Act of War - African Commons Exponential Population Growth:

[51-54] Apartheid Inconvenient Truths Masculine Insecurity Liberals and Anti-Apartheid Movement Lack the Honour to Confront:

[55-58] Masculine Insecurity Liberal Europe's Endorsement of African Masculine Insecurity Anti-Apartheid Movement's 'Operation Production' Breeding War:

[59-75] Masculine Insecurity Liberal Europe's Endorsement of African Masculine Insecurity Anti-Apartheid Movement's Parasite Leeching TRC Fraud Social Contract:

#### **[5] Norwegian Commitment to Rainbow Race Multiculturalism is a Fraud:**

---

<sup>43</sup> *From Shortage to Longage: Forty Years in the Population Vineyards*, by Garrett Hardin, Population and Environment, Vol. 12, No. 3. Spring 1991 [http://www.garretthardinsociety.org/articles/art\\_from\\_shortage\\_to\\_longage.html](http://www.garretthardinsociety.org/articles/art_from_shortage_to_longage.html)

<sup>44</sup> "In order to achieve this goal [of world domination], we must introduce [the right to vote] universal suffrage beforehand, without distinctions of class and wealth. Then the masses of people will decide everything; and since it [universal suffrage] is controlled by us we will achieve through it the absolute majority, which we could never achieve if only the educated and possessing classes had the vote." -- Protocols of the Elders of Zion, 10th Sitting, Wallstein Pub. House, ISBN 3-89244-191-x, p. 60

<sup>45</sup> Human Farming: Story of Your Enslavement: <http://youtu.be/gHAnrXCvavc>

<sup>46</sup> Radical Honoursty Definitions of Kaffir are not Racial, but Behavioural: For Example:

\* **'Kaffir Behaviour'**: Cultural Beliefs and Procreation Behaviour Definition: Individuals who either independently or as a result of their cultural value systems, are incapable of, or unwilling to, practice sexual restraint and procreation responsibility; who consequently breed cockroach-prolifically without personal financial or psychological responsibility to, or emotional concern for, their offspring; and/or who abuse women and children as sexual or economic slaves procreated for such purpose; and/or whose cultural ideal of manhood endorses non-consensual sex (rape) as their sexual slavery entitlement, etc.

\* **'Kaffir Etymology'**: Original Etymological Definition for 'Kaffir': The word kāfir is the active participle of the Semitic root K-F-R "to cover". As a pre-Islamic term it described farmers burying seeds in the ground, covering them with soil while planting; as they till the earth and "cover up" the seeds; which is why earth tillers are referred to as "Kuffar." Thus, the word kāfir implies the meaning "a person who hides or covers"; To conceal, deny, hide or cover the truth.

## 10 May 2012 Application to Norway Supreme Court: Review & Declaratory Orders:

### [7-14] B: NO COURTS: RAINBOW MULTICULTURAL / EURO-MONOCULTURAL?:

[7] A country which sincerely practices Multiculturalism (A) provides its citizens with the right to invoke<sup>47</sup> cultural law<sup>48</sup> which require the application of choice of law rules, as the SA Constitution does in S. 15 (3), 30, 31, and 185 and Apartheid Legislation enshrined; but (B) enforces the rights of citizens from all cultures to invoke cultural law, which 'Rainbow' South Africa does not (Radical Honesty culture and Afrikaners (Reits Four) have been denied the right to invoke cultural law, respectively: Radical Honesty Communication culture and Afrikaans/Western Voltarian Satire cultural values); but which Apartheid did allow for all black and white cultures.

[11] It is the applicants working hypothesis that Norway's alleged 'My Rainbow Race' commitment to Multiculturalism is a hoax; because it is not legally sincere about implementing a multicultural legal system which provides all of Norway citizens from different cultures' with the right to invoke cultural law - whether Sharia<sup>49</sup>, Zulu<sup>50</sup> or Radical Honoursty<sup>51</sup> - in its courts. The true reality of Norway's Rainbow Multiculturalism is simply a bullshit-the-public-relations (PR) façade for importing Non-Western immigrants as Labour Party vote-fodder; while deceiving Non-Western immigrants of their true vote-fodder status.

[14] Liberal 'Multicultural' Norwegians are closet white supremacy legal mono-culturalists. While condemning so-called 'conservatives' of 'racism' for their honest enemy condemnation of non-

---

<sup>47</sup> *Ex parte Minister of Native Affairs: In re Yako v Beyi* 1948 (1) SA 388 (A) at 397: Appellate Division held that neither common nor customary law was prima facie applicable. Courts had to consider all the circumstances of a case, and, without any preconceived view about the applicability of one or other legal system, select the appropriate law on the basis of its inquiry.

<sup>48</sup> SALC, Sept 1999: Report on Conflicts of law: P.22: '1.58. The Constitution now provides an entitlement for invoking customary law in legal suits. Because ss 30 and 31 specifically guarantee an individual and a group's right to pursue a culture of choice, it could be argued that application of customary law has become a constitutional right. Previously, the state had assumed complete discretion in deciding whether and to what extent customary law should be recognized, an attitude typical of colonial thinking, for Africans were subject to whatever policies the conquering state chose to impose on them. Now, however, the state has a duty to allow people to participate in the culture they choose, implicit in this duty is a responsibility to uphold the institutions on which that culture is based.'

<sup>49</sup> Sharia law is the moral code and religious law of Islam. Sharia deals with many topics addressed by secular law, including crime, politics and economics, as well as personal matters such as sexual intercourse, hygiene, diet, prayer, and fasting. Though interpretations of sharia vary between cultures, in its strictest definition it is considered the infallible law of God—as opposed to the human interpretation of the law (fiqh). There are two primary sources of Islamic law: the precepts set forth in the Quran, and the example set by the Islamic prophet Muhammad in the Sunnah. Where it has official status, sharia is interpreted by Islamic judges (qadis) with varying responsibilities for the religious leaders (imams). For questions not directly addressed in the primary sources, they extend the application of sharia through consensus of the religious scholars (ulama) thought to embody the consensus of the Muslim Community (ijma). Islamic jurisprudence will also sometimes incorporate analogies from the Quran and Sunnah through qiyas, though Shia jurists prefer reasoning (aql) to analogy.

<sup>50</sup> In *Smit NO and Others v King Goodwill Zwelithini Kabhekuzulu and Others*[A] Judge Nic van Reyden of the Kwa-Zulu Natal High Court, ruled in favour of the revived Zulu cultural practice of barehanded killing of a bull at the Ukweshwama festival, satisfied with the evidence of cultural expert Professor Jabulani Mapalala[B], who said that the animal's death was quick, unpainful and that no blood was shed. (Others disagreed[C] : Mapalala's expert witness testimony contradicts Chief Mlaba (not submitted to the court), as quoted in an ANC newsletter of December 1995, that: "We must use our bare hands, it's cruelty, we agree, but it's our culture. We cannot change our culture." [D])

[A] *Smit NO and Others v King Goodwill Zwelithini Kabhekuzulu and Others* (10237/2009) [2009] ZAKZPHC 75 (4 December 2009) [B] *Mkhize: Bull-killing ruling promotes cultural tolerance*, Mail & Guardian, 04/12/09; *Court Clears Ritual, Bare Handed Killing of a Bull - Does the Judgement Threaten Wider Environmental Problems?*, D.Harcourt, Eco-Localizer, 06/12/09; *S. African Judge Compares Zulu Bull-Killing to Holy Communion*, by C Szabo, 02/12/09, Digital Journal; [www.scribd.com/doc/34458079]

[C] *Culture no excuse for cruelty: How soon before we start burning witches again?*; Justice Malala, Sunday Times, 6 Dec 2009: "The argument put forward was that this bull must suffer because my ancestors made animals suffer. The argument is, with all due respect, stupid: my ancestors had not read the work of JM Coetzee and were not on Facebook. I know that I know more than they did, and that my practices must of necessity differ with theirs." [PDF: www.scribd.com/doc/34458079]

[D] ANC Daily News Briefing, Monday 11 December 1995: *Zulu King revives ceremonies to build support*, Sapa-AP, 10 December 1995; *Court Clears Ritual, Bare Handed Killing of a Bull - Does the Judgement Threaten Wider Environmental Problems?*, by Dave Harcourt, Eco-Localizer, 6 December 2009 [PDF: www.scribd.com/doc/34458079]

<sup>51</sup> Sustainability, i.e. environmental or ecological rights and responsibilities are the sine qua non[A] foundation for all other rights[B]. However adding "sustainable" to our legal vocabulary, is not sufficient to ensure that our society becomes sustainable, unless the definition of sustainable[C] is sufficiently precise[D] to enable sustainable living.

[A] *Opinion of Weeramantry J in the Case Concerning the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project (Hungary v Slovakia)* (1998) 37 International Legal Materials 162 206.

[B] *Democracy Cannot Survive Overpopulation*, Al Bartlett, Ph.D., Population & Environment, Vol. 22, No. 1, Sep 2000, pgs. 63-71

[C] "A sustainable society utilizes renewable natural resources exclusively, at levels less than or equal to the levels at which they are replenished by Nature; by extension, a sustainable society degrades natural habits at levels less than or equal to the levels at which they are regenerated by Nature—forever. All other resource utilization behavior, and all human societies that engage in this behavior, are unsustainable—period. Society does not really have a choice regarding whether or not we'd like to be sustainable; we'll either transition voluntarily to a sustainable lifestyle paradigm, or Nature will do it for us—horribly." - Chris Clugston, *On American Sustainability—Anatomy of a Societal Collapse: The Real "Inconvenient Truth"*

<http://www.wakeupamerika.com/PDFs/On-American-Sustainability.pdf>

[D] *Lithgow & others v. United Kingdom* (1986) \* EHR 329 § 110

Radical Honoursty Sustainability Bill of Rights: A healthy ecological environment, with due regard for carrying capacity laws of sustainability is a sine qua non for all other constitutional rights; similarly a psychological integrity environment of philosophical courageous truth searching honesty and sincere forgiveness is a sine qua non for healthy, transparent relationships that result in the co-creation of a code of conduct that enables non-violent honest sincere resolutions to disagreements. Hence an individual whose lifestyle is sustainable (in terms of procreation and consumption) is entitled to other civil and human 'rights' and an individual whose lifestyle is not sustainable is denied other rights until they amend their lifestyle to being sustainable. Put differently, legislators or tribal leaders whose person to person, and tribe to nature tribal code of conduct relationships incorporate these two fundamental sine qua non precepts, can be said to have eliminated the difference between what the laws of human nature, and natural laws say and mean, and applied such knowledge in a clear code of conduct for their tribe to live in accordance to. They are social engineers who search for the truth about human nature and natural laws, and clarify and simply them for application.

western cultural practices they consider inferior to 'white western' cultural values; liberal Norwegians secretly agree with conservatives, but pretend to support multiculturalism purely to import immigrant voters onto their welfare vote farm slavery plantations; but have no commitment to legislatively providing foreign cultures with the right to invoke cultural law and legally practice their foreign cultures in Norway:

“The white liberal differs from the white conservative only in one way: the liberal is more deceitful than the conservative. The liberal is more hypocritical than the conservative. Both want power, but the white liberal is the one who has perfected the art of posing as the Negro’s friend and benefactor; and by winning the friendship, allegiance, and support of the Negro, the white liberal is able to use the Negro as a pawn or tool in this political “football game” that is constantly raging between the white liberals and white conservatives.... Once the Negro learns to think for himself, he will no longer allow the white liberal to use him as a helpless football in the white man’s crooked game of “power politics.” The white conservatives aren’t friends of the Negro either, but they at least don’t try to hide it. They are like wolves; they show their teeth in a snarl that keeps the Negro always aware of where he stands with them. But the white liberals are foxes, who also show their teeth to the Negro but pretend that they are smiling. The white liberals are more dangerous than the conservatives; they lure the Negro, and as the Negro runs from the growling wolf, he flees into the open jaws of the “smiling” fox.” -- Excerpts from 1963 speech by Malcolm X: “*God’s Judgement of White America.*”

Respectfully Submitted | **Respektfullt Sendt**



Lara Johnstone  
**Radical Honoursty EcoFeminist**  
**Habeus Mentem: Right 2 Legal Sanity**  
**Norway v. Breivik :: Uncensored**  
<http://norway-v-breivik.blogspot.com/>

**Annexures:**

- [A] SA Constitutional Court Order by the Chief Justice in CCT 23-10 on 03 May 2010
- [B] 30 November 2011 Application to Oslo District Court for a Writ of Habeus Mentem
- [C] 15 April 2012 Application to Oslo District Court to proceed as an Amicus Curiae
- [D] 10 May 2012 Application to Norway Supreme Court for Review & Declaratory Orders
- [E] 15 May 2012 Error in Supreme Court Dep Sec. Gen Response to Application for Review
- [F] 17 May 2012 Interpol Complaint: Obstruction of Environmental & Indigenous Rights Justice