

**Communication to the Aarhus Convention Compliance Committee
– Alleged Non-Compliance by Kingdom of Norway with the
obligations under the Aarhus Convention: Rejection of Request
for Access to Environmental Information from (a) Newspaper
Editors, and (b) Bar Association; by Norwegian Environment
Appeals Committee and Parliamentary Ombudsman.**


Annexure “E”

16 Aug 2012:

Appeals to Environmental Appeals Board: *Request for Access to Environment Information in terms of S.28 (Freedom of Information Act) and S.10 (Environmental Law) RE: Norwegian Bar Association’s Anti-Environmental Printed Complaints Policy*. [Disciplinary Committee: pg.03/17, excluding attachments (Full PDF¹)]
[Disciplinary Board: pg.03/15, excluding attachments (Full PDF²)]

¹ http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/120815_eab_advfor-dc

² http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/120815_eab-db



Ref: Norway v. Breivik

Case: 11-188627 MED-05

P O Box 5042
George East, 6539
Cell: (071) 170 1954

15 August 2012

Environmental Appeals Board¹:
Appeals of environmental information
c / o Secretariat, Climate and Pollution
PO Box 8100 Dep, 0032 OSLO,
E-mail: post@miljoklagenemnda.no

Respondents:

Chairperson: Berit Reiss-Andersen
Sec./Exec. Officer: Inger-Johanna Hammer
Disciplinary Committee
The Norwegian Bar Association
Juristenes Hus
Kristian Augusts gate 9, 0164 Oslo
Tel: 22 03 50 50 | Fax: 22 11 53 25
Email: Adv.For. Disciplinary Complaints
(post@advokatforeningen.no), IJ Hammer:
(ijh@advokatforeningen.no)

Head: Judge Ernst Moe
Disciplinary Board for Advocates
Kristian Augustsgt. 9 0164 OSLO
Tlf. 22 03 50 50 | Fax 22 11 53 25
Disciplinary Committee: (nemnden@jus.no)
E-post: Judge Ernst Moe
(ernst.moe@domstol.no)

Environmental Appeals Board,

Request for Access to Environment Information in terms of S.28 (Freedom of Information Act) and S.10 (Environmental Law) RE: Norwegian Bar Association's Anti-Environmental Printed Complaints Policy

Disciplinary Complaints were filed against 170 Advocates in the Norway v. Breivik matter (4 with Disciplinary Board of Advocates ("Disciplinary Board"; 166 with Bar Association: Disciplinary Committee ("Disciplinary Committee"), by email. Complaints: CCBE Code of Ethics: Obstruction of Justice Participation in a StaliNorsk Political Psychiatry Show Trial, to (1) deny Defendant his Political Necessity Treason Trial; and (2) support Corruption of the Court to deny submittal to the Court of Controversial Evidence related to: [1] Media's Environment-Population-Terrorism Connection; [2] Norway's endorsement of Political Psychiatry & Psychiatric Fraud; [3] Masculine Insecurity Human Farming for Profit Kaffir Legal Matrix; [4] Norwegian Governments Endorsement for ANC's Terrorism & Breeding War; [5] Norwegian Commitment to Rainbow Race Multiculturalism is a Fraud.

¹ <http://www.miljoklagenemnda.no/>

Both the Disciplinary Board and Committee responded that according to their complaints policy; they refuse to accept complaints submitted by email; all complaints must be submitted in hardcopy (printed and sent by landmail).

[..] please be aware that all complaints must be submitted in writing and signed, and send us as two originals by ordinary mail. We would like to emphasize the importance of sending your complaints by ordinary mail due to the fact that the Disciplinary Board does not accept any complaints sent by e-mail.

All complaints must be submitted in writing and signed.

[. .] 4. The documents submitted should always be sorted and copied in duplicate. Send your complaint as an original by regular post and a filled-out and signed form of consent

I subsequently filed a **Request for Access to Environment and Health Information in terms of S.28 (Freedom of Information Act) and S.10 (Environmental Law) RE: Complaints filed with Disciplinary Board against Attorneys for Victims Families in Norway v. Breivik matter.**

[3] The [Disciplinary Brd/Comm] Environmental Principles Decision-Making

[1] Please provide [Disciplinary Brd/Comm] Complaints Environmental Principles decision-making justifications for demanding complainants waste paper, ink and non-renewable transporation resources by printing, signing and mailing complaints to the [Disciplinary Brd/Comm]; and refusing digitally signed complaints submitted by email, which are much more beneficial to the environment, and are exact environmentally digital copies of print versions?

[2] Please provide the [Disciplinary Brd/Comm] Complaints Environmental Principles decision-making justifications for printed complaints; when even third world goverments and [Disciplinary Brd/Comm] environmental policies allow courts and organisations to accept email complaints?

The [Disciplinary Brd/Comm's] responded by refusing to provide their environmental decision-making justifications:

“As previously mentioned, your potential complaints must be sent by ordinary mail due to the fact that the Disciplinary Board does not accept any complaints sent by e-mail.”

I again requested:

[3] The [Disciplinary Brd/Comm] Environmental Principles Decision-Making

[1] Please provide [Disciplinary Brd/Comm] Complaints Environmental Principles decision-making justifications for demanding complainants waste paper, ink and non-renewable transporation resources by printing, signing and mailing complaints to the [Disciplinary Brd/Comm]; and refusing digitally signed complaints submitted by email, which are much more beneficial to the environment, and are exact environmentally digital copies of print versions?

[2] Please provide the [Disciplinary Brd/Comm] Complaints Environmental Principles decision-making justifications for printed complaints; when even third world goverments and [Disciplinary Brd/Comm] environmental policies allow courts and organisations to accept email complaints?

They responded:

As to your question on the "Complaints Environmental Principles", the Disciplinary Board does not have any such principles. We thus kindly ask you to follow the complaint procedure described to you in our previous e-mails. Your complaints will thus not be dealt with by the Disciplinary Board as long as they are sent by e-mail.

The Disciplinary Board will not answer further e-mails from you on this matter.

Relief Requested:

An Order that the Disciplinary Board and Committee:


1. Provide their Complaints Environmental Principles decision-making justifications for demanding complainants waste paper, ink and non-renewable transporation resources by printing, signing and mailing complaints to them; and refusing digitally signed complaints submitted by email, which are much more beneficial to the environment, and are exact environmentally digital copies of print versions?

Respectfully Submitted



Lara Johnstone
Habeus Mentem: Right 2 Legal Sanity
Norway v. Breivik :: Uncensored
<http://norway-v-breivik.blogspot.com/>

Encl:



Ref: Norway v. Breivik

Case: 11-188627 MED-05

P O Box 5042
George East, 6539
Cell: (071) 170 1954

15 August 2012

Environmental Appeals Board¹:
Appeals of environmental information
c / o Secretariat, Climate and Pollution
PO Box 8100 Dep, 0032 OSLO,
E-mail: post@miljoklagenemnda.no

Respondents:

Chairperson: Berit Reiss-Andersen
Sec./Exec. Officer: Inger-Johanna Hammer
Disciplinary Committee
The Norwegian Bar Association
Juristenes Hus
Kristian Augusts gate 9, 0164 Oslo
Tel: 22 03 50 50 | Fax: 22 11 53 25
Email: Adv.For. Disciplinary Complaints
(post@advokatforeningen.no), IJ Hammer:
(ijh@advokatforeningen.no)

Head: Judge Ernst Moe
Disciplinary Board for Advocates
Kristian Augustsgt. 9 0164 OSLO
Tlf. 22 03 50 50 | Fax 22 11 53 25
Disciplinary Committee: (nemnden@jus.no)
E-post: Judge Ernst Moe
(ernst.moe@domstol.no)

Environmental Appeals Board,

Request for Access to Environment Information in terms of S.28 (Freedom of Information Act) and S.10 (Environmental Law) RE: Norwegian Bar Association's Anti-Environmental Printed Complaints Policy

Disciplinary Complaints were filed against 170 Advocates in the Norway v. Breivik matter (4 with Disciplinary Board of Advocates ("Disciplinary Board"; 166 with Bar Association: Disciplinary Committee ("Disciplinary Committee"), by email. Complaints: CCBE Code of Ethics: Obstruction of Justice Participation in a StaliNorsk Political Psychiatry Show Trial, to (1) deny Defendant his Political Necessity Treason Trial; and (2) support Corruption of the Court to deny submittal to the Court of Controversial Evidence related to: [1] Media's Environment-Population-Terrorism Connection; [2] Norway's endorsement of Political Psychiatry & Psychiatric Fraud; [3] Masculine Insecurity Human Farming for Profit Kaffir Legal Matrix; [4] Norwegian Governments Endorsement for ANC's Terrorism & Breeding War; [5] Norwegian Commitment to Rainbow Race Multiculturalism is a Fraud.

¹ <http://www.miljoklagenemnda.no/>

Both the Disciplinary Board and Committee responded that according to their complaints policy; they refuse to accept complaints submitted by email; all complaints must be submitted in hardcopy (printed and sent by landmail).

[..] please be aware that all complaints must be submitted in writing and signed, and send us as two originals by ordinary mail. We would like to emphasize the importance of sending your complaints by ordinary mail due to the fact that the Disciplinary Board does not accept any complaints sent by e-mail.

All complaints must be submitted in writing and signed.

[. .] 4. The documents submitted should always be sorted and copied in duplicate. Send your complaint as an original by regular post and a filled-out and signed form of consent

I subsequently filed a **Request for Access to Environment and Health Information in terms of S.28 (Freedom of Information Act) and S.10 (Environmental Law) RE: Complaints filed with Disciplinary Board against Attorneys for Victims Families in Norway v. Breivik matter.**

[3] The [Disciplinary Brd/Comm] Environmental Principles Decision-Making

[1] Please provide [Disciplinary Brd/Comm] Complaints Environmental Principles decision-making justifications for demanding complainants waste paper, ink and non-renewable transporation resources by printing, signing and mailing complaints to the [Disciplinary Brd/Comm]; and refusing digitally signed complaints submitted by email, which are much more beneficial to the environment, and are exact environmentally digital copies of print versions?

[2] Please provide the [Disciplinary Brd/Comm] Complaints Environmental Principles decision-making justifications for printed complaints; when even third world goverments and [Disciplinary Brd/Comm] environmental policies allow courts and organisations to accept email complaints?

The [Disciplinary Brd/Comm's] responded by refusing to provide their environmental decision-making justifications:

“As previously mentioned, your potential complaints must be sent by ordinary mail due to the fact that the Disciplinary Board does not accept any complaints sent by e-mail.”

I again requested:

[3] The [Disciplinary Brd/Comm] Environmental Principles Decision-Making

[1] Please provide [Disciplinary Brd/Comm] Complaints Environmental Principles decision-making justifications for demanding complainants waste paper, ink and non-renewable transporation resources by printing, signing and mailing complaints to the [Disciplinary Brd/Comm]; and refusing digitally signed complaints submitted by email, which are much more beneficial to the environment, and are exact environmentally digital copies of print versions?

[2] Please provide the [Disciplinary Brd/Comm] Complaints Environmental Principles decision-making justifications for printed complaints; when even third world goverments and [Disciplinary Brd/Comm] environmental policies allow courts and organisations to accept email complaints?

They responded:

As to your question on the "Complaints Environmental Principles", the Disciplinary Board does not have any such principles. We thus kindly ask you to follow the complaint procedure described to you in our previous e-mails. Your complaints will thus not be dealt with by the Disciplinary Board as long as they are sent by e-mail.

The Disciplinary Board will not answer further e-mails from you on this matter.

Relief Requested:

An Order that the Disciplinary Board and Committee:

1. Provide their Complaints Environmental Principles decision-making justifications for demanding complainants waste paper, ink and non-renewable transporation resources by printing, signing and mailing complaints to them; and refusing digitally signed complaints submitted by email, which are much more beneficial to the environment, and are exact environmentally digital copies of print versions?

Respectfully Submitted



Lara Johnstone
Habeus Mentem: Right 2 Legal Sanity
Norway v. Breivik :: Uncensored
<http://norway-v-breivik.blogspot.com/>

Encl: